BEFORE THE

INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: SEPTEMBER 29, 2022

9 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2022-35

INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER	4
2. ROLL CALL	4
3. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT	6
4. PRESIDENT'S REPORT MARIA MILLAN KELLY SHEPARD	11 28
ACTION ITEMS	
5. CONSIDERATION OF CONCEPT PLAN FOR PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM	63
6. CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP BYLAWS	168
7. CONSIDERATION OF STANDARDS WORKING GROUP CO-CHAIRS	171
8. CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO STANDARDS WORKING GROUP BYLAWS	184
9. CONSIDERATION OF CIRM SALARY STRUCTURE FOR LEVEL 9 AND 10	156
10. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES FOR JULY 28, 2022 ICOC MEETING AND AUGUST 30, 2022 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING	188
CLOSED SESSION	191
11. DISCUSSION OF PERSONNEL [EVALUATION OF CEO/PRESIDENT] (GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 1112 SUBDIVISION (A); HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 125290.30(F)(3)(D))	26.

INDEX (CONT'	D.)
DISCUSSION ITEMS	
12. PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE
13. ADJOURNMENT	192

	DETH G. DIAHN, CA CON NO. 7 132
1	THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2022; 9 A.M.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU. AND
4	WELCOME, EVERYBODY, TO THE SEPTEMBER 2022 MEETING OF
5	THE ICOC. MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: SURE.
7	HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
8	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
10	DR. BARRETT: PRESENT.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
12	MR. BERNAL: PRESENT.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
14	DR. BLUMENTHAL: HERE.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
16	DR. BOXER: PRESENT.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: CAROL CHRIST. LEONDRA
18	CLARK-HARVEY.
19	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: PRESENT.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.
21	DR. DEAS: HERE.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
23	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
25	MS. DURON: HERE.
	4
	7

		·
1	MS	S. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
2	DI	R. FISCHER-COLBRIE: HERE.
3	M:	S. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
4	DI	R. FISHER: HERE.
5	MS	S. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS.
6	DI	R. FLOWERS: PRESENT.
7	MS	S. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
8	DI	R. GASSON: HERE.
9	MS	S. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
10	DI	R. GOLDSTEIN: HERE.
11	MS	S. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
12	DI	R. HIGGINS: HERE.
13	MS	S. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
14	МІ	R. JUELSGAARD: HERE.
15	MS	S. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
16	МІ	R. LAJARA: HERE.
17	Ms	S. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT. LINDA
18	MALKAS.	
19	DI	R. MALKAS: HERE.
20	Ms	S. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
21	DI	R. MELMED: HERE.
22	MS	S. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
23	DI	R. MIASKOWSKI: HERE.
24	MS	S. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
25	MS	S. MILLER-ROGEN: HERE.
		5
		J

	,
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA. JOE
2	PANETTA. AL ROWLETT.
3	MR. ROWLETT: HERE.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
5	DR. SOUTHARD: HERE.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
7	DR. STAMOS: HERE.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES. KRISTINA
11	VUORI.
12	DR. VUORI: HERE.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON. KEITH
14	YAMAMOTO.
15	WE HAVE A QUORUM.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
17	MARIA. LET'S GO STRAIGHT TO THE CHAIR'S REPORT.
18	TODAY I HAVE SOME SAD NEWS TO REPORT FROM
19	THE FIELD OF STEM CELL FUNDING AND RESEARCH.
20	EARLIER THIS MONTH WE LOST SUSAN SOLOMON, WHO WAS
21	THE HEAD OF THE NEW YORK STEM CELL FOUNDATION AND
22	ONE OF THE TRUE TITANS AND VISIONARIES IN OUR SPACE.
23	SHE HAD A BATTLE FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS
24	WITH OVARIAN CANCER, AND WE WERE EXTREMELY SAD TO
25	RECEIVE THE NEWS ON SEPTEMBER 8TH OF HER PASSING. I
	6

1	WOULD LIKE, IF YOU WOULD, TO READ A BIT OF A BRIEF
2	BIOGRAPHY OF SUSAN JUST SO FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO
3	WEREN'T FAMILIAR WITH HER UNDERSTAND THE IMPACT THAT
4	SHE HAD IN THE STEM CELL FIELD.
5	SO PROCEED TO THAT. "A LAWYER BY TRAINING
6	AND A LONGTIME ENTREPRENEUR AND BUSINESS EXECUTIVE,
7	SUSAN L. SOLOMON BEGAN HER ROLE AS A HEALTHCARE
8	ADVOCATE IN 1992 WHEN ONE OF HER SONS WAS DIAGNOSED
9	WITH TYPE 1 DIABETES. AFTER CONVERSATIONS WITH
10	CLINICIANS AND SCIENTISTS, SHE IDENTIFIED STEM CELLS
11	AS THE MOST PROMISING WAY TO ADDRESS UNMET PATIENT
12	NEEDS AND FELT AN INDEPENDENT ORGANIZATION WAS
13	NEEDED TO HELP TRANSLATE CUTTING-EDGE STEM CELL
14	RESEARCH INTO CLINICAL BREAKTHROUGHS AND CURES FOR
15	PATIENTS.
16	"SHE CO-FOUNDED NYSCF IN 2005 AT A TIME
17	WHEN THERE WAS LITTLE FEDERAL FUNDING FOR EMBRYONIC
18	STEM CELL RESEARCH AND THE FUNDING AVAILABLE WAS
19	EXTREMELY RESTRICTED. SOON AFTER ITS FUNDING, NYSCF
20	STARTED THE FIRST PROGRAM TO SUPPORT POSTDOCTORAL
21	FELLOWS CONDUCTING STEM CELL RESEARCH. TO DATE THIS
22	PROGRAM HAS SUPPORTED 82 POSTDOCTORAL FELLOWS. IN
23	2010, WITH SUPPORT FROM THE ROBERTSON FOUNDATION,
24	NYSCF INVESTIGATOR PROGRAM LAUNCHED IN RESPONSE TO
25	THE LACK OF SUPPORT THROUGH TRADITIONAL MECHANISMS
	7

1	FOR EARLIER CAREER INVESTIGATORS WITHIN THE FIRST
2	FIVE YEARS OF GETTING A TENURED TRACK POSITION. TO
3	DATE NYSCF HAS SUPPORTED 71 INVESTIGATORS AROUND THE
4	WORLD WITH SIX ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATORS TO BE
5	ANNOUNCED NEXT MONTH.
6	"IN 2006 SUSAN OPENED THE FIRST
7	INDEPENDENT AND PRIVATELY FUNDED STEM CELL
8	LABORATORY TO CONDUCT EMBRYONIC STEM CELL RESEARCH.
9	TODAY THE LABORATORY IS CALLED THE NYSCF RESEARCH
10	INSTITUTE. AT THE TIME MANY LABS WERE UNABLE OR
11	UNWILLING TO PERFORM CERTAIN TYPES OF RESEARCH DUE
12	TO GOVERNMENT RESTRICTIONS, AND A PRIVATE LABORATORY
13	WAS NEEDED TO CONDUCT CERTAIN EXPERIMENTS. SEVERAL
14	PIONEERING SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERIES RESULTED FROM THIS
15	INCLUDING THE GENERATION OF THE FIRST HUMAN
16	EMBRYONIC STEM CELL LINE CREATED THROUGH SOMATIC
17	CELL NUCLEAR, A DISCOVERY NAMED THE NO. 1 TIME
18	MAGAZINE BREAKTHROUGH OF THE YEAR.
19	"ANOTHER DISCOVERY STEMMING FROM THIS
20	RESEARCH WAS MITOCHONDRIAL REPLACEMENT THERAPY, A
21	TECHNIQUE DEVELOPED BY NYSCF SCIENTISTS TO PREVENT
22	THE MOTHER-TO-CHILD TRANSMISSION OF MITOCHONDRIAL
23	DISEASES, THAT IS MOVING FORWARD IN CLINICAL TRIALS
24	IN THE UK AND OTHER COUNTRIES.
25	"UNDER SUSAN'S LEADERSHIP, NYSCF BUILT THE
	0

1	FIRST FULLY AUTOMATED PLATFORM FOR THE HIGH
2	THROUGHPUT PRODUCTION OF INDUCED PLURIPOTENT STEM
3	CELLS, THE NYSCF GLOBAL STEM CELL ARRAY. THIS
4	TECHNOLOGY ALLOWS SCIENTISTS TO STUDY STEM CELL
5	LINES FROM PEOPLE, OPENING ENTIRELY NEW AVENUES OF
6	RESEARCH, COLLABORATION, AND DISCOVERY.
7	"OVER 17 YEARS THE NYSCF RESEARCH
8	INSTITUTE GREW FROM A ONE-ROOM, SAFE HAVEN
9	LABORATORY FOR EMBRYONIC STEM CELL RESEARCH WITH A
10	HANDFUL OF EMPLOYEES TO A GLOBALLY RENOWNED STEM
11	CELL RESEARCH INSTITUTE ON THE CUSP OF MULTIPLE
12	CLINICAL BREAKTHROUGHS IN A STATE-OF-THE-ART,
13	DEDICATED FACILITY ON WEST 4T STREET IN NEW YORK.
14	"NYSCF RECENTLY BUILT A GMP FACILITY ON
15	SITE IN ORDER TO MANUFACTURE CELLS THAT COULD BE
16	USED FOR CELL REPLACEMENT THERAPY CLINICAL TRIALS,
17	AND THE FIRST CELLULAR THERAPY UNDER DEVELOPMENT AT
18	NYSCF FOR AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION IS
19	ANTICIPATED TO REACH PATIENTS IN 2023.
20	"TODAY NYSCF EMPLOYS 114 PEOPLE, INCLUDING
21	SCIENTISTS, ENGINEERS, COMPUTER SCIENTISTS, PROGRAM
22	STAFF, AND OTHERS. AND IN TOTAL NYSCF HAS RAISED
23	AND DEPLOYED OVER 400 MILLION IN THE MOST PROMISING
24	STEM CELL RESEARCH. NYSCF SUPPORTED RESEARCH HAS
25	LED TO OVER 20 MAJOR CLINICAL BREAKTHROUGHS FOR

1	DEVASTATING DISEASES, AND NYSCF HAS BECOME A PILLAR
2	IN THE STEM CELL COMMUNITY BOTH THROUGH THE RESEARCH
3	AND DISCOVERIES MADE AT THE NYSCF RESEARCH INSTITUTE
4	AND TO THE COMMUNITY OF SCIENTISTS SUPPORTED BY
5	NYSCF. NONE OF THIS WOULD HAVE BEEN POSSIBLE OR
6	EXISTED WITHOUT THE SHEER FORCE OF WILL,
7	PERSEVERANCE, AND VISION OF SUSAN SOLOMON."
8	SO WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW ABOUT THAT.
9	SUSAN WAS A LONGTIME FRIEND OF MINE. I KNOW MARIA
10	MILLAN WAS A GOOD FRIEND OF HERS AS WELL. AND I'VE
11	KNOWN HER HUSBAND, PAUL GOLDBERGER. I WANT THE
12	BOARD TO KNOW THAT ON HEARING THIS NEWS, I SENT PAUL
13	A CONDOLENCE NOTE ON BEHALF OF CIRM.
14	THE INSTITUTE AT THE MOMENT IS BEING RUN
15	ON AN INTERIM BASIS BY DERRICK ROSSI WHO YOU WILL
16	RECALL IS A FORMER CIRM GRANTEE FROM 2006, LATER
17	WENT ON TO CO-FOUND MODERNA, AND HAS BEEN A LONGTIME
18	MEMBER OF THE NYSCF BOARD. SO THANK YOU FOR LETTING
19	ME READ THAT. I JUST WANTED EVERYBODY TO KNOW ABOUT
20	THAT AND ABOUT SUSAN AND THE TERRIFIC WORK SHE HAS
21	DONE OVER THE YEARS. SO THANK YOU.
22	THAT CONCLUDES THE CHAIR'S REPORT. I'LL
23	NOW TURN IT OVER TO DR. MILLAN FOR THE PRESIDENT'S
24	REPORT.
25	MR. TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN.

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
2	MR. TORRES: I JUST WANTED TO GO ON RECORD
3	HAVING KNOWN HER FOR MANY YEARS AND JUST THE
4	TREMENDOUS CONTRIBUTION SHE MADE TO THE FIELD. AND
5	SHE WAS ALWAYS THERE TO HELP US WHETHER IT WAS IN
6	THE CONGRESS OR ANYWHERE ELSEWHERE WHERE SHE COULD
7	HAVE A VOICE. IT'S A TRAGIC LOSS FOR THE RESEARCH
8	AND A TRAGIC LOSS FOR THE STEM CELL WORLD.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ART. OKAY.
10	MARIA, PLEASE, PRESIDENT'S REPORT.
11	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN THOMAS.
12	MARIANNE, DO YOU HAVE A PRESIDENT'S REPORT TO
13	PERFECT. THANK YOU SO MUCH.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMAS, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, AND
15	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, THANK YOU FOR THIS
16	OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE AN UPDATE ON OUR PROGRAMS.
17	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
18	IN SERVICE OF OUR MISSION TO ACCELERATE
19	WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE TO DELIVER TRANSFORMATIVE
20	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN AN EQUITABLE
21	MANNER TO A DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND WORLD, WE
22	CONTINUE TO FUND ACROSS FIVE MAJOR PILLARS. AND I
23	WANTED TO GIVE AN OVERVIEW OF THAT. NEXT SLIDE
24	PLEASE.
25	AS YOU WILL RECALL, BECAUSE OF THE PASSAGE

1	OF PROP 14, WE WERE ABLE TO CONTINUALLY FUND
2	PROGRAMS, ALTHOUGH TO A LESSER DEGREE, AT THE END OF
3	PROP 14 RESIDUAL FUNDING. AND THANKFULLY WITH THE
4	PASSAGE OF PROP 14, WE WERE ABLE RELAUNCH OUR
5	PROGRAMS AT THE VERY START OF THE NEW YEAR IN 2021.
6	AND AS YOU WILL RECALL ALSO, AT THE END OF
7	2021, THIS BOARD APPROVED OUR NEW FIVE-YEAR
8	STRATEGIC PLAN. ACCORDING TO THIS, CIRM HAS ALREADY
9	FUNDED UNDER PROP 14 HOW MUCH IN TOTAL RESEARCH
10	DOLLARS? ABOUT \$492 MILLION WITH PROP 14 FUNDS.
11	THAT BRINGS OUR TOTAL FUNDING TO 3.6 BILLION ACROSS
12	THE FIVE PILLARS.
13	IN ADDITION TO RESTARTING OUR R&D
14	PROGRAMS, DISCOVERY, TRANSLATIONAL, AND CLINICAL
15	STAGE PROGRAMS, WE ALSO RELAUNCHED OUR LEGACY
16	EDUCATION PROGRAMS. AS YOU WILL SEE IN THE ORANGE
17	IN THE SECOND COLUMN OF NUMBERS, IT IS A PROMINENT
18	AMOUNT OF INVESTMENT INTO EDUCATION BECAUSE WE
19	LAUNCHED THAT VERY EARLY, KNOWING THAT IT WOULD
20	THE GOALS OF LAUNCHING THE EDUCATION PROGRAM WOULD
21	BE SOMETHING THAT NEEDED TO START RIGHT AWAY IN
22	ORDER TO ACHIEVE ITS GOALS. AND DR. KELLY SHEPARD
23	WILL BE GIVING AN EXTENSIVE SPOTLIGHT PRESENTATION
24	ON OUR EDUCATION PROGRAMS AT THE END OF THIS
25	PRESIDENT'S REPORT.

1	YOU WILL ALSO RECALL THAT PROP 14 SET
2	ASIDE, EARMARKED \$1.5 BILLION TO FUND DISEASES OF
3	THE BRAIN, CNS AND NEUROPSYCHIATRIC RESEARCH. SO
4	FAR UNDER PROP 14 DOLLARS, 23 AWARDS HAVE BEEN
5	APPROVED BY THIS BOARD, TOTALING \$88 MILLION IN THE
6	CNS SPACE, AND THIS IS JUST THE START. IN A FUTURE
7	BOARD MEETING, WE WILL GIVE A MORE EXTENSIVE
8	PRESENTATION AND UPDATE ON OUR NEURO PROGRAMS
9	INCLUDING THE NEW ENHANCEMENT AND PROGRESS IN TERMS
10	OF HOW THESE ARE BEING BROUGHT FORWARD ALONG WITH
11	THE NEW STRATEGIC PROGRAMS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
12	SO THESE INVESTMENTS HAVE REALLY LED TO A
13	VERY BROAD AND DIVERSE PORTFOLIO ACROSS DISEASE
14	INDICATIONS. IF YOU LOOK AT THE PIE CHART, ACTUALLY
15	35 PERCENT OF OUR PORTFOLIO, OUR CUMULATIVE
16	PORTFOLIO, BOTH FROM THE PROP 71 FUNDING AND THE
17	NEWLY FUNDED PROGRAMS IN PROP 14, COMPOSE OVER A
18	THIRD OF OUR PORTFOLIO IN TERMS OF NUMBERS OF
19	GRANTS. THE OTHER PROMINENT AREAS ARE IN CARDIAC,
20	MUSCULOSKELETAL, BLOOD, AND ONCOLOGY, BUT THERE ARE
21	VERY IMPORTANT AND PROMINENT INVESTMENTS IN THE
22	SPACE OF HIV, DIABETES, MUSCULOSKELETAL, AND OTHER
23	DISEASES. YOU RECENTLY RECEIVED A REALLY AMAZING
24	UPDATE ON OUR RARE DISEASE PORTFOLIO BY DR. ABLA
25	CREASEY IN JUNE OR JULY OF THIS YEAR, AND YOU WILL

1	CONTINUE TO RECEIVE UPDATES ON OUR PORTFOLIO IN
2	UPCOMING AND FUTURE MEETINGS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
3	NOW, JUST FOR TODAY'S PRESENTATION, I
4	WANTED TO FOCUS ON AN UPDATE ON OUR PROGRESS TO THE
5	RECENTLY LAUNCHED STRATEGIC PLAN. FOR SAKE OF
6	ORIENTATION, WE RELAUNCHED THIS PLAN IN JANUARY OF
7	THIS YEAR AFTER THE BOARD APPROVAL IN DECEMBER OF
8	'21. AND THE FIRST SIX WE ARE CALLING KIND OF A
9	PRELAUNCH PERIOD. AND OUR OFFICIAL CLOCK FOR THE
10	FIRST YEAR OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN WERE STARTING IN
11	JUNE OF THIS YEAR. BUT I WANTED TO GIVE AN UPDATE
12	ON THE CUMULATIVE PROGRESS TOWARD THE STRATEGIC
13	GOALS ALONG THESE THREE THEMATIC AREAS OF ADVANCING
14	WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE, DELIVERING REAL-WORLD
15	SOLUTIONS, AND PROVIDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR ALL.
16	WE RECENTLY RELEASED CIRM'S 18-MONTH
17	ANNUAL REPORT THAT TAKES US ALL THE WAY TO THE END
18	OF THIS FISCAL YEAR, TILL JUNE. SO NOW THIS IS THE
19	THREE-MONTH UPDATE SINCE THAT REPORT. NEXT SLIDE
20	PLEASE.
21	IN THE AREA OF ADVANCING WORLD-CLASS
22	SCIENCE, OUR TEAM, LED BY THE SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMS
23	AND REVIEW TEAMS AND WORKING CROSS-FUNCTIONALLY, HAS
24	ALREADY STARTED TO LAY DOWN THE FOUNDATIONAL
25	GROUNDWORK FOR BUILDING CIRM KNOWLEDGE NETWORKS, A

1	MAJOR COMPONENT OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN BY FIRST
2	WORKING ON OUR INTERNAL SYSTEMS. THIS INCLUDES
3	DEVELOPING DATA SHARING AND MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES
4	FOR OUR CIRM AWARDS AND DEVELOPING AND LAUNCHING A
5	DATA ADVISORY PROCESS SO THAT, NOT ONLY ARE WE
6	SEEKING TO ASK OUR APPLICANTS TO COME UP WITH A
7	PLAN, BUT ALSO TO REFINE THIS AND TO CONTINUALLY
8	IMPROVE UPON THIS WITH EXPERTS IN THE FIELD.
9	THIS IS BEING IMPLEMENTED WHILE THERE'S
10	ONGOING DEVELOPMENT OF A CONCEPT PLAN FOR A DATA
11	COORDINATING AND MANAGEMENT CENTER THAT WE WILL SEE
12	IN 2023. IN ADDITION, BECAUSE OF OUR CONTINUOUS
13	FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES PILLAR PROGRAMS, THIS BOARD
14	JUST THIS PAST SEVERAL MONTHS HAS FUNDED 11 NEW
15	BASIC DISCOVERY AWARDS IN A NEW PROGRAM CALLED
16	DISC-0, WHICH FUNDS FOUNDATIONAL AND BASIC RESEARCH
17	REALLY LOOKING AT MECHANISMS AND FUNDAMENTAL
18	RESEARCH, FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCE TO HELP US TO
19	UNDERSTAND THE COMPLEXITIES AND THE UNKNOWNS THAT
20	WILL LATER LEAD TO POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS THAT ARE
21	FUNDED THROUGH OUR OTHER PROGRAMS OF THE DISCOVERY 2
22	PROGRAM, WHICH IS A DISCOVERY PROGRAM TO IDENTIFY
23	PRODUCT CANDIDATES, POTENTIAL CANDIDATES, OUR
24	TRANSLATIONAL AND OUR CLINICAL PROGRAMS WHICH THEN
25	TRANSLATE THESE AND BRING THESE DOWN THE DEVELOPMENT

1	PATH.
2	IN ADDITION, YOU FUNDED JUST THESE PAST
3	SEVERAL MONTHS TWO NEW CLINICAL TRIALS AND ONE
4	PRECLINICAL PROGRAM TO CONDUCT IND-ENABLING STUDIES
5	SO THAT THAT CAN GO TO CLINICAL TRIAL. THIS BRINGS
6	US A TOTAL OF 82 CLINICAL TRIALS FUNDED BY CIRM
7	DIRECTLY, 13 CLINICAL TRIALS SINCE PROP 14 WAS
8	APPROVED JUST A LITTLE BIT OVER A YEAR AND A HALF
9	AGO.
10	IN PROGRESS, TO CONTINUE TO PROMOTE THE
11	IDEA OF COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH ON OPPORTUNITIES FOR
12	CONSORTIA, WHICH IS SOMETHING THAT OUR SCIENTIFIC
13	STRATEGY ADVISORY PANEL WAS PART OF IN FORMING THE
14	STRATEGIC PLAN. YOU WILL BE RECEIVING A PROPOSAL IN
15	Q4 FOR A SHARED RESOURCE LAB CONCEPT THAT IS
16	SOMETHING THAT IS PROVIDED FOR IN PROPOSITION 14 IN
17	ADDITION TO CONTINUING TO DEVELOP OTHER PROGRAMS
18	RELATED TO COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH AND BUILDING
19	KNOWLEDGE NETWORKS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
20	IN THE SECOND THEME OF DELIVERING
21	WORLD-CLASS OF DELIVERING REAL-WORLD SOLUTIONS,
22	YOU RECENTLY APPROVED THE MANUFACTURING NETWORK
23	CONCEPT WHICH WILL BE THE RFA WILL BE RELEASED
24	LATER THIS YEAR, AND THIS PROGRAM WILL BE BROUGHT
25	ALONG IN EARLY 2023. THERE IS AN INCREDIBLE AMOUNT

1	OF INTEREST IN THIS BOTH FROM THE RESEARCHERS, THE
2	DEVELOPERS, INDUSTRY, AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS WHO
3	UNDERSTAND THAT MANUFACTURING IS THE CRITICAL
4	GAINING ITEM TO THE SUCCESS OF OUR DEVELOPMENT
5	PROGRAMS AND TO GETTING THESE PROGRAMS TO FINAL FDA
6	APPROVAL AND, IMPORTANTLY, TO PATIENTS IN NEED.
7	THE CIRM PIPELINE AND CLINICAL PORTFOLIO
8	IS CONTINUING TO EXPAND, NOT JUST THE NUMBER, BUT IN
9	TERMS OF PROGRESS FORWARD. AND SOME DEMONSTRATION
10	OF THIS IS DR. CREASEY GAVE AN OVERVIEW IN JUNE OF
11	OUR PROGRAMS IN RARE DISEASE. AND YOU WILL NOTE
12	THAT A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF THEM HAVE EXPEDITED
13	PATHWAYS, SPECIAL DESIGNATION FROM THE FDA, TO
14	ACCELERATE THAT PROGRESS. JUST THIS PAST MONTH,
15	JUST IN SEPTEMBER, ONE OF OUR OTHER CIRM-FUNDED
16	PROGRAMS, WHICH WAS STARTED AT STANFORD, FUNDED BY
17	CIRM WHEN IT WAS JUST REALLY AN EARLY STAGE
18	RESEARCH, NOW BEING BROUGHT BY A COMPANY CALLED
19	JASPER THERAPEUTICS, RECEIVED A FAST TRACK
20	DESIGNATION FOR A NONTOXIC CONDITIONING REGIMEN THAT
21	ENABLES A SUCCESSFUL AND NONTOXIC REGIMEN FOR STEM
22	CELL AND CELL/GENE TRANSPLANT. IN THIS CASE THE
23	DESIGNATION IS FOR SEVERE COMBINED IMMUNODEFICIENCY.
24	HOWEVER, THE SUCCESS OF THIS PLATFORM HAS FAR
25	REACHING IMPLICATIONS ACROSS VARIOUS THERAPEUTIC

1	APPLICATIONS FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPY.
2	AND SO THIS BRINGS UP OUR EXPEDITED
3	PATHWAY DESIGNATIONS TO OVER 20 IN OUR CIRM
4	PORTFOLIO CONSISTENT, IF YOU WILL RECALL, FROM THE
5	PROP 71 THAT OUR PREVIOUS FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN
6	WAS TO ENACT THE NEW REGULATORY PARADIGM. SO CIRM
7	CERTAINLY IS AT THE FOREFRONT OF THIS. OUR PROGRAMS
8	WERE THE FIRST TO RECEIVE THE RMAT DESIGNATION WHICH
9	WAS CREATED BY THE 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT. IN
10	ADDITION, THERE ARE ADDITIONAL EXPEDITED
11	DESIGNATIONS, BREAKTHROUGH, FAST TRACK, AND OTHERS
12	THAT ARE ENABLING OUR PROGRAMS TO HAVE FREQUENT
13	INTERACTIONS AND COLLABORATIVE PARTNERSHIP WITH THE
14	FDA TO BRING THESE PROGRAMS FORWARD.
15	FRESH OFF THE PRESS IS THIS NOT OFF THE
16	PRESS BECAUSE IT'S NOT BEEN PRESS RELEASED, BUT IT
17	IS PUBLIC INFORMATION, THAT ONE OF OUR MAJOR
18	PROGRAMS AND INVESTMENTS IN THE EMBRYONIC STEM
19	CELL-BASED TREATMENT FOR DIABETES TYPE 1 BY VIACYTE,
20	ONE OF OUR PROGRAMS THAT WE FUNDED FROM THE VERY
21	BEGINNING AT CIRM, THAT PROGRAM HAS UNDERGONE A
22	MERGER AND ACQUISITION WITH A PROMINENT
23	BIOPHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY, VERTEX, THAT ALSO HAS A
24	DEDICATION TO PROMOTING THE DEVELOPMENT OF STEM
25	CELL-BASED TREATMENTS FOR DIABETES.

1	I JUST WANTED TO TAKE A MOMENT, FIRST OF
2	ALL, TO HIGHLIGHT, NOT ONLY THAT THIS IS SHOWING HOW
3	THE FIELD IS STARTING TO MATURE, THAT THESE TYPES OF
4	PARTNERSHIPS ARE OCCURRING, BUT ALSO WHAT MAKES CIRM
5	UNIQUE. SO VIACYTE HAS BEEN FUNDED THROUGH SEVERAL
6	MECHANISMS. AND ONE OF THE EARLY PROGRAMS WAS
7	FUNDED THROUGH A LOAN MECHANISM. AND THIS BOARD
8	APPROVED THE TERMS OF THE RENEGOTIATED LOAN WITH
9	VIACYTE IN NOVEMBER OF 2020, WHICH ALLOWS US TO
10	SHARE IN A POTENTIAL SUCCESS OF THIS PROGRAM. AND
11	WITH THIS CHANGE OF CONTROL, AND I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT
12	THAT DR. STEVE JUELSGAARD, WHO IS THE CHAIR OF OUR
13	IP AND INDUSTRY SUBCOMMITTEE, WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN
14	REALLY HELPING CIRM TO CRAFT THE VERY UNIQUE TERMS
15	THAT ALLOWS US TO GAIN A RETURN ACTUALLY ON THAT
16	LOAN REPAYMENT UPON THE EXCHANGE OF CONTROL. SO
17	THIS IS SIGNIFICANT.
18	ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF THIS WAS A 47 INC.
19	PROGRAM WHICH WAS VERY SUCCESSFUL, EVENTUALLY
20	ACQUIRED BY GILEAD, WHICH TRIGGERED A LOAN
21	REPAYMENT. THOSE LOAN REPAYMENTS COME BACK INTO THE
22	CIRM RESEARCH BUCKET SO THAT WE CAN THEN FUND
23	ADDITIONAL PROGRAMS WITH THIS. THIS IS HIGHLY
24	UNUSUAL. IT IS THE ONLY FUNDING AGENCY THAT HAS
25	BEEN ABLE TO EXECUTE SUCCESSFULLY ON THIS TYPE OF

1	MODEL.
2	SO I WANT TO THANK CHAIRMAN THOMAS, STEVE
3	JUELSGAARD, MEMBERS OF THE TEAM LEGAL, GRANTS
4	MANAGEMENT, THERAPEUTICS DEVELOPMENT, ALL THE
5	PROGRAMS THAT REALLY DROVE THIS TO A SUCCESSFUL
6	PROGRESS, NOT ONLY OF THE PROGRAM, BUT OF THE SYSTEM
7	THAT ALLOWS US, THEN, TO TAKE THE SUCCESS OF THAT
8	PROGRAM AND LEVERAGE IT INTO BEING ABLE TO SUPPORT
9	FUTURE RESEARCH PROGRAMS.
10	ALSO IN PROGRESS IS THE EXPANSION OF THE
11	ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK. AS YOU KNOW, THE ALPHA
12	CLINICS NETWORK IS A VERY UNIQUE STEM CELL
13	REGENERATIVE FOCUSED INFRASTRUCTURE CREATED BY CIRM,
14	WHICH WAS RAGINGLY SUCCESSFUL AND, THEREFORE, WAS
15	INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSITION AS A SUBJECT FOR
16	EXPANSION. THE REVIEW FOR THIS EXPANSION PROGRAM
17	JUST RECENTLY OCCURRED, AND THE CIRM TEAM WILL BE
18	BRINGING FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE OCTOBER ICOC
19	MEETING, FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS TO EXPAND THE ALPHA
20	CLINICS PROGRAM. AND THIS, ALONG WITH THE COMMUNITY
21	CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE ALSO PROVIDED FOR IN PROP
22	14, ARE REALLY CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE IN BEING ABLE
23	TO BRING TO CONDUCT NOT ONLY HIGH QUALITY
24	CLINICAL TRIALS, BUT BRING ACCESS TO THIS TO ALL OUR
25	COMMUNITIES AND TO BE ABLE TO BRING US TO THE NEXT

1	ERA WHEN WE'RE HAVING SUCCESSFUL DELIVERY OF THESE
2	TO ALL THOSE IN NEED.
3	YOU WILL ALSO RECALL THAT THE CIRM HAD
4	ENTERED INTO A PARTNERSHIP WITH THE BESPOKE GENE
5	THERAPY CONSORTIUM, WHICH IS A GENE THERAPY
6	CONSORTIUM FOR RARE DISEASE, A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN
7	THE FOUNDATION FOR NIH, NIH, THE FDA, AND MULTIPLE
8	STAKEHOLDERS. CIRM ENTERED INTO THIS, AND DR. ABLA
9	CREASEY, WHO IS OUR VP OF THERAPEUTICS, SERVES ON
10	THE STEERING COMMITTEE. AND THERE'S BEEN GREAT
11	PROGRESS IN IDENTIFYING VERY HIGH POTENTIAL PROGRAMS
12	THAT CIRM COULD FUND AND PARTNER IN THIS CONSORTIUM,
13	AGAIN BRINGING FORWARD THE OBJECTIVES OF A
14	COLLABORATIVE, EFFICIENT WAY TO BRING THE KNOWLEDGE
15	TOGETHER AND ACCELERATE OUR PROGRAMS FORWARD. NEXT
16	SLIDE PLEASE.
17	THE THIRD THEME, PROVIDING OPPORTUNITIES
18	FOR ALL, IS REALLY THE FOCUS OF SOME OF THE THINGS
19	YOU'LL BE HEARING BOTH FROM THE SPOTLIGHT ON
20	EDUCATION BY DR. KELLY SHEPARD AND A CONCEPT
21	PROPOSAL THAT'S BEING BROUGHT TO YOU BY DR. SEAN
22	TURBEVILLE. SO JUST IN BRIEF, THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS
23	FROM THIS PAST QUARTER IS THAT THE ICOC APPROVED
24	THE AAWG HAD RECOMMENDED A PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM
25	CONCEPT THAT WILL BE BROUGHT TO YOU BY DR. SEAN
	24

1	TURBEVILLE. THIS REALLY IS THE FIRST STEP TOWARD
2	OUR FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC GOAL OF DELIVERING A ROAD
3	MAP FOR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY.
4	IN ADDITION, I MENTIONED THAT OUR
5	EDUCATION PROGRAMS WERE THE FIRST TO BE RELAUNCHED.
6	THE CIRM TEAM ALSO BROUGHT FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION A
7	NEW PROGRAM CALLED THE EDUC5 OR THE COMPASS PROGRAM.
8	AND YOU AWARDED THE CREATION OF 16 NEW PROGRAMS IN
9	THIS UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM. DR. KELLY SHEPARD WILL
10	BE GIVING A HIGHLIGHT ON WHAT MAKES THIS PROGRAM
11	UNIQUE AND HOW IT ADDS TO OUR EDUCATIONAL OFFERINGS.
12	AND IN TERMS OF OUR PROGRESS, ONGOING
13	PROGRESS AND COMMITMENT TO DEI, THE REVIEWING GRANTS
14	MANAGEMENT TEAMS ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION HAVE
15	ALREADY BEEN INTRODUCING ENHANCEMENTS INTO OUR
16	INTERNAL SYSTEM. THE BOARD MEMBERS WHO SERVE ON THE
17	GWG HAVE BEEN INSTRUMENTAL IN HELPING TO DEVELOP AN
18	APPROACH TO HOW PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW CAN HAPPEN SO
19	THAT WE REVIEW OUR PROGRAMS, NOT JUST FOR THE
20	SCIENCE, BUT MAKING SURE THE SCIENCE IS STRENGTHENED
21	BY OUR INCORPORATION OF DEI ELEMENTS. THERE WILL BE
22	A BOARD UPDATE ON THE PROGRESS ON THIS IN THE
23	NEXT IN AN UPCOMING BOARD MEETING. SO STAY TUNED
24	FOR THAT.
25	AND NOW, NEXT SLIDE PLEASE, I'LL BE

1	INTRODUCING DR. KELLY SHEPARD, WHO WILL GIVE US A
2	SPOTLIGHT ON OUR EDUCATION PROGRAMS. BUT I WANTED
3	TO JUST PUT CONTEXT ON THE IMPORTANCE OF OUR
4	EDUCATION PROGRAMS IN OUR STRATEGIC PLAN. AGAIN,
5	THAT WAS APPROVED BY OUR BOARD. THE IDEA OF CIRM'S
6	EDUCATION PROGRAM IS TO CREATE MULTIPLE ONRAMPS AND
7	OPPORTUNITIES TO STRATEGICALLY BRING FORWARD A
8	DIVERSE AND INCLUSIVE AND FULL VIEW OF OUR
9	COMMUNITIES IN DEVELOPING OUR WORKFORCE AND
10	DEVELOPING EXPERTISE. THIS WORKFORCE AND EXPERTISE
11	IS CRITICAL IN THIS EMERGING FIELD TO BE ABLE TO
12	COMPLETE THE CYCLE OF BRINGING THIS FROM BENCH TO
13	BEDSIDE AND OUT INTO THE COMMUNITY.
14	IN ADDITION, OUR BOARD MEMBERS HAVE
15	REMINDED US AT SOME OF THE PAST MEETINGS WHEN
16	CONCEPTS WERE BEING BROUGHT FORWARD THAT NOT ONLY
17	ARE OUR TRAINEES BEING EDUCATED, THEY'RE ESSENTIALLY
18	PART OF THE SOLUTION IN TERMS OF ADVANCING THE
19	SCIENCE. YOU WILL HEAR MANY SENIOR SCIENTISTS AND
20	PROFESSORS SPEAK TO HOW THE CREATIVITY AND THE WORK
21	COMES FROM THOSE ON THE BENCH, FROM OUR STUDENTS,
22	FROM OUR POSTDOCS. AND SO NOT ONLY ARE THESE THE
23	YOUNG PIPELINE BEING TRAINED, BUT THEY'RE ADVANCING
24	THE WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE OBJECTIVES.
25	AND IN ADDITION, BY BRINGING FORWARD THE

1	LIVED EXPERIENCES, DIVERSE BACKGROUNDS, AND
2	PERSPECTIVES FROM THE COMMUNITIES, THE VERY
3	COMMUNITIES THAT WERE PRODUCING THAT WERE DRIVING
4	THE SCIENCE AND PRODUCING THESE THERAPIES, WE ARE
5	ENHANCING AND BUILDING STRONGER APPROACHES.
6	SO WITH THAT AS KIND OF A PERSPECTIVE ON
7	WHY THESE PROGRAMS ARE SO IMPORTANT, NOT ONLY ON
8	THEIR OWN, BUT HOW THEY BRIDGE AND THEY ACTUALLY
9	ENABLE OUR ENTIRE STRATEGY, IT'S MY PLEASURE TO
10	INTRODUCE DR. SHEPARD. FIRST, I'LL TAKE SOME
11	QUESTIONS, CHAIRMAN THOMAS, IF YOU'D LIKE ME TO TAKE
12	QUESTIONS BEFORE DR. SHEPARD'S PRESENTATION.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA, FOR
14	THAT COMPREHENSIVE SUMMARY. ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT
15	THAT PRESENTATION BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?
16	MS. DURON: YES, MR. CHAIR.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, YSABEL.
18	MS. DURON: THANK YOU. MARIA, I MAY BE
19	JUMPING THE GUN, AND I KNOW YOU SAID THAT WE'D BE
20	HEARING MORE ON THE DEI DEVELOPMENT, ET CETERA. BUT
21	WHEN YOU MENTIONED 82 CLINICAL TRIALS VERY EARLY IN
22	THE PRESENTATION, I STARTED THINKING. AND MAYBE YOU
23	WILL HEAR LATER FROM GIL OR THIS IS PART OF THE
24	PLANNING. BUT HOW ARE THE RESEARCHERS GOING TO BE
25	TRACKING AND MEASURING THE ROLLOUT OF THEIR DEI

1	PLAN? NOT JUST PUT IT ON PAPER AND SAY THIS IS WHAT
2	WE'RE GOING TO DO, BUT HOW DO THEY REPORT BACK TO US
3	THAT, IN FACT, THEY'RE ABLE TO MEET SOME OF THE
4	GOALS OR ENGAGEMENT WITH COMMUNITY THAT THEY
5	PROPOSE? FOR THEM IT MIGHT BE A LEARNING CURVE, BUT
6	WE DEFINITELY NEED TO SEE THAT THEY'RE AGREEING TO,
7	NOT ONLY JUST CHECK THE BOX ON DEI, BUT ACTUALLY
8	MAKE IT HAPPEN IN THEIR WORK WITH THE COMMUNITIES
9	AND WITH ALL THE APPROPRIATE COMMUNITIES.
10	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU, YSABEL. AT THE
11	UPCOMING MEETING, WE WILL BE GIVING A MORE FULL
12	ANSWER TO THAT. BUT I WILL JUST SAY THAT ALREADY
13	THE TEAMS ARE WORKING ON THE METRICS THAT ARE BEING
14	TRACKED IN OUR GRANTS MANAGEMENT PORTAL ON
15	REPORTING. ALREADY, WHEN WE ATTEND THE CLINICAL
16	ADVISORY PANEL MEETINGS, THE ADVISORS, THE GRANTEES
17	THEMSELVES, ET CETERA, THAT'S IN THE LINGO. THAT IS
18	IN THE CONVERSATION MUCH MORE THAN IT EVER WAS. AND
19	SO IT'S GOING TO BE A LEARNING AND REFINING PROCESS.
20	BUT TO START OFF WITH, WE'VE REALLY COME A LONG WAY
21	EVEN IN THE PAST YEAR IN TERMS OF HOW THIS IS, I
22	THINK, BECOMING PART OF WE'RE SHIFTING THE
23	CULTURE ESSENTIALLY, SCIENTIFIC AND RESEARCH
24	CULTURE. AND GIL AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE BOARD WHO
25	SERVE ON THE GWG HAVE ALSO OBSERVED HOW THIS HAS

1	ALSO SHIFTED AND HOW MUCH MORE ENGAGED THE
2	SCIENTIFIC REVIEWERS ARE IN VIEWING THIS ALONG WITH
3	OUR BOARD MEMBERS.
4	SO I THINK THAT IT'S NOT BY NO MEANS A
5	FINISHED PRODUCT, AND THIS IS GOING TO BE A
6	CONTINUAL EVOLUTION. IT WILL BE A CULTURAL SHIFT AS
7	WELL AS UPGRADES IN OUR SYSTEMS AND ALSO
8	UNDERSTANDING WHAT WE'RE ACTUALLY LOOKING AT,
9	UNDERSTANDING ARE WE ACTUALLY ASKING THE QUESTIONS,
10	TRACKING THE RIGHT THINGS. SO WE WILL BE BRINGING
11	FORWARD SOME IDEAS TO THE BOARD AT THE UPCOMING
12	MEETING, BUT WE ALREADY ARE STARTING TO DO THINGS TO
13	ENHANCE OUR INTERNAL OPERATIONS AND OUR APPROACHES
14	TO SUPPORT THE THINGS THAT WE'VE ALREADY PUT IN
15	PLACE, SUCH AS ELEMENTS IN OUR APPLICATIONS THAT ASK
16	FOR A PLAN, NOT JUST ASK FOR A PLAN, THERE ARE
17	REALLY SPECIFIC AREAS, AND THEN THERE'S A RUBRIC
18	THAT'S USED IN EVALUATING THESE PLANS. AND IS THERE
19	A RIGHT ANSWER, WRONG ANSWER? IT'S REALLY NOT ONE
20	OF THOSE CASES. THE IMPORTANT THING IS TO HAVE THE
21	CONVERSATION AND TO UNDERSTAND WHAT WE ARE LOOKING
22	AT AND TO WORK TOGETHER. SO I THINK WE ARE AT THE
23	STARTING POINT. THAT'S A VERY LONG ANSWER, BUT I DO
24	HOPE THAT WE HAVE A MORE FULL CONVERSATION AT OUR
25	UPCOMING MEETING.

1	MS. DURON: WELL, I THINK MAY I SAY,
2	MR. CHAIR. I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT, ONE, THAT
3	IT'S VERY PUBLIC IN TERMS OF THE TEMPLATES SO THAT
4	OTHER RESEARCHERS CAN COME IN AND LOOK AT IT AS A
5	MODEL, MARIA. I WAS AT THE BROAD INSTITUTE LAST
6	WEEK IN BOSTON AND DESCRIBING WHAT CIRM IS DOING
7	AROUND DEI. AND THEY SAID, "CAN WE SEE IT?" AND SO
8	WE CAN DRIVE THE INDUSTRY, I HOPE, AND THE ACADEMIC
9	INSTITUTIONS ABOUT WHAT DEI REALLY LOOKS LIKE. BUT
10	HERE'S A TEMPLATE. IS IT JUST CHECK THE BOX? THIS
11	IS THE TEMPLATE. THESE ARE THE MEASURES YOU MEET.
12	AND WHEN YOU REPORT BACK, WE WANT TO SEE YOUR
13	PROGRESS AROUND THIS. THANK YOU. I'M EXCITED TO
14	HEAR THAT THAT'S GOING, BUT LET'S GET IT POSTED SO
15	OTHER PEOPLE CAN GRAB IT. THANK YOU.
16	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, YSABEL. ANY
18	OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FOR MARIA FROM MEMBERS
19	OF THE BOARD? OKAY. SEEING NONE, I THINK IT'S
20	TIME, MARIA, TO HEAR FROM KELLY.
21	DR. MILLAN: ABSOLUTELY. I ALSO WANT TO
22	REMIND EVERYBODY THAT OUR ANNUAL REPORT HAS BEEN
23	POSTED AND THAT TO PLEASE REACH OUT IF YOU HAVE ANY
24	QUESTIONS ABOUT ANY OF OUR PROGRAMS OR JUST THERE'S
25	SO MUCH THAT WE PACK IN ALL THE TIME AND EVEN IN THE

1	PRESIDENT'S REPORT, BUT IT'S REALLY JUST AN
2	OPPORTUNITY FOR YOU TO KNOW WHERE WE'RE GOING SO
3	THAT IF THERE'S A SPECIFIC AREA, THAT YOU CAN FOLLOW
4	UP WITH US. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
5	SO DR. KELLY SHEPARD IS ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR
6	IN THE SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMS TEAM, AND SHE WILL BE
7	PROVIDING A SPOTLIGHT ON OUR EDUCATION PROGRAMS.
8	THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
9	DR. SHEPARD: THANK YOU SO MUCH. MAY I
10	ASK IF SOMEBODY WILL BE OPERATING MY SLIDES FOR ME,
11	OR SHOULD I SHARE MY SCREEN?
12	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: YOU CAN SHARE
13	YOUR SCREEN, KELLY, IF YOU LIKE, OR IF YOU NEED ME
14	TO OPERATE YOUR SLIDES, JUST LET ME KNOW.
15	DR. SHEPARD: I'LL GIVE IT A TRY. SORRY
16	ABOUT THAT. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN EASIER TO HAVE YOU
17	DO THIS. SORRY ABOUT THIS, EVERYONE. OKAY.
18	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: JUST LET ME
19	KNOW. I CAN DO IT.
20	DR. SHEPARD: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
21	SO GOOD MORNING, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
22	CIRM TEAM, AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. IT'S MY
23	PLEASURE TO FOLLOW UP PRESIDENT MILLAN'S REPORT AND
24	GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ON OUR EDUCATION PROGRAM
25	SPOTLIGHT. SHE'S ALREADY PRESENTED A WONDERFUL

1	INTRODUCTION FOR ME, SO I'D LIKE TO JUST PLUNGE
2	RIGHT INTO THE DETAILS OF THIS BECAUSE WE HAVE A LOT
3	TO COVER AS A LOT HAS HAPPENED IN THE PAST YEAR.
4	SO LET ME JUST BEGIN BY GOING BACK JUST A
5	TINY BIT IN TIME TO 2020, THE LAST TIME I PRESENTED
6	A SPOTLIGHT LIKE THIS TO ALL OF YOU. AT THAT TIME I
7	DISCUSSED CIRM'S HISTORIC TRAINING PROGRAMS THAT
8	WERE FUNDED UNDER PROPOSITION 71. THESE INCLUDED
9	THE SPARK OR EDUC3 PROGRAM, WHICH PROVIDES SUMMER
10	INTERNSHIPS FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS. THIS PROGRAM
11	WAS LAUNCHED IN 2012 AND HAS TO THIS DAY.
12	WE HAVE THE BRIDGES OR EDUC2 PROGRAM,
13	WHICH SUPPORTS STUDENTS RANGING FROM VARIOUS DEGREES
14	OF UNDERGRADUATE LEVEL TO MASTER'S LEVEL. THIS IS
15	OUR LONGEST CONTINUOUSLY RUNNING TRAINING PROGRAM.
16	IT WAS LAUNCHED IN 2009, AND IT IS CONTINUING TO
17	PRESENT.
18	AND WE HAVE OUR RESEARCH TRAINING OR EDUC4
19	AWARDS, WHICH ARE CALLED THE CIRM SCHOLARS PROGRAM.
20	THIS SUPPORTS STUDENTS AT PREDOCTORAL LEVELS AS WELL
21	AS POSTDOCTORAL FELLOWS AND CLINICAL FELLOWS. THIS
22	PROGRAM WAS THE FIRST AWARD MECHANISM FUNDED UNDER
23	CIRM BACK IN 2006, AND IT RAN THESE AWARDS
24	ACTUALLY THIS PROGRAM WRAPPED UP. THE LAST OF THEM
25	ENDED IN 2017. SO THIS PROGRAM HAD A PERIOD OF

1	DORMANCY UNTIL PROPOSITION 14 ALLOWED US TO
2	RE-IMPLEMENT THIS PROGRAM UNDER THE NEW TERMS OF
3	PROPOSITION 14.
4	SO NOW TAKING US TO THE PRESENT. WE HAVE
5	FOUR ACTIVE PROGRAMS GOING FORWARD. AS DR. MILLAN
6	MENTIONED, ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS THAT HAPPENED
7	AFTER THE PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION 14 IS WE UPDATED
8	AND RELAUNCHED OUR LONGSTANDING TRAINING GRANT
9	PROGRAM SO THAT THEY COULD CONTINUE WHICH INCLUDE,
10	OF COURSE, THE SPARK OR HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAM THAT I
11	DESCRIBED, THE BRIDGES PROGRAM, AND THE CIRM
12	SCHOLARS PROGRAM.
13	AND WE'VE RECENTLY ADDED A NEW TRAINING
14	GRANT PROGRAM TO THIS CALLED THE COMPASS AWARDS.
15	I'M GOING TO GO OVER EACH OF THESE PROGRAMS AND GIVE
16	YOU AN UPDATE ON WHAT'S BEEN HAPPENING SINCE THESE
17	PROGRAMS WERE RELAUNCHED BEGINNING IN EARLY 2021 AND
18	MOST RECENTLY LAST MONTH WHEN YOU FUNDED THE COMPASS
19	PROGRAM.
20	SO FIRST OF ALL, LET'S GO TO THE BRIDGES
21	PROGRAM, WHICH HAS BEEN THE ONE THAT HAS BEEN
22	RUNNING THE LONGEST, 13 YEARS AND COUNTING. THE
23	OBJECTIVE OF THE BRIDGES PROGRAM IS TO PREPARE
24	CALIFORNIA'S DIVERSE UNDERGRADUATE AND MASTER'S
25	GRADUATE STUDENTS FOR HIGHLY PRODUCTIVE CAREERS IN

1	STEM CELL AND GENE THERAPY RESEARCH AND THERAPY
2	DEVELOPMENT. THIS PROGRAM IS STRUCTURED BY
3	INTEGRATION OF THE STEM CELL AND REGENERATIVE
4	MEDICINE FOCUS WITHIN THAT BACHELOR'S, MASTER'S, OR
5	CERTIFICATE GRANTING PROGRAMS AT INSTITUTIONS,
6	SPECIFICALLY INSTITUTIONS THAT DID NOT RECEIVE
7	CIRM-FUNDED INFRASTRUCTURE AWARDS UNDER PROPOSITION
8	71. SO TEACHING UNIVERSITIES AND UNIVERSITIES THAT
9	DON'T HAVE MAJOR FEDERALLY FUNDED OR REGENERATIVE
10	MEDICINE INFRASTRUCTURE ON THEIR OWN.
11	THESE ARE THE LIST OF THE INSTITUTIONS
12	THAT CURRENTLY HAVE BRIDGES AWARDS, AND YOU CAN SEE
13	THAT THEY RANGE FROM AS FAR NORTH AS CALPOLY
14	HUMBOLDT AND AS FAR SOUTH AS SAN DIEGO STATE
15	UNIVERSITY. THE MAJORITY OF THESE PROGRAMS ARE AT
16	CAL STATE UNIVERSITIES, BUT THREE OF THEM ARE BASED
17	AT COMMUNITY COLLEGES, CITY COLLEGE SAN FRANCISCO,
18	BERKELEY CITY COLLEGE, AND PASADENA CITY COLLEGE.
19	NOW, WHILE THERE ARE 15 BRIDGES PROGRAMS
20	THAT YOU SEE HERE, THEY ARE ALL A LITTLE BIT
21	DIFFERENT IN THEIR FOCUS. WHILE THEY'RE ALL A
22	LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT, THEY ALL DO HAVE SOME FEATURES
23	IN COMMON HOWEVER, WHICH IS THAT THEY OFFER
24	SPECIALIZED COURSES AND WORKSHOPS SPECIFIC TO THEIR
25	OWN PROGRAMS. ALL BRIDGES STUDENTS RECEIVE A

1	HANDS-ON ADVANCED CELL CULTURE TECHNIQUES COURSE.
2	THEY ALL PARTICIPATE IN PATIENT ENGAGEMENT AND
3	COMMUNITY OUTREACH ACTIVITIES TO HELP THEM BECOME
4	AMBASSADORS AND COMMUNICATORS WITH THEIR COMMUNITIES
5	AND UNDERSTAND THE PERSPECTIVE OF PATIENTS.
6	BEGINNING WITH THE PROPOSITION 14 RELAUNCH
7	OF THESE PROGRAMS, THERE IS INCLUDED A FORMAL
8	DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION PLAN. AND KIND OF
9	THE HALLMARK OF THE BRIDGES PROGRAM IS THE HANDS-ON,
10	PAID RESEARCH INTERNSHIP THAT TAKES PLACE AT A HOST
11	INSTITUTION. SO THESE STUDENTS ACTUALLY VISIT
12	NEARBY OR IN SOME CASES ACROSS THE STATE WORLD-CLASS
13	RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS TO PERFORM PAID, HANDS-ON
14	INTERNSHIPS AND GAIN VALUABLE LABORATORY EXPERIENCE.
15	THE CULMINATION FOR ALL OF THESE STUDENTS
16	IS AN ANNUAL BRIDGES CONFERENCE WHERE THEY'RE ALL
17	BROUGHT TOGETHER SO THAT THEY CAN NETWORK AND SHARE
18	THEIR PROGRESS WITH ONE ANOTHER.
19	WE WERE ABLE TO HAVE THE ANNUAL CONFERENCE
20	FOR THE BRIDGES AWARDS IN PERSON FOR THE FIRST TIME
21	IN TWO YEARS LAST JULY. THIS TOOK PLACE IN SAN
22	DIEGO. AND IT WAS A REALLY EXCITING OPPORTUNITY FOR
23	US TO ALL BE ABLE TO COME TOGETHER AFTER HAVING
24	VIRTUAL EXPERIENCES FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS. THE
25	GENERAL PROGRAM OF THIS CONFERENCE INCLUDED
	22

1	SCIENTIFIC SESSIONS THAT FEATURED SPEAKERS, RECENT
2	AND LONG-STANDING CIRM GRANTEES SPEAKING ABOUT THEIR
3	CUTTING EDGE AND INNOVATIVE NEW RESEARCH INTO STEM
4	CELL MODELS, GENE, AND STEM CELL THERAPIES.
5	WE HAD A DEI KEYNOTE ADDRESS FROM DR.
6	MARSHA TREADWELL, WHO IS THE CO-CHAIR FOR DEI
7	COUNCIL UCSF BENIOFF AND AN EXPERT IN THIS AREA WHO
8	BROUGHT REALLY INTERESTING AND POWERFUL INSIGHTS TO
9	THE STUDENTS. THE TRAINEES HAD A POSTER SESSION TO
10	PRESENT THEIR RESEARCH. THE PROGRAM ALSO FEATURED
11	CAREER TOPIC TABLES WHERE THEY COULD NETWORK AND
12	EXPLORE DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS THAT THEIR CAREERS
13	MIGHT TAKE ONCE THEY CONCLUDE THEIR BRIDGES PROGRAM.
14	AND THERE WAS AN ADVOCACY PANEL ON
15	COMMUNICATIONS PRESENTATIONS THAT WERE ORGANIZED BY
16	OUR COMMUNICATIONS TEAM. YOU MIGHT NOTICE IN THIS
17	PICTURE BELOW, THIS IS FROM LAST JULY. AND MS.
18	YSABEL DURON PARTICIPATED IN OUR ADVOCACY PANEL THAT
19	WAS HOSTED AND ORGANIZED BY CIRM'S OWN KATIE
20	SHARIFY, WHO YOU ALSO SEE IN THAT PICTURE. AND THAT
21	WAS A VERY SUCCESSFUL AND WELL-RECEIVED PANEL, AND
22	WE ARE VERY EXCITED AROUT THE URTHE MORE OF THESE
	WE ARE VERY EXCITED ABOUT INCLUDING MORE OF THESE
23	TYPES OF ACTIVITIES IN OUR FUTURE CONFERENCES.
23 24 25	TYPES OF ACTIVITIES IN OUR FUTURE CONFERENCES.

1	NOW, OVER TIME THERE ARE CURRENTLY ALMOST 2,000
2	ALUMNI FROM THIS PROGRAM, CURRENTLY A LITTLE OVER
3	1700, BUT THERE ARE 89 MORE THAT ARE ACTIVELY
4	COMPLETING IN THIS YEAR'S COHORT. FORTY-ONE OF
5	THOSE ARE FROM THE PROPOSITION 14 PROGRAMS WHICH
6	LAUNCHED LAST FALL. SO PROPOSITION 14 RELAUNCHES
7	ARE JUST GETTING GOING, SO THIS NUMBER IS GOING TO
8	GO UP BY QUITE A BIT OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS.
9	THERE ARE OVER 500 DIFFERENT RESEARCH
10	MENTORS PARTICIPATING IN THIS PROGRAM AND HOSTING
11	THESE STUDENTS IN THEIR LABORATORIES. OVER 70 OF
12	THESE HOST INSTITUTIONS HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING, AND
13	39 OF THOSE ARE BIOTECH COMPANIES. THIRTY-ONE OF
14	THE HOST INSTITUTIONS WERE ACADEMIC OR NONPROFIT
15	RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS. AND THIS LAST BULLET IS
16	SHOWING THAT I WAS REALLY EXCITED TO DISCOVER AS I
17	WAS GOING OVER THE DATA THIS YEAR, SINCE 2009, WHEN
18	THIS PROGRAM LAUNCHED, WE HAD 386 NEW MENTORS JOIN
19	THE PROGRAM TO OFFER HOST INTERNSHIPS FOR THESE
20	STUDENTS AND 43 DIFFERENT HOST SITES. MOST OF THESE
21	ARE THE NEW BIOTECH COMPANIES THAT HAVE SPRUNG UP
22	OVER THE YEARS. AND IT IS ALSO EVIDENCE OF GROWTH
23	IN OUR FIELD AS DR. MILLAN WAS REFERRING TO IN HER
24	EARLIER SLIDE.
25	OVER ON THE RIGHT IS A PIE CHART THAT
	2.4

1	SHOWS YOU THE APPROXIMATE PROPORTIONS OF THE ALUMNI
2	THAT ARE COMING OUT OF OUR BRIDGES PROGRAM BY THE
3	CULMINATING DEGREE THAT THEY SEEK IN THE PROGRAM.
4	JUST UNDER HALF ARE COMING WITH MASTER'S DEGREES,
5	AND THE REMAINDERS ARE EITHER DEVELOPING A STEM CELL
6	FOCUS WITHIN THEIR BACHELOR'S PROGRAM OR A
7	CERTIFICATE PROGRAM.
8	SCIENTIFICALLY BRIDGES TRAINEES HAVE
9	CONTRIBUTED TO OVER 364 PUBLICATIONS IN SCIENTIFIC
10	JOURNALS. AND JUST TO TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT
11	WHERE WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO TRACK WHERE THESE TRAINEES
12	HAVE GONE ON TO, ABOUT A THIRD OF THEM CONTINUE TO
13	PURSUE FURTHER EDUCATION, EITHER A PH.D., M.D., OR
14	SOME OTHER TYPE OF PROFESSIONAL DEGREE. ABOUT 65
15	PERCENT OF THOSE REPORTING ARE EMPLOYED, ABOUT 30
16	PERCENT OF THOSE IN AN ACADEMIC OR RESEARCH
17	LABORATORY, AND JUST SLIGHTLY LESS THAN THAT, 28
18	PERCENT, IN INDUSTRY OR BIOTECH LABORATORIES.
19	OTHERS GO ON INTO OTHER HEALTH PROFESSIONS:
20	DENTISTRY, VETERINARY MEDICINE, OR OTHER STEM
21	FIELDS. AND A SMALL PROPORTION OF THEM DO PURSUE
22	NONSCIENTIFIC PROFESSIONS, WHICH IN SOME CASES
23	INCLUDES TEACHING. AND THEN A SMALL NUMBER ARE
24	ACTIVELY SEEKING POSITIONS OR APPLYING TO GRADUATE
25	SCHOOL AT THIS TIME.

1	HERE ARE JUST A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES OF SOME
2	ALUMNI WHO HAVE COME FROM OUR BRIDGES PROGRAM THAT
3	COVER SOME OF THE DIFFERENT CAREER TRACKS I JUST
4	TALKED ABOUT. NICOLE RENEE SPARKS ON THE LEFT CAME
5	FROM THE CAL STATE UNIVERSITY SAN BERNARDINO
6	PROGRAM. SHE DID HER INTERNSHIP AT UC RIVERSIDE
7	BACK IN 2011 TO 2012. AND SHE WAS RECENTLY HIRED AS
8	AN ASSISTANT PROFESSOR UC IRVINE.
9	IN THE MIDDLE HERE WE HAVE ELIZABETH BENZ
10	WHO RECEIVED HER MASTER'S DEGREE AT CAL POLY SAN
11	LUIS OBISPO. SHE DID HER INTERNSHIP AT VIACYTE,
12	SPECIFICALLY IN THE PROCESS ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT,
13	AND GAINED SKILLS IN THAT AREA. SHE HAS NOW GONE ON
14	TO BECOME A SENIOR PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT ENGINEER AT
15	ILLUMINA. AND REFERRING AGAIN BACK TO MARIA'S
16	PRESENTATION, THIS IS ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF HOW OUR
17	TRAINEES, WHILE THEY ARE IN TRAINING, ARE ACTUALLY
18	CONTRIBUTING TO THE VERY PRODUCTS SUCH AS THE
19	VIACYTE DIABETES THERAPY THAT YOU HEARD ABOUT
20	EARLIER. THEY'RE GAINING TRAINING WHILE THEY ARE
21	CONTRIBUTING TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THOSE PRODUCTS.
22	AND THEN ONCE GRADUATING FROM THE PROGRAM, THEY ARE
23	TAKING THOSE SKILLS AND APPLYING THEM IN A NEW
24	DIRECTION AS LEADERS.
25	FINALLY, ON THE RIGHT I WANT TO INTRODUCE
	26

1	CANDIDA TORIBIO WHO IS A GRADUATE OF THE PASADENA
2	CITY COLLEGE BRIDGES PROGRAM. SHE DID HER
3	INTERNSHIP AT USC, AND SHE WAS RECENTLY HIRED AT
4	CIRM AS THE PROJECT MANAGER FOR THE SCIENTIFIC
5	PROGRAMS TEAM WHERE SHE IS CONTRIBUTING SOME AMAZING
6	SKILLS. AND SHE HAS BOTH THE SCIENTIFIC KNOWLEDGE
7	AND THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT SKILLS THAT HELP US DO
8	ALL OF OUR JOBS MORE EFFECTIVELY. AND SHE'S ALSO
9	ABLE TO PROVIDE A UNIQUE PERSPECTIVE AS HAVING BEEN
10	A PARTICIPANT IN THIS PROGRAM HERSELF.
11	NEXT I WOULD LIKE TO BRIEFLY GO OVER
12	UPDATES TO OUR SPARK PROGRAM, WHICH IS OUR HIGH
13	SCHOOL PROGRAM. SO, AGAIN, THE OBJECTIVE OF SPARK
14	IS TO PROVIDE DIVERSE HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS WITH
15	HANDS-ON TRAINING IN STEM CELL/GENE THERAPY RESEARCH
16	THROUGH SUMMER INTERNSHIP PROGRAMS AND REALLY TO
17	INSPIRE THEIR INTEREST IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE.
18	SPARK PROGRAMS SUPPLEMENT AND INTEGRATE
19	WITHIN EXISTING SUMMER PROGRAMS THAT ARE SPONSORED
20	BY ELIGIBLE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTIONS. CURRENTLY
21	THERE 11 SPARK PROGRAMS AROUND THE STATE. WE HAVE
22	SEVEN PRIOR TO THE PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION 14, AND
23	NOW WE HAVE 11. AND YOU CAN SEE THE LOCATIONS AND
24	THE INSTITUTIONS THAT ARE OFFERING THIS TRAINING TO
25	OUR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS. SOME OF THE NEWER

1	PROGRAMS THAT WERE ADDED THIS YEAR INCLUDE UC
2	RIVERSIDE AND SANFORD BURNHAM PREBYS AND UC SAN
3	DIEGO AND CHARLES DREW UNIVERSITY.
4	THE SPARK PROGRAMS ARE ALL A LITTLE BIT
5	DIFFERENT AS WELL, BUT HAVE THESE FEATURES IN
6	COMMON. THEY OFFER PREPARATORY COURSES OR WORKSHOPS
7	FOR THE STUDENTS. THEY OFFER PATIENT ENGAGEMENT
8	ACTIVITIES SO THAT THEY MAY GAIN UNDERSTANDING AND
9	INSIGHTS FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF PATIENTS. A LARGE
10	COMPONENT OF THEIR PROGRAM IS COMMUNITY OUTREACH,
11	PARTICULARLY THROUGH SOCIAL MEDIA PROJECTS. AND
12	YOU WILL HEAR A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THAT TOWARDS THE
13	END OF MY PRESENTATION. AND THEN, OF COURSE, THE
14	SUMMER HANDS-ON RESEARCH INTERNSHIPS. THE
15	CULMINATION OF THIS IS AN ANNUAL POSTER DAY EVENT,
16	WHICH WE WERE ALSO ABLE TO HOLD IN PERSON THIS YEAR
17	IN AUGUST. AND I'LL BE SHOWING YOU ON MY SLIDES A
18	COUPLE PICTURES FROM THIS EVENT AS YOU CAN SEE
19	BELOW.
20	SO THE ANNUAL MEETING WAS VERY EXCITING
21	AND INTERESTING THIS YEAR. IT WAS HELD AT THE
22	BENIOFF CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL IN OAKLAND AND THE
23	HISTORIC MLK RESEARCH BUILDING. AND YOU CAN SEE
24	THIS PICTURE BELOW WHERE THE STUDENTS ARE IN THE
25	LIBRARY, THE BEAUTIFUL LIBRARY THERE, LISTENING TO

1	PRESENTATIONS.
2	THE THEME OF THIS MEETING WAS SICKLE CELL
3	DISEASE, WHICH COULDN'T BE MORE APPROPRIATE, AT THE
4	SITE OF THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL THERE.
5	PRESENTATION, THE KEYNOTE SCIENTIFIC PRESENTATION
6	WAS DR. MARK WALTERS WHO TALKED ABOUT THE
7	DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLICATIONS OF GENE THERAPY FOR
8	SICKLE CELL DISEASE.
9	DR. MARSHA TREADWELL, ALSO WEARING HER HAT
10	AS THE DIRECTOR OF THE SICKLE CELL CARE CENTER AT
11	UCF BENIOFF, SPOKE ABOUT BOTH THE SCIENTIFIC AND
12	DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION ASPECTS THAT ARE
13	VERY IMPORTANT, ESPECIALLY IN THE AREA OF SICKLE
14	CELL DISEASE, AND THE IMPACT ON THE COMMUNITIES THAT
15	SUFFER FROM SICKLE CELL DISEASE.
16	AND A VERY TALENTED AND YOUNG AND
17	INSPIRING PATIENT ADVOCATE, CHRISTELLE SALOMON,
18	TALKED ABOUT HER EXPERIENCES RECEIVING A BONE MARROW
19	TRANSPLANT TO TREAT HER SICKLE CELL DISEASE AND HOW
20	IT'S INSPIRED HER TO CONTINUE AS AN ADVOCATE IN THIS
21	AREA GOING FORWARD. THIS CONFERENCE FEATURED
22	STUDENT PRESENTATIONS AND POSTERS AND ALSO A CAMPUS
23	TOUR AND SOCIAL ACTIVITY.
24	SO SINCE THE INCEPTION OF THE SPARK
25	PROGRAM, THERE HAVE BEEN 621 ALUMNI. HUNDRED AND

1	SIX ARE FROM THE CLASS OF '22. SO THAT IS JUST FROM
2	THIS MOST RECENT SUMMER UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE
3	PROPOSITION 14 RELAUNCH. THERE ARE OVER 200
4	PARTICIPATING HIGH SCHOOLS WHOSE STUDENTS COME IN
5	AND WORK IN THESE LABS OVER THE SUMMER. OUR
6	TRACKING INFORMATION ON THE ALUMNI IS NOT FOR THE
7	ENTIRE CADRE, AND THAT'S BECAUSE MANY OF THEM ARE
8	STILL IN HIGH SCHOOL AND COMPLETING JUNIOR OR SENIOR
9	YEAR. HOWEVER, THE INFORMATION THAT I'VE BEEN ABLE
LO	TO OBTAIN, IT LOOKS LIKE ABOUT 38 PERCENT DO GO ON
L1	TO PURSUE BACHELOR'S OR UNDERGRADUATE DEGREES AFTER
L2	COMPLETING THEIR HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA. ABOUT 38
L3	PERCENT OF THE ALUMNI WE'VE TRACKED ARE STILL IN
L4	COLLEGE, 20 PERCENT ARE STILL IN HIGH SCHOOL, AND 3
L5	PERCENT HAVE REACHED THE POINT WHERE THEY ARE
L6	PURSUING OR HAVE ALREADY OBTAINED GRADUATE DEGREES.
L7	OF THE 141 WHO DID INDICATE THEIR MAJOR, 96 WERE IN
L8	BIOLOGY OR IN OTHER STEM DISCIPLINES.
L9	A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES OF SOME SPARK ALUMNI
20	FROM PAST AND PRESENT INCLUDE AMANDA WU WHO WAS A
21	PARTICIPANT IN THE SPARK PROGRAM IN 2016. SHE'S
22	CURRENTLY AN M.D./PH.D. CANDIDATE. MAYA ENRIQUEZ
23	WHO PARTICIPATED IN SPARK IN 2012 AND HAS
24	SUBSEQUENTLY RECEIVED A MASTER'S IN INTEGRATED
25	BIOLOGY. AND DENNIS PORTILLO WHO GRADUATED FROM THE

1	CEDARS-SINAI PROGRAM IN 2017 WHO'S CURRENTLY
2	PURSUING A DEGREE AT YALE IN POLITICAL SCIENCE AND
3	DOING AN INTERNSHIP IN GOVERNMENT AND PUBLIC
4	SERVICES. SO I THINK THIS IS A FANTASTIC EXAMPLE OF
5	HOW ONE OF OUR ALUMNI IS GETTING INVOLVED IN PUBLIC
6	SERVICE AND GOVERNMENT WITH THE BACKGROUND AND
7	UNDERSTANDING AND ADVOCACY FOR STEM CELL SCIENCE
8	THAT ARE RECEIVED IN THE SPARK PROGRAM.
9	THE THIRD SECTION OF MY TALK WILL GO OVER
10	THE RESEARCH TRAINING PROGRAM OR THE CIRM SCHOLARS.
11	I WON'T HAVE AS MUCH TO UPDATE ON THIS BECAUSE THIS
12	PROGRAM WAS ONLY RELAUNCHED AFTER HAVING BEEN
13	DORMANT FOR SEVERAL YEARS. HOWEVER, IT IS ACTIVE
14	AND HAS BEEN ONGOING FOR ALMOST A YEAR NOW. THE
15	OBJECTIVE OF THIS PROGRAM IS TO CREATE A DIVERSE
16	CADRE OF SCIENTISTS WITH THE KNOWLEDGE AND SKILL TO
17	LEAD EFFECTIVE STEM CELL/GENE THERAPY RESEARCH. SO
18	THESE ARE FUTURE FACULTY MEMBERS, FUTURE PRINCIPAL
19	INVESTIGATORS IN LABORATORIES AND COMPANIES.
20	EACH OF THE INSTITUTIONS THAT OFFERS ONE
21	OF THESE PROGRAMS PROVIDES A SINGLE INTEGRATED
22	PROGRAM OF TRAINING THAT IS APPROPRIATE FOR THE
23	EDUCATIONAL LEVELS OF ITS TRAINEES AND THE EXPERTISE
24	OF ITS FACULTY. THESE ARE THE LOCATIONS OF THE 18
25	PROGRAMS THAT ARE OFFERING THIS GRADUATE AND

1	POSTDOCTORAL AND CLINICAL LEVEL TRAINING. YOU CAN
2	SEE THAT INCLUDES MANY OF THE MAJOR RESEARCH
3	INSTITUTIONS AND MEDICAL SCHOOLS AROUND THE STATE OF
4	CALIFORNIA.
5	ALL OF THESE PROGRAMS, AS IN THE CASES OF
6	OUR OTHER ONES THAT I DESCRIBED TODAY, ARE A LITTLE
7	DIFFERENT FROM ONE ANOTHER, BUT SHARE SOME FEATURES
8	IN COMMON. THEY PROVIDE SPECIALIZED COURSES AND
9	WORKSHOPS TO THE TRAINEES, STEM CELL ETHICS
10	TRAINING. EACH TRAINEE WILL HAVE A TWO- TO
11	THREE-YEAR LABORATORY APPOINTMENT WHERE THEY'RE
12	GAINING AND DEVELOPING THEIR RESEARCH SKILLS AND
13	EXPERTISE. THEY DO PARTICIPATE IN PATIENT
14	ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES AND COMMUNITY OUTREACH
15	ACTIVITIES JUST AS THEY DO IN OUR OTHER PROGRAMS.
16	THERE HAS BEEN A FORMAL DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND
17	INCLUSION PLAN INTEGRATED WITHIN THESE PROGRAMS.
18	AND THERE WILL BE SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCE ATTENDANCE
19	AS WELL.
20	I'VE ONLY BEEN ABLE TO REVIEW THESE
21	NUMBERS VERY SLIGHTLY SINCE THE PROGRAM HASN'T EVEN
22	BEEN RELAUNCHED FOR A YEAR YET. HOWEVER, THERE ARE
23	CURRENTLY 1,073 CIRM SCHOLARS TRAINED OR IN TRAINING
24	TO DATE. 133 OF THIS NUMBER WERE ADDED SINCE
25	PROPOSITION 14 RELAUNCHED. THE BREAKDOWN OF THESE

1	TRAINEES IS 376 PH.D STUDENTS, 521 POSTDOCS, 178
2	CLINICAL FELLOWS. THESE TRAINEES HAVE CONTRIBUTED
3	TO A LARGER BODY OF SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS. AT
4	THIS POINT WE'RE UP OVER 1100, AND THAT'S JUST FROM
5	THE FIRST ITERATION OF THIS PROGRAM.
6	WE DON'T HAVE AS RECENT OUTCOMES TO REPORT
7	SINCE THIS PROGRAM WAS DORMANT, AS I MENTIONED;
8	HOWEVER, I'M VERY EXCITED TO BE ABLE TO START
9	TRACKING AND RETROACTIVELY GETTING INFORMATION ABOUT
10	THE TRAINEES NOW THAT THIS PROGRAM HAS BEEN
11	RELAUNCHED. I CAN TELL YOU ANECDOTALLY THAT A
12	NUMBER OF THEM HAVE GONE ON AND BECOME FACULTY
13	WITHIN OUR OWN STATE AND HAVE CALLED ME TO TALK
14	ABOUT APPLYING TO OUR CIRM PROGRAMS IN THE DISCOVERY
15	STAGE PILLAR. SO WE KNOW OF A NUMBER OF THEM THAT
16	HAVE INDEED GONE ON TO BE LEADERS IN ACADEMIA AND IN
17	COMPANIES AS WELL.
18	AND DERRICK ROSSI'S NAME CAME UP EARLIER
19	IN J.T.'S PRESENTATION. HE'S ONE OF THE MOST
20	WELL-KNOWN CIRM SCHOLARS.
21	FINALLY, I WILL TALK JUST A LITTLE BIT
22	ABOUT THE COMPASS PROGRAM WHICH ONLY JUST LAUNCHED.
23	WE'RE VERY EXCITED TO LAUNCH THIS NEW PROGRAM THAT
24	TARGETS DIVERSE UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS TO PROVIDE
25	TRAINING FOR CAREERS IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE

1	THROUGH THE CREATION OF NOVEL RECRUITMENT AND
2	SUPPORT MECHANISMS THAT WILL IDENTIFY AND FOSTER
3	UNTAPPED TALENT.
4	THE STRUCTURE OF THESE PROGRAMS ARE
5	INTEGRATED WITHIN BACHELOR'S DEGREE PROGRAMS AT
6	COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES WITH ACCESS TO
7	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE-RELATED LABORATORIES. SO THEY
8	DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE TO HAVE THESE LABORATORIES IN
9	HOUSE, BUT THEY HAVE TO HAVE ACCESS TO THOSE
10	LABORATORIES TO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE THE STUDENTS WITH
11	THE TRAINING. THESE PROGRAMS, IMPORTANTLY, IN
12	ADDITION TO TRAINING STUDENTS, THEY ARE CREATING AND
13	SUSTAINING A SUPPORTIVE AND INCLUSIVE TRAINING
14	ENVIRONMENT, THE CULTURAL CHANGE THAT DR. MILLAN WAS
15	REFERRING TO IN HER INTRODUCTION.
16	SO THIS PROGRAM FUNCTIONS BY KIND OF THREE
17	MAJOR COMPONENTS. OVER HERE ON THE LEFT IS THE
18	OUTREACH AND RECRUITMENT COMPONENT. THIS IS WHERE
19	THESE GRANTEES ARE CHALLENGED TO DEVELOP NOVEL AND
20	INNOVATIVE STRATEGIES TO IDENTIFY STUDENTS WHO MIGHT
21	BE UNDERREPRESENTED OR WHOSE PERSPECTIVES ARE
22	MISSING FROM OUR SCIENTIFIC WORKFORCE AND DEVELOP
23	STRATEGIES TO OUTREACH AND MAKE THESE PROGRAMS
24	ATTRACTIVE TO THEM. THE STRATEGY IS CALLED ADAPTIVE
25	BECAUSE IT'S LEARN AS WE GO. FIND OUT, ASSESS HOW
	4.4

1	WE ARE DOING, DETERMINE WHAT'S WORKING, WHAT'S NOT
2	WORKING, AND CHANGE AND ADAPT. THIS COMPONENT OF
3	THE PROGRAM IS SO IMPORTANT. THERE'S A KEY
4	PERSONNEL ROLE THAT'S DEDICATED TO OVERSEEING THIS.
5	AND, OF COURSE, A DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION
6	PLAN IS AN IMPORTANT PART OF THIS ARM OF THE
7	PROGRAM.
8	IN THE CENTER WE ARE DISPLAYING THE
9	EXPERIENCE THAT TRAINEES WHO ARE SELECTED TO
10	PARTICIPATE IN COMPASS WILL EXPERIENCE. THEY WILL
11	BE SUPPORTED FOR TWO TO THREE YEARS OF THEIR
12	UNDERGRADUATE DEGREE PROGRAM. THEY WILL RECEIVE
13	FOUNDATIONAL COURSES AND SPECIALIZED COURSES. THEY
14	WILL HAVE OPPORTUNITIES TO DO RESEARCH INTERNSHIPS
15	IN WORLD-CLASS LABORATORIES OVER THE SUMMER OR ON A
16	PART-TIME BASIS DURING THE YEAR WHILE THEY'RE TAKING
17	THEIR COURSES. AND THEY WILL RECEIVE STRATEGIC
18	MENTORING TO HELP THEM DEVELOP SOFT SKILLS, TO HELP
19	THEM FEEL INCLUDED, TO HELP THEM DEVELOP A SENSE OF
20	THEIR COHORT. SO BASICALLY TO PROVIDE AN EXPERIENCE
21	WHERE THEY FEEL SUPPORTED, INCLUDED, AND WELCOME.
22	AND FINALLY, THE THIRD VERY IMPORTANT
23	COMPONENT ON THE RIGHT IS THE MENTORSHIP PROGRAM.
24	WE ARE ALSO ASKING THESE PROGRAMS TO INNOVATE IN
25	THEIR MENTORSHIP PRACTICES, WHICH AT MINIMUM MUST

1	INCLUDE TRAINING OF ALL MENTORS AND PROGRAM
2	PARTICIPANTS IN DEI SENSITIVITY AND THE IMPORTANCE
3	OF INCLUSION. THEY WILL DEVELOP THE COHORT
4	ACTIVITIES THAT THE STUDENTS WILL PARTICIPATE IN
5	AND, GETTING TO DURON'S IMPORTANT POINT RAISED
6	EARLIER, THE SHARING OF PRACTICES. ANY INNOVATIONS
7	AND PRACTICES THAT ARE SUCCESSFUL, WE ARE REQUIRING
8	THEM TO BE SHARED WITH OTHERS SO THAT THE BENEFITS
9	OF THESE DEVELOPMENTS CAN EXTEND BEYOND JUST COMPASS
LO	GRANTEES, BUT TO OTHER PROGRAMS THAT ARE TRAINING
L1	OUR FUTURE WORKFORCE AND STUDENTS.
L2	SO THE COMPASS PROGRAMS ARE SPREAD ACROSS
L3	THE STATE AS YOU CAN SEE HERE. I, IN PARTICULAR,
L4	WOULD LIKE TO HIGHLIGHT THE TWO DOTS IN PURPLE THAT
L5	YOU SEE. THOSE ARE COMMUNITY COLLEGES: SOLANO
L6	COMMUNITY COLLEGE, MIRACOSTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE.
L7	THESE ARE FIRST-TIME CIRM GRANTEES. AND THEY ARE
L8	BOTH SPECIAL BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE CAPACITY AND
L9	EXPERTISE THERE TO TRAIN AROUND MANUFACTURING AND
20	CELL MANUFACTURING. AND YOU WILL HEAR A LOT MORE
21	ABOUT THIS IN THE FUTURE BECAUSE IT'S SUCH A
22	CRITICAL COMPONENT OF WHAT'S NEEDED TO ENSURE OUR
23	MISSION. BUT WE ARE VERY EXCITED TO WELCOME THOSE
24	INTO THE FOLD.
25	AND, IN PARTICULAR, I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT

1	ANOTHER SPECIAL ASPECT OF THOSE TWO PROGRAMS. THERE
2	ARE TWO KEY PERSONNEL, ONE INVOLVED AT SOLANO
3	COLLEGE AND ONE AT MIRACOSTA, KEAU WONG AND MICHAEL
4	SILVA, WHO ARE BRIDGES ALUMNI. AND THIS SLIDE JUST
5	DEPICTS THAT THEY BEGAN THEIR BRIDGES TRAINING WAY
6	BACK IN 2011 AND 2012. KEAU WAS AT THE SAN MARCOS
7	PROGRAM. MICHAEL SILVA WAS AT THE CSU CHANNEL
8	ISLANDS PROGRAM. ONCE THEY COMPLETED THEIR
9	TRAINING, THEY WENT INTO POSITIONS IN INDUSTRY, AND
10	NOW THEY'VE COME BACK TO CONTRIBUTE THEIR KNOWLEDGE
11	AND SKILLS TO HELP TRAIN MORE INDIVIDUALS SO THAT
12	THEY CAN BENEFIT FROM THE SAME TYPES OF
13	OPPORTUNITIES THAT THEY DID. THESE ARE BOTH MEMBERS
14	OF THE LEADERSHIP TEAM ON THESE PROGRAMS, AND WE'RE
15	REALLY LOOKING FORWARD TO WORKING WITH THEM AND
16	GETTING THEIR PERSPECTIVE AND HELPING US IMPROVE ALL
17	OF OUR PROGRAMS ACROSS THE BOARD. I'LL GET BACK TO
18	KEAU AT THE END OF MY TALK BECAUSE HE HAS A SPECIAL
19	MESSAGE FOR THE BOARD.
20	SO IN SUM, I'VE GONE OVER THE RECENT
21	PROGRESS IN THESE FOUR PROGRAMS. THIS IS JUST A
22	SUMMARY SLIDE THAT COMBINES THE TOTAL NUMBER OF
23	TRAINEES THAT HAVE BEEN TRAINED TO DATE THROUGH
24	THESE PROGRAMS AS WELL AS THE NUMBER OF TRAINEES
25	THAT WE EXPECT TO BE ADDED TO THIS ALUMNI OVER THE

1	NEXT FOUR TO FIVE YEARS. SO HOPEFULLY AT A FUTURE
2	UPDATE I'LL BE ABLE TO SHARE MORE EXCITING NEWS, AND
3	THE COLORS THAT YOU SEE ON THE SLIDE WILL CHANGE.
4	NOW, JUST BEFORE I GO TO MY FINAL SLIDES
5	WHERE I SHARE A COUPLE OF REAL-LIFE TRAINEE
6	EXPERIENCES WITH YOU, I JUST WANTED TO ALLUDE
7	BRIEFLY BACK TO SOMETHING THAT DR. MILLAN PRESENTED.
8	WE ARE ACTIVELY IMPLEMENTING PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS
9	BASED ON GRANTS WORKING GROUP FEEDBACK AND BOARD
10	FEEDBACK AS WELL AS LESSONS FROM OUR 15 YEARS OF
11	INTERNAL EXPERIENCE MANAGING THESE TRAINING GRANT
12	PROGRAMS. THERE WILL BE NEW PROGRESS REPORT
13	ENHANCEMENTS TO HELP US BETTER CAPTURE QUANTITATIVE
14	AND REPORTABLE OUTCOMES RELATED TO DEI AT BOTH THE
15	TRAINEE AND INSTITUTIONAL LEVEL. WE ARE DEVELOPING
16	ENHANCEMENTS AND UPDATES TO OUR ALUMNI TRACKING
17	SYSTEM. AND, OF COURSE, AS I ALLUDED TO, DEVELOPING
18	SYNERGIES AND ALIGNMENTS BETWEEN AND ACROSS THE EDUC
19	PROGRAMS, INCLUDING THE SHARING OF BEST PRACTICES
20	AND INNOVATIONS.
21	SO FOR THE FINAL PART OF MY PRESENTATION I
22	JUST WANTED TO SHARE A FEW EXCERPTS OF SOME TRAINEE
23	EXPERIENCES IN THEIR OWN WORDS. I PRESENTED MANY
24	FACTS AND FIGURES AND NUMBERS TO YOU, BUT THERE'S
25	NOTHING THAT CONVEYS A MESSAGE LIKE A PERSONAL ONE.

1	SO ON MY FIRST SLIDE HERE ARE A COUPLE OF
2	QUOTES FROM SOME RECENT SPARK TRAINEES. ALEXA
3	PARTICIPATED IN THE SANFORD BURNHAM PROGRAM LAST
4	SUMMER. SHE TELLS US, "IT IS NOT NORMAL TO BE
5	PRESENTED WITH AN OPPORTUNITY LIKE THIS FROM WHERE
6	I'M FROM BECAUSE IT'S A SMALL AND LOW INCOME TOWN.
7	WHEN I TOLD MY FAMILY ABOUT THIS, THEY WERE VERY
8	SUPPORTIVE. EVEN THOUGH I WOULD NEED TO SPEND MY
9	SUMMER INTERNSHIP AWAY FROM MY HOMETOWN, THEY WERE
10	OKAY WITH IT BECAUSE THEY KNEW THAT I COULD NOT MISS
11	OUT ON THE OPPORTUNITY."
12	ON THE BOTTOM PART OF MY SLIDE, ANVITHA
13	TOLD US SHE HAD AN AMAZING TIME THIS SUMMER WORKING
14	UNDER HER PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR AND MENTOR. SHE
15	SAID THE AMOUNT OF LESSON SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE SHE
16	LEARNED OVER THESE PAST TEN WEEKS SHOWS HOW MUCH A
17	DEDICATED, COMMITTED, AND CURIOUS STUDENT CAN DO.
18	BOTH OF THESE STUDENTS ARE STILL IN HIGH
19	SCHOOL, AND WE EXPECT GREAT THINGS FROM THEM IN THE
20	FUTURE.
21	A COUPLE OF STORIES FROM SOME BRIDGES
22	ALUMNI. JENNIFER HAMPTON HILL AT CALPOLY HUMBOLDT,
23	A GRADUATE FROM THE 2011 PROGRAM, MENTIONED THAT SHE
24	WAS A GOOD STUDENT AS AN UNDERGRADUATE, BUT WASN'T
25	REALLY PREPARED FOR HER NEXT STEPS; BUT LUCKILY HER
	40

1	MENTORS, DR. SPROWLES AND VARKEY, ENCOURAGED HER TO
2	APPLY FOR THE BRIDGES PROGRAM. SHE SAYS IF SHE
3	HADN'T DONE IT, SHE WASN'T SURE SHE WOULD HAVE GONE
4	TO GRADUATE SCHOOL. SHE'S EXTREMELY THANKFUL FOR
5	THE EXPERIENCE. IT WAS PIVOTAL FOR HER CAREER.
6	SHE'S CURRENTLY A POSTDOC AT THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH,
7	AND SHE RECENTLY WON A VERY PRESTIGIOUS NOSTER IN
8	SCIENCE MICROBIOME PRIZE FOR HER DISCOVERY THAT
9	BACTERIAL CUES IN THE GUT CAN STIMULATE DEVELOPMENT
10	OF INSULIN PRODUCING CELLS. SO HER WORK IS ALSO
11	TOUCHING ON THE DIABETES FIELD FROM A DIFFERENT
12	ANGLE, BUT HAVING AN IMPACT ALREADY.
13	ON THE LOWER PART OF MY SLIDE IS MIKO
14	MALLARI WHO IS A STUDENT AT ONE OF OUR COMMUNITY
15	COLLEGE-BASED BRIDGES PROGRAMS, CITY COLLEGE OF SAN
16	FRANCISCO, VERY RECENTLY. HE'S AN EXAMPLE OF A
17	STUDENT WHO HAD COME BACK TO OBTAIN SKILLS, THAT HE
18	WASN'T PARTICULARLY HAPPY WITH THE WAY HIS CAREER
19	WAS GOING WITH HIS CURRENT DEGREE, SO HE CAME BACK
20	TO OBTAIN SOME ADDITIONAL SKILLS BECAUSE HE WASN'T
21	SURE THAT HE HAD WHAT IT TAKES TO BE A SCIENTIST.
22	HE NOW SAYS, "I'M SO GLAD TO HAVE TAKEN THE TIME TO
23	PROGRESS THROUGH THE BIOTECH PROGRAM. I OWE A LOT
24	OF MY SUCCESS TO THE MENTORS THAT I MET THERE, AND I
25	FEEL MORE THAN READY FOR MY NEXT STEPS." HE'S

1	CURRENTLY BEEN HIRED AS A RESEARCH ASSOCIATE AT SANA
2	BIOTECHNOLOGY, WHICH IS A WELL KNOWN AND HIGHLY
3	PROMISING LOCAL COMPANY WELL, THERE'S A LOCAL
4	BRANCH ANYWAY.
5	AND, FINALLY, MY LAST SLIDE. THERE ARE
6	JUST A COUPLE OF MORE INTERN STORIES THAT ARE VERY
7	RECENT. KEVIN BROWN FROM THE CSU SAN MARCOS PROGRAM
8	ACTUALLY RECORDED A VIDEO FOR US. WHILE WE DIDN'T
9	HAVE TIME TO SHOW THE WHOLE THING AT THIS MEETING,
10	WE WILL FIND A WAY TO MAKE IT AVAILABLE FOR ANYONE
11	INTERESTED THROUGH SOME OF OUR OTHER OUTREACH
12	OPPORTUNITIES.
13	KEVIN TELLS US, "A LOT OF THE
14	RELATIONSHIPS I MADE HELPED ME MOVE FORWARD WHERE I
15	WANTED TO GO AND WHAT I WANTED TO DO. THIS
16	EXPERIENCE OPENED MY EYES TO THE PLETHORA OF
17	POSSIBILITIES AND OPPORTUNITIES WITHIN SCIENCE. NOW
18	I'M CONSIDERING MOVING FORWARD WITH AN M.D./PH.D.
19	PROGRAM AND UNDERSTANDING HOW TO INCORPORATE
20	MEDICINE AND RESEARCH INTO MY FUTURE."
21	FINALLY, I WANT TO CLOSE, GOING BACK TO
22	KEAU WONG, WHO, AS I MENTIONED, WAS INDEED A BRIDGES
23	SCHOLAR IN 2011 AND IS NOW ON THE LEADERSHIP TEAM OF
24	A NEW COMPASS AWARD AT MIRACOSTA COLLEGE. HE ALSO
25	CREATED A VIDEO THAT I WANT TO BE SURE TO SHARE WITH

1	ALL OF YOU IN THE FUTURE. BUT FOR NOW I'LL JUST
2	LEAVE YOU WITH THIS QUOTE. "I AM COMMITTED TO
3	REIMBURSING MY EXPERIENCES, WITH INSURANCE, SO THAT
4	STUDENTS WILL CONTINUE TO PARTICIPATE IN THESE HIGH
5	IMPACT PROGRAMS THAT I BENEFITED FROM OVER A DECADE
6	AGO. I'M A PROUD CIRM PRODUCT AND PARTNER." AND
7	HIS MESSAGE TO YOU IS "THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR
8	TIME, YOUR INVESTMENTS, YOUR COMMITMENT TO THESE
9	CRITICALLY IMPORTANT WORKFORCE AND EDUCATION
10	PROGRAMS ACROSS CALIFORNIA." KEAU IS CURRENTLY AN
11	ADJUNCT FACULTY AND DIRECTOR OF SECTOR DEVELOPMENT
12	AND STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS AT THE BIOSCIENCES
13	WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT HUB AT MIRACOSTA COLLEGE.
14	AND THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. THERE
15	ARE A COUPLE OF LINKS HERE FOR MORE INFORMATION
16	ABOUT THESE PROGRAMS AS WELL AS A LINK TO OUR BLOG
17	WHERE MANY OF THESE STUDENT STORIES ARE FEATURED,
18	PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE. AND I JUST DO WANT TO
19	ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS
20	AND TEAMS AT CIRM AND GRANTEES WHO ACTUALLY HELPED
21	CONTRIBUTE TO A LOT OF THE INFORMATION YOU'VE SEEN
22	IN THESE SLIDES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M HAPPY TO
23	TAKE ANY QUESTIONS IF THERE ARE ANY.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, KELLY. THAT
25	WAS ALMOST OVERWHELMING. SOMEBODY PLEASE GO ON

1	MUTE. WE'RE GETTING SOME INTERFERENCE HERE. THANK
2	YOU.
3	I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT THIS IS SO
4	OUTSTANDING AND SO IMPORTANT FROM THE STANDPOINT OF
5	ADVANCING THE WORKFORCE IN THE FIELD AND GENERATING
6	INTEREST AND ENTHUSIASM IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE.
7	AND, AS ALWAYS, YOU'VE DONE A PHENOMENAL JOB IN
8	MAKING ALL OF THIS HAPPEN. YOU HAVE A LOT BALLS IN
9	THE AIR WITH ALL THE DIFFERENT PROGRAMS, AND YOU
10	EFFORTLESSLY AND SEEMINGLY, IT SEEMS FROM THE
11	OUTSIDE, TO PULL ALL OF THIS OFF IN A HIGHLY
12	PROFESSIONAL MANNER. I JUST WANT, ON BEHALF OF THE
13	BOARD, CONGRATULATE YOU FOR YOUR OUTSTANDING WORK.
14	SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
15	WITH THAT, ARE THERE QUESTIONS, COMMENTS?
16	MR. TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I CONTINUE TO
17	BE MUTED AND UNMUTED. I HOPE YOU CAN HEAR ME.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, SENATOR TORRES.
19	MR. TORRES: I JUST WANT TO SAY
20	CONGRATULATIONS, KELLY. YOU'VE DONE AN INCREDIBLE
21	JOB. WHEN I FIRST CAME TO CIRM IN 2009, I EMBRACED
22	THE BRIDGES PROGRAM A HUNDRED PERCENT. WHEN I MET
23	WITH SOME OF OUR FIRST STUDENTS, MANY OF THEM WERE
24	FROM UNDERSERVED AREAS OF CALIFORNIA. AND THE
25	CONSISTENT PATTERN WAS WITHOUT THIS PROGRAM THEY

1	COULD NOT HAVE CONTINUED THEIR EDUCATION BECAUSE
2	THEY COULDN'T AFFORD THE TUITION. AND SO THE
3	STIPEND REALLY HELPED THEM GET OVER THE LINE AND
4	CONTINUE THEIR ACADEMIC WORK.
5	EVERY TIME I'VE RAISED THIS PROGRAM WITH
6	THE GOVERNOR AND LEGISLATURE, IT IS A FAVORITE FOR
7	THEM BECAUSE THEY JUST CAN'T BELIEVE THE KIND OF
8	WORK THAT WE ARE DOING WITH UNDERGRADUATES AND HIGH
9	SCHOOL STUDENTS TO CONTINUE THEIR EDUCATION. BUT
10	MORE IMPORTANTLY, WE ARE LAYING THE GROUNDWORK FOR
11	FUTURE STEM CELL SCIENTISTS. AND SOME OF THE
12	ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE GRADUATES OF BRIDGES AND SPARKS
13	THAT KELLY JUST HIGHLIGHTED CLEARLY SHOW A PATTERN
14	THAT WE ARE DOING THE RIGHT THING. AGAIN,
15	CONGRATULATIONS TO KELLY AND THE STAFF AND THE STAFF
16	BEFORE THAT HELPED CREATE THE BRIDGES PROGRAM, WITH
17	ME THE SPARKS PROGRAM. IT'S REALLY BEEN A
18	MONUMENTAL LEGACY FOR CIRM THAT'S GOING TO LAST
19	GENERATIONS. THANK YOU.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ART.
21	I'M JUST GOING TO GO IN SEQUENCE THAT I
22	SEE ON MY SCREEN.
23	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, J.T.
24	SORRY. THERE'S A LOT OF INTERFERENCE. MAYBE ART
25	COULD MUTE. FANTASTIC.

1	KELLY, THANK YOU FOR SUCH A WONDERFUL
2	PRESENTATION. IT'S REALLY PLEASING TO SEE THE
3	RESTART OF THE SCHOLARS PROGRAM. AND AS A FORMER
4	GRADUATE DEAN, I WOULD BE REMISS IN NOT NOTICING THE
5	POTENTIAL FOR PEER MENTORING AND NEAR PEER MENTORING
6	AND THE SYNERGY THAT YOU CAN HAVE BETWEEN THESE
7	PROGRAMS. ARE THERE INTENTIONAL EFFORTS TO UTILIZE
8	THESE GRADUATE STUDENTS AND POSTDOCS AS MENTORS FOR
9	THE UNDERGRADUATES AND HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS?
10	DR. SHEPARD: YES. THAT'S A VERY GOOD
11	POINT. AND AS YOU MIGHT HAVE NOTICED BY THE
12	LOCATIONS OF SOME OF THE DIFFERENT PROGRAMS, THERE
13	ARE MANY OPPORTUNITIES FOR INTERACTION BETWEEN THE
14	CIRM SCHOLARS AT THE MAJOR RESEARCH UNIVERSITIES
15	WITH BRIDGES STUDENTS WHO DO INTERNSHIPS THERE AS
16	WELL AS FUTURE COMPASS SCHOLARS AND ALSO SPARKS
17	STUDENTS. AND SO PART OF MY GOALS FOR THE COMING
18	YEAR IS, NOW THAT COMPASS IS LAUNCHING UP, IS TO
19	BRING PROGRAM DIRECTORS TOGETHER AND ENCOURAGE MORE
20	OF THIS. WE HAVE SEEN SOME OF THIS HAPPEN
21	ORGANICALLY, BUT IT'S CRITICALLY IMPORTANT. AND, IN
22	FACT, IN THE COMPASS PROGRAM, WE SPECIFICALLY CALLED
23	OUT FOR THE TRAINING OF MENTORS SO THAT THESE CIRM
24	SCHOLARS WHO WILL ACT AS MENTORS ACTUALLY RECEIVE
25	APPROPRIATE TRAINING TO HELP THEM BE BETTER MENTORS.

1	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GEORGE.
3	DR. BLUMENTHAL: THANK YOU. THANK YOU,
4	KELLY. IT'S A VERY IMPRESSIVE PRESENTATION. I'M
5	MOST IMPRESSED WITH THE SUCCESS THAT YOU'VE HAD.
6	FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE BRIDGES PROGRAM, LOOKING AT THE
7	OUTCOME AND IMPACT DATA WAS REALLY IMPRESSIVE IN
8	TERMS OF WHAT THOSE STUDENTS HAVE DONE SINCE
9	GRADUATION. ONE OF THE MAJOR GOALS, OF COURSE, IS
10	TO ADVANCE DIVERSITY IN THESE PROGRAMS. I WAS
11	WONDERING WHETHER YOU HAVE DATA, FOR EXAMPLE, FOR
12	THE BRIDGES PROGRAM ON THE DIVERSITY OF THOSE
13	STUDENTS WHO HAVE PARTICIPATED IN THOSE PROGRAMS AND
14	HOW THAT DIVERSITY COMPARES TO, FOR EXAMPLE, THE
15	OVERARCHING DIVERSITY OF THE INSTITUTIONS FROM WHICH
16	THEY COME FROM?
17	DR. SHEPARD: YES. THAT'S A QUESTION THAT
18	HAS A RATHER LONG ANSWER AS WELL. SO THE SIMPLE
19	ANSWER IS FOR EVERY STUDENT THAT'S APPOINTED INTO
20	OUR PROGRAM, THERE IS A SET OF DEMOGRAPHIC DATA THAT
21	IS COLLECTED ABOUT THEM AND HAS BEEN FOR A NUMBER OF
22	YEARS, ALTHOUGH THE MEANS BY WHICH THAT DATA HAS
23	BEEN COLLECTED HAS VARIED OVER TIME BECAUSE THIS
24	PROGRAM THESE PROGRAMS STARTED VERY, VERY EARLY
25	IN CIRM'S EXISTENCE BEFORE WE HAD THE CAPABILITIES

1	THAT WE DO NOW.
2	SO A LOT OF MY TIME I SPEND GOING OVER AND
3	SYNTHESIZING AND FIGURING OUR THE BEST WAYS TO
4	PRESENT THESE THINGS. BEFORE I GO FURTHER ON THE
5	TOPIC, MAY I PLEASE DEFER TO DR. MARIA MILLAN, WHO
6	MENTIONED THAT THERE WILL BE A PRESENTATION ON DEI
7	SPECIFICALLY COMING, AND I'D LIKE TO HAVE HER ADVICE
8	ON HOW MUCH TIME I SHOULD SPEND ADDRESSING THIS NOW
9	AS OPPOSED TO COVERING THIS IN MORE DETAIL AT A
10	FUTURE PRESENTATION. DR. MILLAN, DID YOU HAVE
11	PERSPECTIVE ON THIS?
12	DR. MILLAN: I THINK IT WOULD BE WONDERFUL
13	TO JUST LOOK AT IT ALTOGETHER AT AN UPCOMING
14	PRESENTATION. BUT YOU CAN TELL THAT IT'S SOMETHING
15	THAT WE'RE REALLY FOCUSING ON AND WE'RE BUILDING
16	THAT, THE SYSTEMS FOR THAT. IT'S VERY IMPORTANT.
17	WE WON'T KNOW IF WE'RE SUCCESSFUL UNLESS WE MEASURE
18	THESE METRICS OF SUCCESS FOR THESE OBJECTIVES. SO
19	THAT'S SOMETHING THAT IS REALLY INCORPORATED INTO
20	THE PRINCIPLES OF HOW CIRM FUNDS PROGRAMS AND
21	FOLLOWS PROGRAMS, AND THESE ARE THE ADDITIONAL
22	ENHANCEMENTS YOU'LL BE HEARING ABOUT AT THE UPCOMING
23	PRESENTATION.
24	DR. SHEPARD: THANK YOU.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, GEORGE. STAY

1	TUNED IS THE SHORT ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION. YSABEL.
2	MS. DURON: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. AND I'M
3	GOING TO KIND OF FOLLOW THE THEME WITH GEORGE.
4	KELLY, FIRST OF ALL, I HAVE TO GUSH. I
5	THINK I'M SO THRILLED AND PLEASED AND PROUD OF WHAT
6	CIRM IS DOING FOR STUDENTS. AND ALONG THAT
7	CONTINUUM, I'M SO THRILLED TO SEE THE OUTCOMES. BUT
8	MY VERY FIRST THOUGHT EVERY TIME I LOOKED AT A SLIDE
9	IS WHERE IS THE DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN? I KNOW WE
10	HAD A LITTLE BIT OF THAT IN A PRIOR PRESENTATION.
11	SO I THINK THERE'S SOMETHING OUT THERE RIGHT NOW
12	THAT CAN AT LEAST GIVE US, EVEN WITHIN THE GROUPS,
13	CURRENTLY, EVEN THE PAST YEAR OR TWO, TO SEE THAT
14	DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN AND, THEREFORE, TO SHOW, I
15	THINK, EVEN AS GEORGE MENTIONED, NOT JUST COMPARING
16	AGAINST THE OTHER INSTITUTIONS, BUT CIRM'S PROGRESS
17	ALONG THE DEI SPHERE. I KNOW IT'S GOING TO BE
18	HARDER TO CAPTURE SOME OF THAT INITIAL DATA; BUT AS
19	ART MENTIONED, OF COURSE, THERE WERE RACIAL AND
20	ETHNIC MINORITY KIDS FROM THE GET-GO. SO TO SEE
21	THAT CIRM NOW IS REALLY MOVING FORWARD AND
22	REFLECTING A REAL COMMITMENT AND INTENTIONALITY TO
23	DEI.
24	SO EVERY ONE OF THOSE TIMES YOU DO A
25	PRESENTATION, YOU NEED A SLIDE IN THERE THAT SHOWS

1	THE DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN BECAUSE A LOT OF PEOPLE
2	WILL SAY, "OH, YOU HAVE ONE PICTURE OF THAT KID
3	THERE. OH, YES, ISN'T SHE COOL?" BUT WHAT DOES IT
4	REALLY LOOK LIKE IN TERMS OF THE NUMBERS? I LEARNED
5	IT FROM ALL THE HARDCORE SCIENTISTS. GIVE ME THE
6	NUMBERS.
7	DR. SHEPARD: I WILL BE HAPPY TO DO THAT.
8	AT THE NEXT OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT TO YOU, I WILL BE
9	SURE TO INCLUDE THE BEST INFORMATION I CAN PROVIDE.
10	MS. DURON: GREAT. THANK YOU, KELLY.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. I SHOULD
12	SAY, YSABEL, ANECDOTALLY, HAVING BEEN TO MOST ALL OF
13	THE BRIDGES AND SPARK CONFERENCES THAT KELLY
14	REFERENCED OVER THE YEARS, THERE'S TREMENDOUS
15	DEMOGRAPHIC DIVERSITY. I THINK WE DO A VERY, VERY
16	GOOD JOB OF REACHING OUT, IN PARTICULAR, TO
17	UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES. AND I THINK WHEN KELLY
18	PRESENTS THE DATA AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING, YOU WILL
19	SEE THAT BACKED UP. JUST REST ASSURED THAT HAS
20	ALWAYS BEEN A TOP PRIORITY AND WILL CONTINUE TO BE.
21	MS. DURON: YOU KNOW WHAT. SORRY, J.T. I
22	APPRECIATE YOUR TESTIMONIAL. AND HAVING BEEN THERE,
23	I TOO COULD TESTIFY. BUT IT IS FOR THE PUBLIC TO
24	SEE AND KNOW THAT THE INVESTMENTS WE ARE MAKING AND
25	NEED ARE MAKING CHANGE AND IMPACT. AND FOR OUR

1	COMMUNITY OF COLOR WHO HAVE BEEN UNDERSERVED, TO
2	RECOGNIZE AND SEE AND CAN BE PROUD THAT THEIR KIDS
3	ARE THERE TOO. THAT REALLY NEEDS TO BE SHOWN. SO I
4	APPRECIATE YOUR TESTIMONIAL. I KNOW YOU LOVE THESE
5	PROGRAMS TOO, BUT WE NEED TO SEE THE EVIDENCE,
6	RIGHT? THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. AND THANK
8	YOU, BY THE WAY, ALSO FOR YOUR EXPERT PRESENTATION
9	INTO THE BRIDGES CONFERENCE THIS SUMMER. THAT WAS A
10	MOST SUCCESSFUL PANEL, AND ALL OF YOUR COMMENTS WERE
11	GREATLY APPRECIATED AND INSIGHTFUL AS ALWAYS. SO
12	THANK YOU FOR THAT.
13	HAIFAA.
14	DR. ABDULHAQ: THANK YOU. SO THANK YOU SO
15	MUCH, KELLY. IT'S SO INVIGORATING AND SO GRATIFYING
16	TO SEE THE OUTCOMES OF THESE PROGRAMS. MY QUESTION
17	TO YOU OR MY COMMENT IS I NOTICED, LOOKING AT THE
18	MAPS FOR ALL THE PROGRAMS, THAT I DID NOT SEE
19	PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS IN THE VALLEY, WHETHER
20	IT'S IN FRESNO, MERCED, OR IN THE VALLEY AREA. AND
21	I'M WONDERING WHY THAT IS AND IF CIRM INTENDS TO DO
22	SOMETHING ABOUT THAT AND ALSO INVOLVE THE VALLEY.
23	DR. SHEPARD: SO ONE OF THE NEW COMPASS
24	AWARDS WAS TO UC MERCED. SO WE ARE REALLY EXCITED
25	TO ADD THEM. AND THAT MIGHT BE CLOSEST TO THE

1	VALLEY THAT WE HAVE OFFERING AT THIS TIME. BUT I
2	TOTALLY AGREE WITH YOU AND UNDERSTAND.
3	SO PART OF WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS WE
4	RECEIVE APPLICATIONS, AND THOSE ARE EVALUATED. AND
5	WE DON'T RECEIVE A LOT OF APPLICATIONS FROM THOSE
6	AREAS, BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN WE CAN'T WORK THROUGH
7	THE MEANS THAT WE HAVE TO TRY TO BE MORE INCLUSIVE
8	TO STUDENTS FROM THOSE AREAS. AND SO EACH OF THESE
9	PROGRAMS ARE ALLOWED TO PARTNER. THEY CAME IN WITH
10	PARTNERS IN THEIR APPLICATION, BUT THEY ARE ALLOWED
11	TO PARTNER WITH MORE PLACES TO BRING IN MORE
12	STUDENTS.
13	PART OF MY GOAL AS THE PROGRAM DIRECTOR OF
14	THESE EDUC PROGRAMS IS TO BRING THE PROGRAMS
15	TOGETHER AND MAKE THEM AWARE OF WHO ELSE HAVE
16	PROGRAMS AND WHAT KIND OF CONNECTIONS CAN BE MADE
17	AND FOSTERED. AND I CAN TELL YOU THAT SINCE THE
18	COMPASS AWARDS WERE FUNDED LAST MONTH, WE ALREADY
19	HAD A NEW PARTNERSHIP CREATED BETWEEN ONE OF THE
20	COMPASS AWARDEES AND AN INSTITUTION. SO I'M VERY
21	ENCOURAGED THAT WE CAN BUILD ON THIS AND TRY TO
22	BECOME MORE INCLUSIVE OVER TIME AND JUST KEEP
23	BUILDING ON THAT AND DOING OUR BEST TO MAKE THIS
24	MORE EXPANSIVE AND REACH MORE PEOPLE.
25	DR. ABDULHAQ: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. MARIA.
2	DR. MILLAN: KELLY MADE THE POINT ABOUT
3	THE COLLABORATIONS AND THE CROSS COLLABORATIONS THAT
4	WILL BRING IN THE VARIOUS INSTITUTIONS, BUT I WANTED
5	TO HIGHLIGHT THAT TWO COMMUNITY COLLEGES HAVE BEEN
6	FUNDED UNDER THE EDUC5 PROGRAM. THAT WAS CHOSEN BY
7	OUR GWG. SO THEY'RE UP AGAINST ACADEMIC CENTERS
8	AROUND CALIFORNIA. AND THEY WERE CHOSEN BECAUSE
9	THEY HAD SUCH STRONG PROGRAMS, AND THEY BRING VALUE
10	IN TERMS OF QUALITY OF THE PROGRAMS AND WHAT THEY
11	BRING IN TERMS OF THE COMMUNITIES THAT THEY WILL BE
12	ABLE TO BRING INTO THIS WHOLE ECOSYSTEM. SO WE'RE
13	REALLY EXCITED ABOUT IT, THAT IT SPANS ACROSS
14	COMMUNITY COLLEGES, STATE COLLEGES, THE UC'S, AND IT
15	WILL EXPAND OUR GEOGRAPHIC REACH.
16	DR. SHEPARD: JUST TO ADD A LITTLE BIT,
17	SOMETHING THAT I FORGOT TO MENTION IS, NOT ONLY ARE
18	THERE COMMUNITY COLLEGES THAT HOLD THESE GRANTS
19	THEMSELVES NOW, BUT MANY OF THE BRIDGES PROGRAMS AND
20	THE COMPASS PROGRAMS DO RECRUIT AND WILL BE
21	RECRUITING DIRECTLY FROM COMMUNITY COLLEGES.
22	ESPECIALLY WITH COMPASS WHICH TARGETS EARLIER STAGE
23	STUDENTS, THEY WILL ACTUALLY SOME OF THEM ARE
24	ACTUALLY REACHING OUT INTO HIGH SCHOOLS. AND SO
25	THAT IS ANOTHER WAY TO TRY TO INCREASE AWARENESS OF

1	THESE OPPORTUNITIES AROUND THE STATE.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, KELLY.
3	ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FROM
4	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? SEEING NONE, KELLY, THANK YOU
5	ONCE AGAIN, FANTASTIC PRESENTATION, OUTSTANDING
6	PROGRAMS, AND REALLY, REALLY EXCELLENT LEADERSHIP BY
7	YOU ACROSS THE BOARD. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH AGAIN.
8	DR. SHEPARD: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IT
9	TAKES A VILLAGE, AND I THANK YOU ALL FOR YOUR
10	SUPPORT.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. OKAY. WE'RE
12	GOING TO GO ON NOW TO OUR FIRST ACTION ITEM, WHICH
13	IS CONSIDERATION FOR THE CONCEPT PLAN FOR PATIENT
14	SUPPORT PROGRAM, AND WE'RE GOING TO HEAR FROM SEAN
15	TURBEVILLE.
16	DR. TURBEVILLE: GREAT. WELL, LET ME GET
17	THIS KICKED OFF HERE AND MAKE SURE YOU CAN SEE THE
18	SLIDES.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE CAN.
20	DR. TURBEVILLE: EXCELLENT. ALL RIGHT.
21	MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. VICE CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS
22	OF THE BOARD, THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO GIVE
23	YOU AN UPDATE ON THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM, BUT,
24	MORE IMPORTANTLY, A CONCEPT PLAN THAT DESCRIBES THE
25	SCOPE AND OUR VISION OF A PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM

1	THAT WILL SUPPORT, NOT ONLY A NUMBER OF INITIATIVES,
2	BUT ALSO THE PATIENT ACCESS FUND.
3	SO SPEAKING OF THAT, IT'S PROBABLY GOOD TO
4	BACK UP A LITTLE BIT AND DETERMINE WHERE THIS
5	LANGUAGE CAME FROM, PARTICULARLY THE PATIENT
6	ASSISTANCE FUND. SO THIS LANGUAGE CAME OUT DIRECTLY
7	OF PROPOSITION 14, AND I'LL VERBATIM DESCRIBE WHAT
8	IS IN THE PROPOSITION. "ALL ROYALTY REVENUES
9	RECEIVED THROUGH THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
10	AGREEMENTS SHALL BE DEPOSITED INTO AN INTEREST
11	BEARING ACCOUNT IN THE GENERAL FUND,FOR THE
12	PURPOSE OF OFFSETTING THE COST OF PROVIDING
13	TREATMENTS AND CURES ARISING FROM INSTITUTE-FUNDED
14	RESEARCH TO CALIFORNIA PATIENTS WHO HAVE
15	INSUFFICIENT MEANS TO PURCHASE SUCH TREATMENTS OR
16	CURES, INCLUDING THE REIMBURSEMENT OF
17	PATIENT-QUALIFIED COSTS FOR RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS."
18	SO THIS IS THE LANGUAGE THAT GENERATED THE
19	PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND. SO THE AAWG GOT TOGETHER
20	IN FEBRUARY OF 2022 AND DIRECTED CIRM TO
21	SECURE ACCESS TO 15.6 MILLION IN THE LICENSING
22	AND REVENUE FUND AND PROVIDE OPTIONS FOR DEVELOPING
23	A CIRM PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM CONSISTENT WITH
24	THE PROPOSITION LANGUAGE.
25	SO CIRM RESPONDED BY DOING THE FOLLOWING:

1	ONE, WE SECURED THE FUNDS THROUGH THE FISCAL YEAR
2	2022-2023; TWO, WE PROVIDED OPTIONS FOR THE CIRM
3	PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM TO SUPPORT THE AAWG AND
4	TO INFORM DEVELOPMENT OF A CONCEPT PLAN. AND,
5	FINALLY, THE DEVELOPMENT OF A DRAFT CONCEPT PLAN,
6	WHICH HAS BEEN POSTED, IN RESPONSE TO THE AAWG
7	RECOMMENDATIONS.
8	SO THIS IS A TIMELINE OF EVENTS. IT'S
9	SORT OF A QUICK SNAPSHOT OF THE INTERACTIONS THAT
10	WE'VE HAD WITH THE AAWG. THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF
11	DISCUSSION. AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, THERE WAS THE
12	BUDGET PROCESS FOR THE PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND THAT
13	TOOK OFF IN FEBRUARY. WE'VE HAD LOTS OF DISCUSSIONS
14	WITH THE AAWG AND PRESENTATIONS OF PROPOSALS. WE
15	ALSO KICKED OFF A MEDICAL AFFAIRS RESEARCH
16	INITIATIVE, WHICH I'LL TALK TO IN A FEW MINUTES. IN
17	JUNE WE GOT THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET APPROVED, SO WE
18	HAVE 15.6 LOCKED DOWN FOR THIS INITIATIVE. IN
19	AUGUST WE PRESENTED OUR CONCEPT PLAN TO THE AAWG,
20	RECEIVED FEEDBACK FROM THEM, AND TODAY WE ARE HERE
21	TO PRESENT AT THE ICOC CONSIDERATION FOR THE PATIENT
22	SUPPORT PROGRAM.
23	SO THE AAWG PSP RECOMMENDATIONS. SO, ONE,
24	WE WANT TO PRESENT TODAY THE CONCEPT PLAN FOR THE
25	PATIENT PROGRAM. THE PROPOSED PLAN WILL PROVIDE THE

1	FOLLOWING. SO THIS IS, AGAIN, POSTED ON OUR
2	WEBSITE. ONE, WE WANT TO PROVIDE LOGISTICAL
3	SUPPORTS FOR PATIENTS BEING EVALUATED OR ENROLLED IN
4	CLINICAL TRIALS. AND, TWO, FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR
5	UNDERRESOURCED AND UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS IN
6	CIRM-SUPPORTED CLINICAL TRIALS, INCLUDING THE CIRM
7	PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND.
8	SO OUR ASK OF YOU TODAY IS TO CONSIDER THE
9	PROPOSED CONCEPT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN RFP.
10	WE'D LIKE TO INITIATE THAT, GET THAT OUT THERE,
11	START HAVING SOME OF THE SERVICE PROVIDERS RESPOND
12	TO OUR RFP. THERE'S A NUMBER OF ADVANTAGES TO THAT.
13	ONE, OPERATIONALLY IT TAKES TIME TO PUT THESE IN
14	PLAY; AND, TWO, THERE'S SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
15	WE'D LIKE TO GATHER FROM SOME OF THE SUBJECT MATTER
16	EXPERTS THAT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH THESE
17	ORGANIZATIONS.
18	FINALLY, TO HIGHLIGHT, THIS PATIENT
19	SUPPORT PROGRAM IS ONLY ONE COMPONENT OF THE
20	FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN. SO I HAVE MANY MORE IDEAS
21	THAT I WANT TO PRESENT THAT WILL ALIGN WITH THE ROAD
22	MAP FOR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY. AND I'M HOPING TO
23	PRESENT THOSE BEFORE THE END OF THE YEAR. OKAY.
24	SO MANY OF YOU HAVE SEEN THIS SLIDE. THIS
25	IS THE PLAN RATIONALE. THESE ARE THE BARRIERS WE'RE

1	TRYING TO BASICALLY ATTACK FOR THE MOST PART IN
2	TERMS OF ACHIEVING BROAD AND EQUITABLE ACCESS TO
3	REGENERATIVE MEDICINES. MANY OF YOU HAVE SEEN THIS
4	IN OUR PREVIOUS PRESENTATIONS. IF YOU DID A
5	LITERATURE RESEARCH OR REVIEW, YOU WOULD FIND THESE
6	FIVE BUCKETS. MANY OF YOU ON THIS CALL ARE SUBJECT
7	MATTER EXPERTS IN MANY OF THESE FIELDS. WHAT WE'RE
8	FOCUSING ON RIGHT NOW FOR THIS PROGRAM IS THE
9	INFORMATIONAL COMPONENT THAT WE CAN PROVIDE FOR
10	PATIENTS AND FAMILY MEMBERS, THE LOGISTICAL
11	COMPONENT FOR THOSE FAMILY MEMBERS AND PATIENTS, AND
12	THE FINANCIAL.
13	WITH RESPECT TO THE FINANCIAL, WE HAVE A
14	WHOLE WORKSTREAM WITH RESPECT TO BUSINESS RULES THAT
15	IDENTIFIES WHO THESE PATIENTS ARE, HOW THEY QUALIFY.
16	THOSE ARE ALL STANDARD OPERATIVE PROCEDURES. AND
17	THAT WORKSTREAM IS KICKED OFF, AND WE PLAN TO
18	PRESENT THAT INFORMATION AT THE NEXT AAWG.
19	SO THIS IS WHAT WE ARE TARGETING, AS I
20	MENTIONED EARLIER, THE THREE COMMON TYPES OF
21	BARRIERS. SO LET ME PAUSE HERE AND JUST KIND OF
22	DESCRIBE HOW THIS IS BUILT OUT. THE PATIENT SUPPORT
23	PROGRAM IS A HUB. AND IN THAT HUB THERE ARE
24	MULTIPLE SERVICES THAT YOU CAN PUT IN PLAY. THE
25	SERVICES THAT WE WANT TO FOCUS ON, AGAIN, ARE

1	INFORMATIONAL RIGHT OUT OF THE GATE. WHAT THIS
2	WOULD PROVIDE IS PATIENTS' ACCESS TO THE
3	INFORMATION, THE TYPES OF CIRM CLINICAL TRIALS,
4	WHETHER THEY QUALIFY, IT'S A SAFE HARBOR FOR THEM TO
5	ASK ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS, AND IT ALSO ALLOWS US TO
6	DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THEY QUALIFY FOR THE
7	PATIENT ACCESS FUND ELIGIBILITY.
8	LOGISTICAL COORDINATION, THAT, AGAIN, IS
9	UNDER THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM, UNDER THAT
10	UMBRELLA. WE HAVE THE EXPERTISE TO PROVIDE
11	LOGISTICS FOR THE PATIENTS AND THEIR FAMILY MEMBERS
12	THROUGH THE CELL AND GENE THERAPY SPACE.
13	FINALLY, UNDER THIS UMBRELLA IS THE
14	FINANCIAL. THIS IS WHERE THE PATIENT ACCESS FUND
15	SITS.
16	SO THIS MECHANISM WILL BE THE MECHANISM
17	FOR WHICH WE WILL DEPLOY THE PATIENT ACCESS FUND
18	RESOURCES TO SUPPORT PATIENTS, OF COURSE, THAT ARE
19	UNDERRESOURCED AND UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS. AND
20	THERE'S A NUMBER OF BUSINESS RULES THAT WE'RE
21	STARTING TO PUT IN PLAY THAT DEFINE THOSE
22	CHARACTERISTICS.
23	LET ME ALSO PAUSE HERE JUST FOR A SECOND.
24	FOR BACKGROUND, PATIENT SUPPORT SERVICES HAVE BECOME
25	INCREASINGLY IMPORTANT FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPIES.

1	NO FDA-APPROVED PRODUCT LAUNCHES IN THE UNITED
2	STATES WITHOUT A PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM. AND IN
3	CELL AND GENE THERAPIES, WHAT WE'RE LEARNING RIGHT
4	NOW IS THEY'RE BECOMING EVEN MORE IMPORTANT, NOT
5	ONLY TAKING THE PATIENT THROUGH THE CLINICAL
6	COMPONENT, ALSO ALL THE WAY TO THE POSTMARKETING
7	COMPONENT, AND THAT'S CRITICAL AS WELL.
8	IF YOU THINK ABOUT SOME OF THE
9	POSTMARKETING REQUIREMENTS OF SOME OF THE CELL AND
10	GENE THERAPIES THAT HAVE JUST BEEN APPROVED, THERE'S
11	ADDITIONAL EFFICACY, SAFETY, DURABILITY INFORMATION
12	THAT'S REQUIRED, NOT ONLY TO THE COMPETENT
13	AUTHORITIES LIKE THE FDA, BUT ALSO THAT INFORMATION
14	IS REQUIRED FOR PAYORS, PARTICULARLY WITH THE
15	SPECIFIC CONTRACTS THAT ARE CONTRACT BASED PAY FOR
16	PERFORMANCE AND NEGOTIATIONS.
17	WHAT WE ARE OBSERVING IS, NOT JUST CIRM,
18	EVERYBODY ELSE IS OBSERVING THIS TRANSITION AND
19	EVOLUTION OF THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAMS
20	SPECIFICALLY JUST FOR GENE AND CELL THERAPIES.
21	SO I MENTIONED A NUMBER OF INITIATIVES
22	THAT TOOK OFF WITH RESPECT TO MEDICAL AFFAIRS
23	RESEARCH ACTIVITIES. SO BEFORE MY ONBOARDING, THERE
24	WAS A STRATEGIC WORKSHOP THAT TOOK PLACE IN 2020.
25	WE DID A FULL LITERATURE REVIEW AND CONTINUE TO GET

1	INFORMATION THAT'S PUBLISHED ON THIS TOPIC. WE HAVE
2	KEY INFORMANT INTERVIEWS, INCLUDING PATIENT SUPPORT
3	PROVIDERS. WE WENT OUT TO SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS TO
4	GIVE US GUIDANCE. WE DID AN INTERNAL ANALYSIS OF
5	CIRM-FUNDED TRIALS. MORE IMPORTANTLY, WE SENT OUT A
6	SURVEY, AND THIS WAS A QUESTIONNAIRE THAT WENT OUT
7	TO A NUMBER OF SITES, GAVE US GOOD GUIDANCE IN TERMS
8	OF WHAT THEIR EXPECTATIONS WOULD BE IF, IN FACT, WE
9	DID LAUNCH A PATIENT SUPPORT SERVICE. MORE
10	IMPORTANTLY, WE DID SOME 45-MINUTE FOCUS GROUPS WITH
11	SITES. THIS IS WHERE WE HAD AN EXTERNAL CONSULTANT.
12	AND THIS SET UP A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT. THIS IS
13	WHERE WE WENT TO A SITE AND ASKED THEM, "HEY, IF, IN
14	FACT, WE DO LAUNCH A SERVICE, WHAT ARE THE TYPES OF
15	SERVICES THAT YOU WOULD EXPECT? WHAT WOULD TAKE
16	THINGS OFF YOUR PLATE WITH RESPECT TO INFORMATION,
17	LOGISTICS, AND PERHAPS EVEN FINANCIAL." THAT'S
18	PROBABLY WHERE WE GOT THE MOST INTEL.
19	WE ALSO HAD A LOT OF ENGAGEMENT WITH
20	CLINICAL CENTERS, SITE VISITS, IRB VISITS, AND
21	DISCUSSIONS. AND THESE EFFORTS ARE STILL ONGOING.
22	WE HAVE A LOT OF GOOD INTEL, BUT THERE'S STILL A
23	LITTLE BIT MORE THAT WE'D LIKE TO OBTAIN.
24	SO THESE ARE THE PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF
25	THE ONGOING RESEARCH FINDINGS. UNEQUIVOCALLY THERE

1	IS A LARGE VARIABILITY IN PROJECTED PATIENT COSTS
2	FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. AND THAT'S TRUE FOR
3	CIRM TRIALS, AND THAT'S TRUE FOR INDUSTRY TRIALS.
4	IT'S ESTIMATED THAT CELL AND GENE THERAPY REQUIRES
5	UP TO SIX- TO NINEFOLD HIGHER EXPENDITURES ON
6	PATIENT TRAVEL AND LODGING COMPARED TO TRADITIONAL
7	TRIALS.
8	THE CGT REQUIRES FREQUENT SITE VISITS.
9	THIS IS NOT UNCOMMON. MANY OF YOU RUN THESE TRIALS.
10	WHAT WE DID IS IDENTIFY IS SOME METRICS. AND, IN
11	FACT, IN ONE PARTICULAR STUDY, AS MANY AS A HUNDRED
12	DAYS WAS REQUIRED FOR ONE PATIENT FOR AN EARLY PHASE
13	TRIAL.
14	WHAT WE ALSO IDENTIFIED IS SPONSORS
15	EVALUATE FINANCIAL NEEDS ON A PATIENT-PER-PATIENT
16	BASIS DUE TO TWO THINGS: ONE, THE EXTENSIVE
17	DIFFERENCES IN THE FINANCIAL NEEDS FOR PATIENTS AND,
18	TWO, THE NATURE OF THESE TRIALS. THERE'S ALSO BEEN
19	A LOT OF, I'D SAY, AUDIBLES, IF YOU WILL. THE FDA
20	HAS ASKED FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT EXTENDS
21	THE TRIAL TIME FOR SAFETY INFORMATION. SO THAT HAS
22	ACCUMULATED NOT ONLY THE COST TO PATIENTS'
23	OUT-OF-POCKET EXPENSES, BUT ALSO TO THE SPONSORS AS
24	WELL.
25	WHAT WE OBSERVED AND WHAT WAS TOLD TO US

1	OVER AND OVER AGAIN IS THAT THE BURDEN ON THE TRIAL
2	COORDINATORS MAY CAUSE DISPROPORTIONATE TIME FOCUSED
3	ON REIMBURSEMENT VERSUS TIME SPENT ON PATIENTS AND
4	THE TRIAL.
5	AND THEN, FINALLY, SITES ARE INCREDIBLY
6	CLEVER. THEY FIND MULTIPLE WAYS TO COMPENSATE FOR
7	FUNDS THAT PATIENTS ARE USING FOR OUT-OF-POCKET
8	EXPENSES. THAT'S USING PRIVATE DONATIONS. THIS IS
9	A GREAT FINDING, THAT THE SITES, AGAIN, ARE
10	UTILIZING A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT RESOURCES OUTSIDE OF
11	OUR FUNDING TO COMPENSATE THE PATIENTS FOR THESE
12	ADDITIONAL TRIALS.
13	SO THE RATIONALE FOR THE PATIENT SUPPORT
14	PROGRAM WAS SUPPORTED BY ALL THOSE INITIATIVES THAT
15	WENT OUT TO GET THIS INFORMATION. SO WHAT WE HEARD
16	FROM THE COMMUNITY WAS THAT THE CIRM PATIENT SUPPORT
17	PROGRAM COULD RELIEVE PRESSURE ON CURRENT STAFF AND
18	DELIVER A MORE SYSTEMATIC AND PROACTIVE APPROACH TO
19	ASSISTING PATIENTS. THERE WAS A LITTLE BIT OF A
20	SHIFTING OF THE SAND. I THINK WE WERE REALLY
21	FOCUSED ON THE FINANCIAL COMPONENT, BUT THERE'S TWO
22	OTHER COMPONENTS THAT WOULD REALLY HELP THE SITES
23	OUT AND PATIENTS AS WELL.
24	ONE, AGAIN, INFORMATIONAL. SO WHAT THEY
25	TOLD WAS A RESOURCE FOR THE PATIENT FAMILY WORKING

1	IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CARE TEAM, THE OPTION TO,
2	ONE, WARM TRANSFER TO THE SITE, ALLEVIATE A LOT OF
3	UNDUE PRESSURE ON SITE STAFF THAT CAN BE VIEWED AS
4	NONBIASED, A SAFE HARBOR INFORMATION TO EXCHANGE
5	INFORMATION TO THE PATIENT FROM OUR TRIALS AND EVEN
6	OTHER TRIALS THEY MAY QUALIFY FOR.
7	LOGISTICAL COORDINATION. THIS IS A BIG,
8	HEAVY LIFT. SERVICE TO SUPPORT THE NEEDS OF
9	PATIENT'S CAREGIVERS WHERE THEY WOULD PROVIDE
10	COORDINATION OF TRAVEL, HOUSING, AND REIMBURSEMENT
11	WOULD ALLOW TIME TO FOCUS ON THE TRIAL AND THE
12	PATIENTS.
13	AND THEN, FINALLY, THE FINANCIAL. SO
14	ENROLLING AND TRACKING AVAILABLE FUNDING, GRANTS,
15	AND ENSURING PATIENT FAMILIES ARE REIMBURSED
16	DECREASES PATIENT FAMILY ANXIETY AND SITE LOAD.
17	EVALUATING, DOING A THOROUGH EVALUATION OF THE
18	FINANCIAL NEEDS OF THE PATIENTS. WE WERE ALSO TOLD
19	THAT IN SOME INSTANCES FAMILIES ARE A LITTLE BIT
20	HESITANT IN DESCRIBING THEIR FINANCIAL SITUATION.
21	IT WAS TOLD TO US THAT HAVING ONE OF THESE SAFE
22	HARBORS, CALL CENTER, IF YOU WILL, WOULD ALLOW
23	PATIENTS TO ACTUALLY DESCRIBE THEIR FINANCIAL
24	EXPERIENCE, SITUATION IN A MORE COMFORTABLE MANNER.
25	AND, OF COURSE, FOCUSING ON THOSE FINANCIAL NEEDS

1	FOR THE UNDERSERVED POPULATION.
2	THESE ARE THE THREE DELIVERABLES THAT ALL
3	THE SITES ALIGNED ON. IF WE COULD PUT SOMETHING
4	LIKE THIS IN PLAY, IT WOULD ALLEVIATE A LOT OF
5	PRESSURE, NOT ONLY FINANCIALLY, BUT OPERATIONALLY
6	FOR THE SITES.
7	SO THE PATIENT SUPPORT CONCEPT PLAN, THESE
8	ARE THE OPERATIONAL ELEMENTS. WE PRESENTED THIS TO
9	THE AAWG. THEY GAVE US GREAT FEEDBACK, AND THESE
10	ARE THE SCOPE OF SERVICES THAT THEY RECOMMEND: ONE,
11	PATIENT NAVIGATION WOULD CENTRALLY MANAGE
12	INFORMATION SERVING ALL PATIENTS, REFERRAL OR
13	LOGISTICAL COORDINATION FOR PATIENTS AND FAMILIES,
14	EXPERIENCE ACROSS A BROAD RANGE OF DISEASE
15	INDICATIONS. CIRM, FOR EXAMPLE, HAS A NUMBER OF
16	THERAPEUTIC AREAS THEY'RE IN. THE CAPACITY TO
17	DETERMINE PAF, THAT'S PATIENT ACCESS FUND,
18	ELIGIBILITY FOR TRIAL PARTICIPANTS. WE WANT TO HAVE
19	THE ABILITY TO MONITOR, LOOKING AT DATA, REAL-TIME
20	DASHBOARDS. THAT ALLOWS US TO TRACK PATIENT
21	INTERACTIONS. IT ALSO GIVES US AN OPPORTUNITY TO
22	ASSESS THE VALUE OF THE PROGRAM, WHERE WE'RE HITTING
23	THE MARK, WHERE THERE'S OPPORTUNITIES FOR US TO MAKE
24	IMPROVEMENT. CERTAINLY CULTURAL ADAPTATION. THERE
25	WILL BE MULTIPLE LANGUAGE CAPABILITIES AS WELL AS

1	TRANSLATION AND BACK TRANSLATION IF NEED BE. WE
2	WANT SERVICES THAT PROVIDE CLINICAL TRIAL EXPERIENCE
3	IN ACADEMIC CENTERS. THE ABILITY TO COMPLEMENT THE
4	ALPHA CLINICS AND COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF
5	EXCELLENCE. SO THIS IS ANOTHER CONCEPT THAT WE'LL
6	BRING TO THE AAWG AS WELL, HOPEFULLY, TO THE BOARD
7	ON HOW THE PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, MORE
8	SPECIFICALLY THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM, WILL
9	COMPLEMENT THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE.
10	AND THE ASK FOR THE AAWG WAS TO BE
11	ADAPTIVE, BE SCALABLE. CAN WE IMPLEMENT ADDITIONAL
12	SERVICES SUCH AS BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OR OTHER SERVICES
13	THAT WOULD HELP PATIENTS?
14	SO IN TERMS OF WHO COULD APPLY TO THE
15	APPLICANT ELIGIBILITY, WHO CAN APPLY ARE FOR-PROFIT
16	AS WELL AS NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS. THEY HAVE TO BE
17	CAPABLE OF PROVIDING A SUITE OF SERVICES THAT THE
18	AAWG RECOMMENDED TO SUPPORT THE PATIENT SUPPORT
19	PROGRAM. WE DO WANT TO INITIATE THIS PROGRAM FAIRLY
20	QUICKLY. WE'RE LOOKING AT 120 DAYS AFTER THE FINAL
21	CONTRACT. THE GOAL HERE IS TO HOPEFULLY LAUNCH THIS
22	PROGRAM IN Q2 OF NEXT YEAR. EACH APPLICANT MUST
23	HAVE A CALIFORNIA LOCATION AND AN APPROPRIATE STATE
24	OPERATING LICENSE. ALL APPLICANTS MUST HAVE A
24 25	OPERATING LICENSE. ALL APPLICANTS MUST HAVE A ROBUST TRACK RECORD, AND WE ARE ASKING FOR THE

1	APPLICANT TO HAVE FAIRLY SOPHISTICATED DATA AND
2	TECHNOLOGY SERVICES AND, IN MY EXPERIENCE, MULTIPLE
3	DATA BACKUP CAPABILITIES.
4	SO IN TERMS OF THE FIVE-YEAR TIMELINE FOR
5	THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM, WE ARE TRACKING JUST
6	FINE. WE ARE NOW STILL IN YEAR ONE, THE DISCOVERY.
7	WE ARE FINALIZING THE MODEL. WE DO HAVE QUITE A BIT
8	OF INTEL. WE WOULD LIKE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH AN RFP
9	TO GET SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND HOPEFULLY
10	IMPLEMENT SOME BASIC SERVICES.
11	THE FOLLOWING YEARS WE'LL BE ABLE TO GET
12	THE FEEDBACK AND THE INFORMATION WE WANT. AGAIN,
13	WHERE THERE'S GAPS, WHERE THERE'S OPPORTUNITIES FOR
14	US TO IMPROVE THE SERVICES, AND WE WILL SCALE
15	ACCORDINGLY THIS. AND THIS IS A FIVE-YEAR
16	ASSESSMENT PROGRAM.
17	IN TERMS OF THE CONTRACT AND BUDGET, THE
18	SERVICE PROVIDER WILL COST SOME RESOURCES IN ORDER
19	FOR US TO HAVE THE INTEL AS WELL AS THE INFORMATION
20	SUPPORT SYSTEM TO LAUNCH THE SYSTEM THAT WE WANT TO
21	PUT IN PLAY. SO WE ESTIMATE, BASED ON DISCUSSIONS
22	WITH SERVICE PROVIDERS THAT HAVE THESE SERVICES,
23	THAT THERE WILL PROBABLY BE A BURN RATE OF 300 TO
24	\$500,000 PER YEAR, WHICH HAS BEEN BUDGETED. WE'VE
25	BENCHMARKED TO ANTICIPATE A CASE VOLUME BASED ON THE

1	NUMBER OF PATIENTS RIGHT NOW THAT ARE IN OUR TRIALS
2	AS WELL AS AN OUTSIDE RESOURCE TO OTHER PATIENT
3	SUPPORT PROGRAMS.
4	WE WOULD RECOMMEND THAT THIS WOULD BE A
5	FIVE-YEAR CONTRACT WITH MANDATED MILESTONES. AND
6	THE REASON FOR THAT IS ONCE YOU PUT THE
7	INFRASTRUCTURE IN PLAY, IT IS SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT
8	SOMETIMES TO SWITCH VENDORS. SO WE'RE GOING TO BE
9	DOING OUR DUE DILIGENCE ON THE NUMBER OF SERVICE
10	PROVIDERS THAT WE THINK WILL FIT THE BILL, PRESENT
11	THAT INFORMATION TO THE AAWG, AND THEN GET THE FINAL
12	BLESSING, OF COURSE, FROM THE BOARD ON WHO WE WANT
13	TO WORK WITH.
14	USE OF ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET FOR SERVICES.
15	THIS IS THE BUDGET FUND. WE HAVE THAT INTERNALLY.
16	THIS IS BASED ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS FOR THE
17	AFFORDABILITY ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF. SO WE DO HAVE
18	THOSE FUNDS EARMARKED FOR THIS PROGRAM, AND THEY ARE
19	INCLUDED IN THE CIRM ANNUAL BUDGET.
20	SO THE REQUEST TODAY IS TO CONSIDER THE
21	PROPOSED CONCEPT PLAN WITH A TOTAL BUDGET OF UP TO
22	2.5 MILLION FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A REQUEST FOR
23	PROPOSAL. THAT'S ALL WE REALLY WANT TO DO IS GET
24	THAT RFP OUT THERE, START GETTING PEOPLE TO RESPOND.
25	WE WILL REQUIRE SOME CAPABILITY PRESENTATIONS OF THE

1	SERVICE PROVIDERS THAT WILL GIVE US ADDITIONAL INTEL
2	TO SUPPORT THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM.
3	WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU.
4	I'LL OPEN IT UP TO ANY QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD
5	MEMBERS OR COMMENTS ON HOW WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO
6	IMPROVE.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
8	SEAN, FOR THIS DETAILED PRESENTATION AND VISION ON
9	HOW THIS PROGRAM WILL PROCEED. I WANT TO KICK THIS
10	OFF. WE NEED A MOTION TO APPROVE THE REQUEST ON THE
11	SCREEN HERE. DO I HEAR A MOTION TO APPROVE?
12	DR. BARRETT: SO MOVED.
13	DR. STAMOS: SECOND.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MICHAEL, THANK YOU.
15	QUESTIONS, COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?
16	MARIA, I CAN'T SEE.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN HAD HIS HAND RAISED
18	ABOUT TEN MINUTES AGO. SO I'M GOING TO GO TO DAN.
19	AND THEN ART TEXTED ME. HE CAN'T RAISE HIS HAND.
20	HE'D LIKE TO GO AFTER DAN. AND THEN YSABEL,
21	LEONDRA, AND AL. SO THANK YOU.
22	MR. BERNAL: THANK YOU, MARIA. IT'S
23	POSSIBLE THAT BOTH YSABEL AND ART HAVE THE SAME
24	QUESTION OR COMMENT THAT I DO. IT'S WITH REGARD TO
25	THE INFORMATIONAL BARRIERS. ONE LESSON THAT WE'VE

1	REALLY PICKED UP FROM COVID OR M-POX OR SOME OF THE
2	OTHER PUBLIC HEALTH CHALLENGES THAT WE HAVE HAD IS
3	THE CRITICAL ROLE THAT ON-THE-GROUND,
4	COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS PLAY IN PROVIDING
5	INFORMATION IN A CULTURALLY SENSITIVE AND
6	APPROPRIATE WAY IN A WAY THAT PEOPLE WILL RECEIVE
7	AND TRUST.
8	SO I'M WONDERING WHERE IN THIS STRUCTURE
9	THERE WOULD BE OPPORTUNITIES FOR REAL ON-THE-GROUND
10	COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE STRONG
11	RELATIONSHIPS IN THE COMMUNITY TO HELP DISSEMINATE
12	THIS INFORMATION, RESPOND TO INQUIRIES OR QUESTIONS,
13	AND REALLY DO IT IN A WAY THAT IS GOING TO BE MOST
14	EFFECTIVE IN ENGAGING THE COMMUNITIES THEY SERVE.
15	DR. TURBEVILLE: GREAT QUESTION. SO WHAT
16	WE'D LIKE TO DO IS STRESS TEST THIS A LITTLE BIT
17	WITH RESPECT TO OUR FINAL PROGRAM. I ACTUALLY WOULD
18	LOOK TO YOU GUYS FOR GUIDANCE ON HOW WE COULD STRESS
19	TEST THIS PROPOSAL, THIS PROGRAM, OUT IN THE
20	COMMUNITY. SO THAT, DAN, IS AN EXCELLENT QUESTION,
21	AND THAT WOULD BE A GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO GET IN
22	FRONT OF SOME FOLKS AND SEE IF IT RESONATES WITH
23	THEM AND WE'RE HITTING THE MARK.
24	MR. BERNAL: JUST TO FOLLOW IT UP A LITTLE
25	BIT MORE, PARTICULARLY HERE IN SAN FRANCISCO, WE'RE

1	SEEING PERSISTENT DISPARITIES WITH REGARD TO BOTH
2	COVID AND M-POX IN VACCINATIONS, IN PEOPLE SEEKING
3	TESTING, AND OTHER THINGS LIKE THAT TOO. IT'S
4	REALLY BEEN THE PARTNERSHIPS WITH THE
5	COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS, LATERAL-X COMMUNITY
6	THAT HAVE REALLY BEEN ABLE TO ROLL THIS OUT AND DEAL
7	WITH SOME OTHER CULTURAL BARRIERS, PARTICULARLY WITH
8	M-POX WHEN THERE ARE CONCERNS ABOUT PEOPLE PERHAPS
9	EXPOSING THEIR SEXUAL ORIENTATION THROUGH SEEKING
10	SERVICES. SO I THINK THAT IS CRITICAL, THAT WE'RE
11	ABLE TO ENGAGE THESE ORGANIZATIONS.
12	DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART. IF YOU WANT TO
14	UNMUTE YOURSELF.
15	MR. TORRES: YES, I'M UNMUTED. I'M SO
16	GRATEFUL.
17	NO. 1, WHEN BOB KLEIN AND I BEGAN TO WRITE
18	FOR THE 2020 ELECTION, THE NEW PROP 14, I BROUGHT MY
19	EXPERIENCE AS VICE CHAIRMAN OF ONE LEGACY, THE ORGAN
20	TRANSPLANT FOUNDATION, TO CREATE THIS LANGUAGE
21	BECAUSE WE HAD STARTED YEARS AGO BY WORKING WITH THE
22	TRANSPLANT COMMUNITY AND PATIENT ADVOCATES TO SEE
23	HOW WE COULD HELP WITH FUNDING FROM ONE LEGACY TO
24	THOSE NON-PROFITS, ONE OF WHICH WAS THE AVA
25	FOUNDATION, WHICH REALLY HELPS HEART TRANSPLANT
	00

1	PATIENTS.
2	I WANT TO THANK SEAN AND THE OTHERS WHO
3	WORKED ON THIS PROPOSAL AND THE LANGUAGE. PART OF
4	THE LESSONS THAT WE LEARNED IS EXACTLY WHAT DAN WAS
5	SAYING. THAT IS, YOU HAVE TO ENGAGE COMMUNITY-BASED
6	ORGANIZATIONS TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE A STAKEHOLDER IN
7	WHATEVER PROCESS EVOLVES. IF WE HAD NOT GONE TO THE
8	DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND THE GOVERNOR EARLY ON, WE
9	WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GET THIS THING GOING
10	TILL JANUARY 1 OF 2023. SO I WANT TO THANK THE
11	GOVERNOR AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE FOR REALLY
12	HELPING US OUT IN TERMS OF GETTING THIS GOING.
13	THE THIRD ISSUE WHICH I WANTED TO
14	EMPHASIZE IS THAT THIS IS AN ONGOING PROCESS. IT
15	DOESN'T END WITH OUR VOTE TODAY TO APPROVE WHAT WE
16	HAVE BECAUSE MOST OF OUR STAFF, INCLUDING SEAN AND
17	WORK THAT HE'S DONE, THE PERSPECTIVE THAT I BRING,
18	AND THE MEMBERS OF THE WORKING GROUP HAVE ALSO BEEN
19	INVOLVED WHO REPRESENT PATIENT ADVOCATE
20	ORGANIZATIONS IN TERMS OF THEIR WILLINGNESS AND
21	THEIR ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ONGOING OUTREACH
22	TO PATIENT ADVOCATE COMMUNITIES AND NONPROFIT AND
23	OTHER COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS TO BE PART OF THIS
24	PROCESS.
25	THIS IS AN EVOLVING PROCESS. THIS IS NOT

1	I'M VERY EXCITED BY THE PROPOSAL. PART OF ME WAS
2	NOT YET READY TO VOTE, BUT THANKS TO ART, WHO SAYS
3	THIS IS EVOLVING, I OFTEN FEAR THAT THINGS GET LOST
4	WHEN WE VOTE.
5	WHAT I DO LIKE IS THAT I SEE THE STEPS
6	THAT ARE BEING LOOKED AT, AND THEN SOME OF THE
7	PROPOSALS OR RESPONSES TO THEM ARE REALLY CRITICAL
8	BECAUSE IN MY COMMUNITY WE WERE TALKING ABOUT
9	ENGAGEMENT IN CLINICAL TRIALS IN 2009. SOME OF THE
10	THINGS THAT YOU'VE IDENTIFIED TODAY WE IDENTIFIED
11	THEN, AND THEY WERE LESS ABOUT THE PATIENT OR THE
12	COMMUNITY WANTING TO ENGAGE. IT WAS MORE ABOUT
13	SYSTEMS BARRIERS THAT KEPT THEM FROM PARTICIPATING.
14	SO APPRECIATE THAT YOU'RE CREATING A MODEL THAT WILL
15	IN FACT MEET HALFWAY WITH THE CLINICS WITH THE
16	COMMUNITY.
17	BUT I DO ALREADY SEE A COUPLE OF ISSUES
18	THAT YOU HAVE PUT. THESE WERE ONE OF THE LAST
19	PAGES, PAGE NO. 3 AND 5, LICENSING AND ROBUST TECH
20	SUPPORT. IF YOU WANT TO BRING COMMUNITY-BASED
21	ORGANIZATIONS TO YOU, YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO NOT
22	JUST LOOK AT THE LARGE ONES, THE LARGE NON-PROFITS
23	WHO APPLY, WHO ARE LICENSED AND CERTIFIED AND HAVE,
24	IN FACT, AN INFRASTRUCTURE THAT CAN SUPPORT WHAT YOU
25	THINK THEY NEED TO SUPPORT; BUT IF YOU ARE GOING TO

1	REACH OUT TOWARDS, AS DAN IMPLIED, THE CBO'S THAT
2	ARE REALLY BOOTS ON THE GROUND, WHO HAVE COMMUNITY
3	HEALTH WORKERS WHO ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO ADDRESS
4	THE LANGUAGE AND CULTURAL BARRIERS, WHO HELP THE
5	PATIENTS ADHERE, AND TO HELP RETAIN THEM IN THESE
6	TRIALS AND WITH THESE PARTNERS, THEN YOU'RE GOING TO
7	REALLY NEED TO RELOOK AT THAT CONCEPT AROUND
8	LICENSING. THAT INHIBITS A LOT OF CBO'S,
9	PARTICULARLY THOSE WHO WORK IN COMMUNITIES WITH
10	UNDOCUMENTED OR WHO ARE THEMSELVES VERY SMALL.
11	SO YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT THAT BECAUSE YOU
12	SAY THE WORD "LICENSING" OR CERTIFICATION, AND
13	THAT'S ANOTHER BARRIER THAT GOES UP.
14	THE SECOND ONE IS THE ROBUST TECH SUPPORT.
15	ONCE AGAIN, THAT TAKES A LOT OF MONEY, A LOT OF
16	INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT. AND IF THOSE ARE
17	BARRIERS, YOU CAN'T EVEN BEGIN TO BUILD TO SCALE OUT
18	TOWARDS IMPROVING INFRASTRUCTURE BECAUSE YOU WON'T
19	BE ABLE TO EITHER APPLY FOR THE GRANT, GIVEN WHAT
20	ARE SEEN AS BARRIERS, OR HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO TRY
21	TO DO SOMETHING BECAUSE YOU'RE AFRAID YOU WILL NOT
22	BE ABLE TO MEET WHATEVER.
23	SORRY. ONE LAST POINT. AND SO I THINK
24	YOU REALLY NEED TO LOOK AT THOSE TWO POINTS AND SEE
25	IF THERE ISN'T KIND OF A TEAMING OPPORTUNITY. THE

1	BIGGEST PROBLEM I HAVE SEEN IN PHARMA AND OTHERS
2	WHEN THEY'RE TRYING TO BRING IN CLINICAL TRIALS,
3	THEY ALWAYS LOOK AT THE BIG RESEARCH PICTURE. THEY
4	DO NOT INVEST ENOUGH IN COMMUNITY-BASED ENGAGEMENT.
5	AND SO THOSE ARE THE TIMES THEY'RE SCRAMBLING FOR
6	THE DOLLARS TO PAY THAT END OF IT. IF YOU WANT
7	EQUITY INVESTMENT, YOU NEED TO PUT EQUAL OPPORTUNITY
8	MONIES INTO THOSE ARENAS AND NOT JUST MAKE SURE IT'S
9	INVESTED AT THE TOP. AND SO ANY APPLICANT SHOULD
10	PUT EQUAL AMOUNTS OF MONEY INTO PERHAPS PARTNERING
11	WITH A COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATION THAT MIGHT
12	ALLEVIATE SOME OF THAT LICENSING AND TECH, BUT AT
13	THE SAME TIME BRING THE MOST IMPORTANT CREDENTIALS
14	TO THE TABLE. AND THAT IS CULTURAL AND LANGUAGE
15	COMMUNICATION SKILLS AND BEING ABLE TO HELP MAINTAIN
16	AND KEEP THOSE PATIENTS CLOSE AND IN ADHERENCE WITH
17	THE TRIALS.
18	DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU. YEAH.
19	INSIGHTFUL. THAT'S VERY HELPFUL.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA.
21	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: THANK YOU. I WILL BE
22	BRIEF IN LIGHT OF ALL THE WONDERFUL COMMENTS THAT
23	HAVE BEEN SAID. I AGREE COMPLETELY WITH WHAT YSABEL
24	HAD TO SHARE AROUND ADDRESSING BARRIERS. AND SHE
25	ELOQUENTLY SAID IT. I DON'T HAVE TO RESTATE IT.

1	BUT I ALSO WANT TO SHARE THAT
2	INTERVENTIONS ARE ONLY AS EFFECTIVE AS THE
3	INDIVIDUAL'S ACCESS TO THEM. SO I LOVE WHAT WE ARE
4	DOING HERE, BUT I ALSO WANT TO SAY THAT IT'S SO
5	IMPORTANT TO FOCUS ON THAT SPOKE OF THE WHEEL AROUND
6	ACCESS, AROUND GETTING PEOPLE IN. AND I THINK
7	THERE'S BEEN A CALL OUT TO THAT IN A PRESENTATION.
8	SO I REALLY, REALLY APPRECIATE THAT. SO OFTEN WE
9	TALK ABOUT SETTING UP PROGRAMS AND HAVING GOOD GOALS
10	AND IDEAS, BUT NOT THINKING ABOUT HOW TO REALLY
11	TRANSLATE THIS TO THE COMMUNITIES AND REALLY ENGAGE
12	WITH CULTURAL BROKERS AND OTHERS WHO CAN HELP REALLY
13	SPREAD THE GOOD NEWS ABOUT WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO
14	AND GET THAT COMMUNITY BUY-IN.
15	I DO THINK THAT THIS PROJECT IS REALLY
16	CONGRUENT WITH THE FOCUS ON PROMOTING DIVERSITY IN
17	CLINICAL TRIALS. AS WE THINK ABOUT SOME OF THE
18	CONVERSATIONS CERTAIN SUBGROUPS HAVE HAD AROUND OUR
19	MISSION AND OUR GOALS AND OUR FOCUS ON HEALTH
20	EQUITY, I THINK THIS IS A NICE WAY TO REALLY ENACT
21	THAT. SO GIVING LOTS OF PRAISE HERE TODAY.
22	DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU.
23	MR. BERNAL: AL.
24	MR. ROWLETT: I WANT TO SPEAK TO THE
25	PROPOSAL PROCESS. AND AS A PATIENT ADVOCATE, WHAT I

1	EXPERIENCE AS BEING PART OF THE GWG IS THAT
2	OFTENTIMES APPLICATIONS THAT ARE NOT REFLECTIVE OF
3	THE DEPTH AND BREADTH THAT WE WANT IN THE DEI AREA
4	ARE A RESULT OF PROPOSALS THAT MAY NOT ASK ALL OF
5	THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE IMPLIED AND WHAT YSABEL SAID.
6	SO, FOR EXAMPLE, NOT JUST THAT YOU ARE EFFECTIVE IN
7	WORKING WITH COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS, BUT WHY
8	IS IT IMPORTANT TO ENGAGE THEM? AND WHAT ARE THE
9	SPECIFIC GOALS ASSOCIATED OR MILESTONES ASSOCIATED
10	WITH THE NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS THAT WE WILL SERVE
11	WHO ARE REPRESENTING UNDERSERVED AND UNSERVED
12	COMMUNITIES WHOSE ONLY ACCESS TO A PATIENT
13	ASSISTANCE PROGRAM IS THROUGH A CBO. THAT IS THE
14	KIND OF QUESTION THAT HAS TO BE SOLICITED FROM
15	THAT QUESTION HAS TO SOLICIT THAT ANSWER FROM AN
16	APPLICANT. SORRY FOR MY BREAKING UP THERE.
17	IT IS MY HOPE THAT IN OUR DEVELOPMENT OF A
18	PROPOSAL THAT WE ARE SENSITIVE TO MAKING SURE THAT
19	PATIENT ADVOCATES HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE YOU
20	WITH SOME FEEDBACK THAT I HOPE WOULD RESULT IN
21	BETTER APPLICATIONS BECAUSE OFTENTIMES IT IS A
22	REFLECTION OF THE PROPOSAL AND WHAT WE ASK OF OUR
23	APPLICANTS THAT RESULTS IN ANSWERS THAT ARE NOT
24	QUITE KEEPING IN THE GOALS OR THE TRUE SPIRIT OF
25	WHAT WE WANT TO ACCOMPLISH WITH DEI. AND I'M NOT

1	SURE ABOUT THAT. I'M NOT SURE HOW FAR DOWN THE ROAD
2	WE ARE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF OUR PROPOSAL. WOULD
3	LIKE TO HEAR MORE ABOUT THAT.
4	DR. TURBEVILLE: THAT'S GREAT GUIDANCE.
5	I'VE SEEN SOME OF THE LANGUAGE IN THE OTHER
6	PROPOSALS, RFP'S WHAT WENT OUT. SPOT ON. I SUPPORT
7	THAT A HUNDRED PERCENT. SO I WILL TAKE A LOOK AT
8	THAT LANGUAGE AND EVEN PASS IT BY YOU IF IT HITS THE
9	MARK WITH RESPECT TO THE LANGUAGE WE WANT TO PUT IN
10	THE RFP.
11	MR. ROWLETT: NOT TO BE RUDE OR INTERRUPT,
12	BUT NOT JUST MYSELF, BUT THE OTHER PATIENT ADVOCATES
13	WHO HAVE BEEN SITTING ON THE GWG AND WORKING WITH
14	THE DEVELOPMENT OF A TOOL AS REFERENCED BY YSABEL
15	THAT WE THINK WILL GARNER THE KIND OF PARTICIPATION
16	IN OUR TRIALS FROM UNDERSERVED AND UNSERVED
17	COMMUNITIES.
18	DR. TURBEVILLE: VERY GOOD.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE.
20	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU, SEAN, ALSO FOR
21	THIS EXCELLENT, THINKING-FORWARD PRESENTATION. AND
22	WE APPRECIATE THAT YOU CLEARLY MENTIONED THIS IS ONE
23	OF SEVERAL PILLARS THAT YOU WANT TO ALIGN, DESCRIBE,
24	AND SHARE WITH US LATER.
25	A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. ONE IS HOW MANY
	88

1	CLINICAL CENTERS ARE CURRENTLY UNDER CIRM'S PURVIEW,
2	AND HOW MANY CLINICAL CENTERS WILL IT SERVE? SO
3	THAT'S ONE QUESTION, BUT I'LL ASK THEM ALL.
4	DO YOU EXPECT MOSTLY FOR-PROFIT OR
5	NON-FOR-PROFIT TO APPLY? AND WHAT IS YOUR SENSE OF
6	WHO SHOULD BE BEST QUALIFIED, A FOR-PROFIT, SUCH AS
7	A CLINICAL RESEARCH ORGANIZATION, OR A
8	NOT-FOR-PROFIT, SUCH AS A COMMUNITY-BASED
9	ORGANIZATION, PROS AND CONS?
10	AND THIRD IS HOW WILL YOU MEASURE THE
11	ACCOUNTABILITY AND THE PRODUCTIVITY OF SUCH
12	INTERVENTION OVER TIME? I'M PARTICULARLY REFERRING
13	TO THE FACT THAT ULTIMATELY AN ENROLLMENT, FOR
14	INSTANCE, IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE
15	INVESTIGATORS. SO ARE THERE GOING TO BE SOME FORM
16	OF DELEGATION OF RESPONSIBILITIES THERE WHERE
17	ULTIMATELY THERE'S A SLIGHT RISK THAT NO ONE WILL BE
18	ACTUALLY ACCOUNTABLE FOR MAKING IT HAPPEN? SO STOP
19	HERE.
20	DR. TURBEVILLE: CERTAINLY. I THINK FOR
21	THE FIRST QUESTION, I'D PROBABLY PUNT THAT OVER TO
22	MARIA MILLAN IN TERMS OF THE NUMBER OF SITES THAT
23	ARE INCLUDED UNDER CIRM FUNDING. SO THAT, I THINK,
24	IS YOUR FIRST QUESTION.
25	WHILE SHE PREPARES HER RESPONSE FOR THAT,

1	YOU HAVE SOME GREAT QUESTIONS. SO, ONE, THE
2	SERVICES THAT WE ARE LOOKING FOR, AT LEAST FROM AN
3	ACCOUNTABILITY STANDPOINT, RIGHT, THERE ARE A NUMBER
4	OF METRICS THAT WE LOOK FOR WITH THESE TYPE OF
5	PROGRAMS. ONE, NOT ONLY THE SPEED AT WHICH WE
6	PROVIDE INFORMATION TO THE PATIENT AND THE
7	CAREGIVER. THAT'S ALL REPORTED. BUT MORE
8	IMPORTANTLY, THERE'S METRICS ON THE BACK END THAT
9	ALLOW US TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT WE WERE
10	EFFECTIVE IN GETTING THAT PATIENT ENROLLED INTO A
11	TRIAL AND THE SPEED AT WHICH WE ARE ABLE TO GET THAT
12	INFORMATION FOR TRIAL. THERE'S LOTS OF CROSS
13	INTERACTION WITH THESE ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE WARM
14	TRANSFER CAPABILITIES DIRECTLY TO THE SITES. AND SO
15	WE'LL BE ABLE TO DO THAT. THERE'S ALSO SOME
16	TECHNOLOGY ON THE BACK END THAT ALLOWS US TO ALERT A
17	SITE IF, IN FACT, WE CAN'T WARM TRANSFER THAT
18	PATIENT OR THE FAMILY MEMBER TO LET THEM KNOW THAT
19	WE HAVE A POTENTIAL PATIENT OR FAMILY THAT MAY
20	QUALIFY FOR THE SITE.
21	SO THERE'S A NUMBER OF CALL CENTER METRICS
22	THAT WE CONSTANTLY LOOK AT AND WE EVALUATE, AND THAT
23	HELPS US TO EVALUATE THE EFFICACY OF THE PROGRAM,
24	THE EFFECTIVENESS. IN TERMS OF AND WE HAVE THAT
25	LIST IN THE CONCEPT PLAN, TO BE HONEST WITH YOU, A

1	NUMBER OF VARIABLES THAT WE'LL GO AFTER. IN TERMS
2	OF WHETHER PROFIT OR NONPROFIT, WE ARE KEEPING IT
3	OPEN. RIGHT NOW AND WE ARE NOT THE ONLY ONES
4	LOOKING AT THIS. THERE SEEMS TO BE A REAL TIDE
5	MOVING RIGHT NOW WITH RESPECT TO PATIENT SUPPORT
6	SERVICES PARTICULARLY FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPY.
7	AND SO WE'RE ALL LEARNING THIS SPACE. SO I AM
8	ENTIRELY OPEN. IF THERE'S A NON-FOR-PROFIT
9	ORGANIZATION THAT HAS THE SKILL SET, THE EXPERTISE,
LO	AND NOW EVEN LOOKING FOR THE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT
L1	WHICH WE ARE HEARING ABOUT, CERTAINLY OPEN TO THAT.
L2	AND THERE'S GOING TO BE A STANDARDIZED PROCESS FOR
L3	HOW WE EVALUATE EACH ONE OF THE PROPOSALS.
L4	I THINK YOU HAD ONE MORE QUESTION THAT I
L5	MAY HAVE MISSED.
L6	DR. DULIEGE: THAT WAS IT. BUT IF YOU
L7	ALLOW ME THEN BRIEFLY, JUST EXAMPLES WHERE THAT HAS
L8	BEEN DONE BEFORE. THIS IS PRETTY FREQUENT NOW THAT
L9	SITES ARE GETTING MORE HELP, FRANKLY, TO ENROLL
20	PATIENTS AND ENROLL THE CROSS DIVERSITY OF
21	POPULATIONS. DO YOU HAVE ANY EXAMPLES OF SUCCESS?
22	DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH, CERTAINLY. I CAN
23	USE MY EXAMPLE WHERE I SET UP A FAIRLY LARGE PHASE 3
24	TRIAL. THIS IS IN THE PDA SPACE, PANCREATIC CANCER,
25	WHERE WE SET UP CALL CENTERS THROUGHOUT DIFFERENT

1	TERRITORIES. AND THAT WAS THEIR SPECIFIC AIM,
2	RIGHT, TO PROVIDE INFORMATION FOR PATIENTS, FAIR,
3	BALANCED INFORMATION ABOUT THE TRIAL AND IF, IN
4	FACT, THEY DID MEET THE INCLUSION CRITERIA IF, IN
5	FACT, THEY WANTED TO BE WARM TRANSFERRED TO THEIR
6	SITE WHICH COUNTRY THEY'RE IN, WE DID THAT. WE
7	PROVIDED THAT SERVICE. SO THAT IS ONE EXAMPLE. I'M
8	AWARE OF OTHER SMALLER SHOPS RIGHT NOW THAT ARE
9	PROVIDING VERY SIMILAR SERVICES IN THE UNITED STATES
10	ON THE CELL AND GENE THERAPY ARENA AS WELL.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED.
12	DR. FISHER: IT'S TOUGH TO FILTER OUT ALL
13	THE POINTS AND QUESTIONS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN MADE
14	AND REMEMBER THEM. BUT I GUESS I'M WELL,
15	PARTICIPATION RATES IN CLINICAL TRIALS IS INCREDIBLY
16	LOW. AND SO THIS WILL BE AN IMPORTANT FACTOR; BUT
17	AS OTHERS HAVE SAID, UNLESS THIS IS SEEN REALLY AS A
18	COLLABORATION BETWEEN ALL OF THE ENTITIES THAT HAVE
19	RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE TARGET POPULATIONS, IT'S NOT
20	GOING TO WORK IN TERMS OF REACHING PEOPLE PARTLY
21	BECAUSE YOU'RE NOT ENGAGING THE PEOPLE, ACTUALLY
22	HAVE THE RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE TARGET POPULATION,
23	AND PARTLY BECAUSE YOU'RE NOT ENGAGING THOSE
24	ENTITIES THAT CAN ACTUALLY DRIVE PARTICIPATION IN
25	CLINICAL TRIALS.

1	DRUG COMPANIES, BIOTECHS DO NOT HAVE
2	RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE PATIENT TARGET POPULATION.
3	CLINICS ONLY HAVE REALLY A LIMITED RELATIONSHIP.
4	AND DEPENDING ON THE SIZE OF THE TRIAL, ONE CLINIC
5	LIKELY CAN'T POPULATE A TRIAL SOLELY BASED ON THE
6	PEOPLE THAT IT KNOWS OR THAT'S KNOWN TO THEM. SO I
7	THINK IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT AS YOU DEVELOP THIS
8	FURTHER TO REALLY SEE IT AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO BRING
9	THE DIFFERENT CONSTITUENCIES, THE DIFFERENT
10	ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE
11	TARGET POPULATIONS INTO THIS PROCESS. THAT WILL
12	HELP ENSURE THAT THE PEOPLE WHO WE'D LIKE TO
13	PARTICIPATE IN THE CLINICAL TRIAL, NO. 1, KNOW ABOUT
14	IT AND HAVE THE SUPPORT THEY NEED TO PARTICIPATE IN
15	IT.
16	THE OTHER QUESTION THAT WAS ASKED, I THINK
17	ANNE-MARIE, ABOUT MILESTONES AND ACCOUNTABILITY, IT
18	OCCURS TO ME THAT I'M WONDERING IF YOU HAVE A
19	BASELINE SO WE KNOW WHERE WE ARE STARTING SO THAT WE
20	CAN ACTUALLY MEASURE PROGRESS AND IMPACT, NOT JUST
21	BASED ON THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE ULTIMATELY SERVED BY
22	THIS, BUT HOW THIS PROGRAM IS HELPING TO GROW
23	PARTICIPATION AND SUPPORT IN CLINICAL TRIALS.
24	DR. TURBEVILLE: GREAT COMMENTS. GOOD
25	QUESTION. WE DON'T HAVE ANY HISTORICAL TO REALLY
	0.2

1	USE AS A BASELINE. THIS IS GOING TO BE WE'RE GOING
2	START SLOW. SO WE'RE GOING TO USE OUR OWN INTERNAL
3	HISTORICAL GROUP, IF THAT MAKES SENSE. SO YEAR ONE,
4	THAT'S PROBABLY ONE OF THE BENCHMARKS THAT WE WILL
5	COMPARE THE FUTURE YEARS TO. I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY
6	DATA THAT'S OUT THERE IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN THAT WE
7	COULD BENCHMARK, BUT WE CAN LOOK FOR THAT.
8	DR. FISHER: I CAN'T TELL YOU THE EXTENT
9	TO WHICH IT EXISTS, AND IT'S PROBABLY HIGHLY
10	VARIABLE BASED ON WHICH POTENTIAL PATIENT POPULATION
11	YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. BUT AT SOME POINT IT WOULD BE
12	GOOD TO LOOK AT THAT BECAUSE ACCESS TO CLINICAL
13	TRIALS IS A CHALLENGE. AND CERTAINLY IN THE
14	NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE COMMUNITY AND FROM MY OWN
15	EXPERIENCE IN THE ALS COMMUNITY, THE PARTICIPATION
16	RATES IN CLINICAL TRIALS IS PARTICULARLY LOW,
17	COMPLICATED BY ALL KINDS OF FACTORS THAT I WON'T GO
18	INTO HERE. SO THERE PROBABLY ISN'T ONE NUMBER, AND
19	IT'S PROBABLY VARIABLE BASED ON THE TARGET
20	POPULATION YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT, BUT IT WOULD BE
21	SOMETHING GOOD TO KEEP IN MIND.
22	DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH. JUST TO COMMENT ON
23	THAT, THE NICE THING ABOUT THE ROBUSTNESS OF THIS
24	PROGRAM IS NOT ONLY ARE WE JUST INTAKE, BUT WE CAN
25	FOCUS INITIATIVES ON OUTBOUND ENGAGEMENT AS WELL.

1	SO THAT'S PART OF THE SCALABILITY OF THIS DEPARTMENT
2	OR PROGRAM.
3	DR. FISHER: AND IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO
4	MEASURE THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE OUTREACH EFFORT IS
5	INCLUSIVE AND COLLABORATIVE AS OPPOSED TO DRIVEN BY
6	A SOLE ENTITY OR A CALL CENTER, WHICH I'M RELUCTANT
7	TO THINK A CALL CENTER, CALLS BY STRANGERS ARE GOING
8	TO DRIVE MUCH PARTICIPATION AS OPPOSED TO A CALL
9	FROM SOMEONE THAT THE PARTICIPANT LIKELY HAS A
10	RELATIONSHIP WITH THAT PREEXISTED THEIR
11	CONSIDERATION OF PARTICIPATING IN A CLINICAL TRIAL.
12	DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH, CERTAINLY. THAT
13	TRUST NEEDS TO BE BUILT. AND THE PATIENT NAVIGATORS
14	THAT I'VE WORKED WITH IN THIS SPACE ARE INCREDIBLY
15	COMPASSIONATE. THERE'S A CALLING FOR THESE FOLKS,
16	AND THEY HAVE AN EXPERTISE. AND MANY OF THEM HAVE
17	BEEN PATIENTS THEMSELVES. SO THEY HAVE THAT
18	CONNECTIVITY WITH THE PATIENTS. THEY UNDERSTAND
19	WHAT THEY'RE GOING THROUGH WITH RESPECT TO CLINICAL
20	TRIALS, AND IT CARRIES ALL THE WAY OVER FOR THE
21	FAMILY DYNAMICS AS WELL AS EVEN LOOKING AT IT POST
22	MARKETING, POST APPROVAL.
23	DR. FISHER: NOT TO BELABOR IT, BUT I
24	WILL, A PATIENT NAVIGATOR LOCATED IN WASHINGTON,
25	D.C., LET'S SAY, HIRED BY A COMPANY THAT'S RUNNING A

1	TRIAL THAT INCLUDES SITES IN CALIFORNIA, THEY'RE
2	GOING TO BE LIMITED. AND THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE LOTS
3	OF EMPATHY AND THEY KNOW HOW TO DEAL WITH PATIENTS.
4	I'M JUST NOT CONVINCED THAT THEY ARE THE BEST PERSON
5	TO BE REALLY ENGAGING PEOPLE AND THE BEST PERSON TO
6	UNDERSTAND LOCAL RESOURCES AND CHALLENGES.
7	DR. TURBEVILLE: AND JUST TO RESPOND, IN
8	THAT RFP, WE ARE FOCUSED MOSTLY, IF NOT ENTIRELY, ON
9	SERVICE PROVIDERS THAT ARE HERE IN THE STATE. SO WE
10	WON'T BE CONTRACTING OUTSIDE TO PROVIDE THAT LEVEL
11	OF EXPERTISE TO OUR PATIENTS.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED.
13	DR. ABOUSALEM: THANK YOU. SEAN, THANK
14	YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE PRESENTATION. I'M IN FULL
15	SUPPORT OF THE PLAN AND WHAT YOU'RE TRYING TO DO
16	HERE, AND I APPRECIATE THAT IT IS EVOLVING. AND I
17	SPECIFICALLY APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT THIS WILL BE
18	FUNDED FROM THE LICENSE INCOME, WHICH IS A VERY
19	CREATIVE WAY OF DOING THIS.
20	AS I THINK ABOUT THE ULTIMATE GOAL, WHICH
21	IS REACHING ALL PATIENTS IN CALIFORNIA, AND I MEAN
22	ALL, WHO WOULD QUALIFY FOR THIS SERVICE. AND I'M
23	LOOKING AT YOUR PRESENTATION, AND I'M TRYING TO SEE
24	HOW CAN WE BUILD MEASURES THAT WOULD OVER TIME
25	GUARANTEE THAT WE'VE ACTUALLY REACHED ALL THOSE
	0.6

1	PATIENTS. SO SPECIFICALLY I HAVE TWO POINTS TO
2	BRING UP.
3	ONE IS WITHIN THE GROUP WITHIN THAT
4	POPULATION OF PATIENTS WHO WOULD QUALIFY AND WOULD
5	NEED THE SERVICE, AND THIS SHOULD BE AN OPTION TO
6	THEM. EVEN WITHIN THAT POPULATION, THERE ARE
7	SUBGROUPS THAT YOU CAN CATEGORIZE, WHETHER
8	SOCIOECONOMICALLY OR RACIALLY. SO HOW CAN WE EVEN
9	THROUGH THAT ROLLOUT PROCESS MAKE SURE THAT THE
10	ROLLOUT ITSELF AND THE PHASING OF THE PROGRAM IS
11	EQUITABLE SO WE'RE NOT SERVING ONE GROUP MORE THAN
12	THE OTHER OVER TIME. I THINK IT NEEDS TO BE
13	EQUITABLE AT ALL STAGES. SO IF YOU CAN JUST TAKE
14	THAT AS ONE POINT TO CONSIDER.
15	THE OTHER POINT IS WHEN I LOOK AT YOUR
16	OUTREACH, WHICH MAKES SENSE, YOU'RE GOI8NG TO DO IT
17	THROUGH THESE ORGANIZATIONS AND SO ON; BUT WHEN I
18	LOOK AT YOUR SLIDE 12 AND SAYS, OKAY, ORGANIZATIONS
19	WILL APPLY, AND ORGANIZATIONS HAVE TO QUALIFY. SO
20	IF THEY'RE NOT QUALIFIED FOR WHATEVER REASON AND
21	THAT ONE ORGANIZATION IS SERVING A SPECIFIC GROUP OF
22	PEOPLE, THAT TO ME MEANS THAT THOSE PEOPLE WILL NOT
23	HAVE ACCESS TO THE SERVICE, AND IT'S NOT BECAUSE OF
24	ANYTHING OF THEIR DOING. IT'S BECAUSE OF THE
25	ORGANIZATION THEY TYPICALLY WORK WITH IS NOT
	0.7

1	QUALIFIED. SO WHAT CAN WE DO AS CIRM TO MAKE SURE
2	THAT ACCESS TO THOSE PATIENTS IS NOT LIMITED TO THAT
3	ONE CHANNEL THAT SERVES THEM?
4	I KNOW IT'S COMPLEX. I DON'T HAVE THE
5	ANSWER. I'M JUST SAYING THAT THESE ARE THINGS YOU
6	MAY WANT TO CONSIDER.
7	DR. TURBEVILLE: THOSE ARE EXCELLENT
8	POINTS. ONE, MAKING SURE FROM A METRIC STANDPOINT
9	THAT WE'RE HITTING AN EQUAL DISTRIBUTION OR A FINITE
10	DISTRIBUTION OF PATIENTS THAT WE WANT TO TARGET,
11	RIGHT, THE UNDERSERVED. SO THAT'S SOMETHING WE'LL
12	BE MONITORING, AND I'LL THINK THROUGH SOME OF THE
13	METRICS THAT WILL BE PUT IN PLAY.
14	THE OTHER IS THIS REALLY TIES INTO A WHOLE
15	NOTHER WORKSTREAM THAT WE HAVE KICKED OFF, AND
16	THAT'S THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE.
17	THAT IS A PRESENTATION I HAVEN'T GIVEN TO THE GROUP,
18	AND HOPEFULLY THAT WILL TAKE PLACE BY THE END OF THE
19	YEAR. BUT WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT THE PATIENT SUPPORT
20	SERVICES ON THE OUTREACH, NOT ONLY WILL IT PROVIDE
21	SERVICES FOR THE ALPHA SITES, OR CIRM-SUPPORTED
22	TRIALS, BUT WE'RE THINKING MUCH MORE ROBUST. IN
23	THAT DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM, THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY TO
24	PROVIDE SYNERGY WITH THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF
25	EXCELLENCE AS WELL. AND THAT'S WHERE WE'RE STARTING

1	TO THINK THROUGH THE LONG-TERM VISION.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA.
3	DR. ABDULHAQ: THANK YOU. I AM VERY
4	SUPPORTIVE OF THIS EFFORT ALSO, SEAN. GREAT EFFORT.
5	I THINK FRED REALLY TOUCHED ON THE SAME THING THAT I
6	WAS GOING TO COMMENT ON, SO I WAS GOING TO MAKE THIS
7	BRIEF, BUT MY COMMENT IS ALSO ON COLLABORATING WITH
8	THE APPROPRIATE ORGANIZATIONS WITH HUGE OUTREACH OUT
9	THERE LIKE, FOR EXAMPLE, LEUKEMIA AND LYMPHOMA
10	SOCIETY, AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY, AS WELL AS SIMILAR
11	ORGANIZATIONS IN DIFFERENT DISEASE ENTITIES,
12	INCLUDING THE PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATIONS THAT LIKE
13	PRETTY MUCH BIG OUTREACH IN CALIFORNIA. BUT GREAT
14	EFFORT. THANK YOU.
15	I ALSO WANTED JUST TO SHARE VERY BRIEFLY
16	WITH THE BOARD THERE WAS ACTUALLY A STUDY PUBLISHED
17	THIS YEAR ABOUT ENROLLMENT IN CLINICAL TRIALS FOR
18	CAR-T AND DIFFERENT DISEASE STATES. AND THERE WAS
19	REALLY SURPRISING TO ME THAT IN MULTIPLE MYOLOMA
20	CAR-T TRIALS, THERE WERE ONLY 1 PERCENT
21	AFRICAN-AMERICAN PATIENTS AND THERE WERE ONLY 5
22	PERCENT HISPANIC PATIENTS. THERE'S NO QUESTION THAT
23	A BIG PART OF THIS IS THE INABILITY OF THESE
24	PATIENTS TO GO TO THE NECESSARY CENTERS WHERE THEY
25	HAVE ACCESS TO THESE TRIALS. SO DEFINITELY VERY
	00

1	IMPORTANT EFFORT.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN.
3	DR. SOUTHARD: SO AS A MENTAL HEALTH
4	ADVOCATE, I JUST WANTED TO SUGGEST THAT THERE'S A
5	WELL-DEVELOPED NETWORK THAT YOU MAY WANT TO UTILIZE,
6	WHICH ARE THE CLIENT COALITIONS. IN LOS ANGELES,
7	FOR EXAMPLE, THERE'S CLIENT COALITIONS OF EVERY RACE
8	AND ETHNICITY, LATINO, AFRICAN-AMERICAN, NATIVE
9	AMERICAN, ASIAN, AND SO FORTH. AND SOME OF THEM ARE
10	ALREADY ORGANIZATIONS WITH $501(C)(3)S$ AND SO FORTH.
11	SO YOU MIGHT LOOK AT SYSTEMATICALLY TOUCHING THE
12	CLIENT COALITIONS.
13	THERE'S ALSO STATEWIDE MENTAL HEALTH
14	CLIENT COALITIONS THAT COULD BE UTILIZED, AND THEY
15	EXIST ALSO IN THE RURAL COUNTIES IN SAN JUAN VALLEY.
16	I THINK THOSE CLIENT COALITIONS ARE A RESOURCE YOU
17	MIGHT BE ABLE TO TAP.
18	DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARIA, IF YOU DON'T MIND,
20	I'M GOING TO CALL ON LARRY, AND THEN I'LL COME TO
21	YOU.
22	DR. GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU, MARIA. SEAN,
23	FASCINATING PRESENTATION.
24	I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE PATIENT ACCESS
25	FUND. \$15.6 MILLION IS A DROP IN THE BUCKET
	100

133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864 208-255-5453 208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM

1	COMPARED TO TYPICAL TRIAL COSTS. AND, IN FACT, IN
2	THIS DAY AND AGE, YOU WOULD NOT OR YOU SHOULD NOT DO
3	A CLINICAL TRIAL WITHOUT ENSURING THAT YOU HAVE A
4	DIVERSE POPULATION OF PARTICIPANTS AND THAT YOU'VE
5	REACHED INTO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES AND INCLUDED
6	THEM. FOR EXAMPLE, I'M REVIEWING A LICENSING
7	APPLICATION TO THE FDA WHERE IT'S CLEAR THAT THERE
8	SIMPLY AREN'T ENOUGH MINORITY PARTICIPANTS TO MAKE
9	THE DEVICE THAT THEY'RE DEVELOPING ROBUST. AND I
10	THINK IT'S GOING TO BE A REAL PROBLEM FOR THEM.
11	SO THE QUESTION IS WHAT CAN BE CHARGED TO
12	REGULAR CIRM FUNDS SO THAT THE PATIENT ACCESS FUND
13	CAN BE RESERVED FOR THOSE COSTS THAT CIRM CANNOT
14	SUPPLY THROUGH REGULAR MECHANISMS?
15	DR. TURBEVILLE: CERTAINLY. SO WHAT WE
16	ARE OBSERVING IS CERTAINLY ON THE TRAVEL, HOTEL
17	STAYS, MEALS, ALL THOSE ANCILLARY SUPPORTIVE
18	MECHANISMS, MUCH OF THAT, I SHOULDN'T SAY ALL OF IT,
19	BUT WHAT WE'RE OBSERVING IS QUITE A BIT IS COMING
20	OUT OF THE PATIENT'S POCKET. SO THAT IS SOMETHING
21	THAT WE CAN CERTAINLY REIMBURSE, BUT I DO AGREE WITH
22	YOU. AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE BUSINESS RULES FOR
23	WHICH COMPONENTS WE FEEL ARE REIMBURSABLE. WE HAVE
24	CERTAINLY THAT RANGE OF REIMBURSABLE ELEMENTS FOR
25	THOSE CHARACTERISTICS.

1	I DO AGREE WITH YOU THAT 15.6, IT'S A
2	FANTASTIC START. AND IF WE'RE VERY CAUTIOUS AND
3	IDENTIFY THE PATIENTS THAT WE WANT TO GO AFTER FOR
4	THE SUPPORT, I THINK WE CAN UTILIZE THAT MONEY IN
5	THE MOST APPROPRIATE WAY THAT WILL HIT THE MARKS
6	THAT WE WANT. AND THAT, AGAIN, IS THAT UNDERSERVED
7	PATIENT POPULATION.
8	DR. MILLAN: MARIA, MAY I PIPE IN AT THIS
9	POINT? SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND, SEAN, THANKS
10	FOR A GREAT PRESENTATION. I JUST WANTED TO SHARE
11	SOME THOUGHTS REGARDING A LOT OF EXCELLENT INPUT AND
12	QUESTIONS THAT AROSE.
13	FIRST OFF, I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT
14	WE WILL REALLY VIEW THIS PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM IN
15	CONTEXT. A LOT OF THE DIFFERENT POINTS THAT ARE
16	RAISED ARE ESSENTIAL TO THE SUCCESS OF THE OVERALL
17	PROGRAMS IN TERMS OF THE KEY PARTNERSHIPS,
18	MEANINGFUL PARTNERSHIPS, WITH COMMUNITY-BASED
19	ORGANIZATIONS, WITH COALITIONS, AND ALL THAT. AND
20	THAT IS WHAT CIRM IS ABOUT. CIRM IS THE HUB FOR
21	THAT. SO THIS PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM IS A
22	COMPONENT TO PROVIDE SOME KIND OF RESOURCES OR
23	OPERATIONAL EXPERTISE IN DEPLOYING THE SERVICES AND
24	THE SCOPE OF SERVICES AND ACTIVITIES THAT SEAN HAD
25	LISTED BEFORE.

1	BUT THE IDEA IS THIS IS JUST A COMPONENT,
2	AND THIS WOULD BE INTEGRATED WITH ALL OF CIRM'S
3	SYSTEMS. FOR INSTANCE, LET'S RAISE THE QUESTION OF
4	THE PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND OF 15.6 MILLION. THAT'S
5	ABOUT THE SIZE OF ONE OF OUR CLINICAL TRIAL AWARDS,
6	RIGHT. THE IDEA IS NOT SO MUCH THAT IT'S GOING TO
7	SUPPORT GIVEN PROGRAMS. THE IDEA IS THAT IT WILL BE
8	USED TO CREATE SYSTEMS SO WE CAN ADDRESS BROADLY
9	UMBRELLA SOLUTIONS FOR HOW WE CAN GET THE MOST
10	EFFICIENT SOLUTIONS TO THINGS THAT ARE CREATING THE
11	BARRIERS THAT ARE PREVENTING ACCESS TO CLINICAL
12	TRIALS FOR A BROAD RANGE OF PATIENTS. LIKE, FOR
13	INSTANCE, IF THERE IS A WAY THAT WE CAN IDENTIFY KEY
14	UNCOVERED SERVICES OR COSTS AND THEN CREATE A WAY TO
15	HAVE THAT IN PLACE, THEN THE PARTNERSHIPS AND THE
16	OTHER ACTIVITIES RELATED TO THE OUTREACH, WHICH
17	WOULD NOT NECESSARILY MAYBE BE HANDLED BY THIS
18	PARTICULAR PROGRAM, BUT HANDLED BY ALL THE OTHER
19	CIRM SYSTEMS, WOULD HAVE A PLACE TO GO IN ORDER TO
20	DEPLOY THESE TYPES OF RESOURCES IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE
21	THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PARTNERSHIPS OF THE COMMUNITY
22	CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE, OF THE ALPHA CLINICS, OF
23	OUR CLINICAL TRIALS THAT ARE ALL FUNDED THROUGH
24	DIFFERENT MECHANISMS.
25	SO THE PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND IS RESERVED

103

1	AS FUNDS THAT COULD DIRECTLY BENEFIT THE PATIENTS,
2	BUT THERE'S ALSO FUNDING THAT WAS PROVIDED BY PROP
3	14 TO FUND RESEARCH AND PERSONNEL AND PROGRAMS TO
4	BUILD SYSTEMS AND SOLUTIONS AND TO GAIN KNOWLEDGE ON
5	ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY. SO THIS IS JUST THE FIRST
6	KIND OF, I WOULD SAY, A LEGO BLOCK, A KEY LEGO BLOCK
7	AND SAYING, OKAY, IN ORDER FOR US TO START DEPLOYING
8	THESE OTHER THINGS THAT SEAN HAD ALLUDED TO, AND
9	THESE WILL TAKE SEVERAL YEARS TO ROLL OUT, INCLUDING
LO	WHAT THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE WILL
L1	BE, I THINK THAT CONCEPT PLAN WILL MAYBE BE READY
L2	FOR THE BOARD BY NEXT YEAR.
L3	AS I MENTIONED IN THE BEGINNING, THE TEAM
L4	IS GOING OUT DOING LISTENING SESSIONS, GETTING
L5	ENGAGEMENT ACROSS THE STATE TO DETERMINE WHAT THOSE
L6	LOOK LIKE. SO I WANTED TO JUST MAYBE ADDRESS SOME
L7	OF THE QUESTIONS RELATED TO THE PARTNERSHIPS AND
L8	WHETHER THE COMMUNITY-BASED WOULD COMMUNITY-BASED
L9	ORGANIZATIONS BE THE APPLICANTS TO BECOME A PATIENT
20	SUPPORT PROGRAM? IF A PARTICULAR COMMUNITY-BASED
21	ORGANIZATION HAD THE CAPABILITY TO ADDRESS THE SCOPE
22	OF ACTIVITIES BROADLY TO SUPPORT, NOT JUST ONE
23	COMMUNITY, BUT BROADLY ACROSS ALL OF CALIFORNIA,
24	THAT SUPPORTS ALL OF CIRM'S 80 PLUS CLINICAL TRIALS
25	WITH THE VARIOUS INDICATIONS, THEN THEY WOULD BE

1	QUALIFIED TO COME IN. BUT THE CHANCES OF A
2	COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATION HAVING ALL THOSE
3	CAPABILITIES IS REALLY TOUGH. THERE MIGHT BE SOME
4	NON-PROFITS THAT HAVE A STRONG COMMUNITY-BASED
5	ORGANIZATION ALREADY ENGAGEMENT ALREADY BUILT
6	INTO IT, BUT THAT'S WHY THERE ARE GOING TO BE
7	SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE RFP. AND THAT WILL BE
8	SOMETHING THAT'S REVIEWED, AGAIN, FOR ALL OF THE
9	PARAMETERS, INCLUDING DEI AND OPERATIONAL
10	CAPABILITIES.
11	I DON'T KNOW IF I JUST CONFUSED
12	EVERYTHING, BUT I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE
13	DIDN'T GIVE THE IMPRESSION THAT THIS PATIENT SUPPORT
14	PROGRAM IS GOING TO BE THE ONE, THE PANACEA AND THE
15	ONE COMPONENT THAT'S GOING TO ADDRESS ALL THESE
16	THINGS. THERE'S A WHOLE ROAD MAP, ALL THE DIFFERENT
17	CIRM PROGRAMS IN RELATION WITH THE OTHER PROGRAMS,
18	INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS, INCLUDING THE ALPHA CLINICS
19	PROGRAM, WHICH WILL BE PRESENTED TO YOU IN THE
20	UPCOMING MONTH THAT WILL BE REALLY CRITICAL IN
21	ACHIEVING A LOT OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED TODAY.
22	MS. DURON: THANK YOU, MARIA AND MARIA. I
23	THINK WHAT I FEEL, THOUGH, IS WHAT HAS ALWAYS BEEN
24	UNDERADDRESSED AND, IN FACT, MISSING OFTENTIMES FROM
25	THE CLINICAL TRIAL PERSPECTIVE AND AT THE OVERSIGHT

1	LEVEL IS THAT PATIENTS, THE PATIENT POB AND THE
2	PEOPLE WHO HELP THOSE PATIENTS, WHICH ARE THE CBO'S,
3	HAS BEEN MISSING. IT'S BEEN THE WEAKEST LINK IN THE
4	WHOLE PROCESS.
5	SO WHAT WE ARE ADDRESSING HERE, I THINK,
6	IS TO STRENGTHEN THAT AND EQUALIZE IT AS A VERY KEY
7	BASELINE APPROACH TO MAKING THE WHOLE PROCESS WORK
8	WELL AND EQUITABLY. EVEN TO MARVIN'S POINT, 15.9 IS
9	PROBABLY PEANUTS COMPARED TO WHAT IS NEEDED TO BUILD
10	THIS REALLY IMPORTANT THIRD SPOKE, IF YOU WILL,
11	SEAN, OR FOURTH DEPENDS HOW BIG THIS WHEEL IS
12	BUT IT SHOULD BE EQUAL. AND TO ME IT IS THOSE BOOTS
13	ON THE GROUND, NOT THE STATEWIDE ORGANIZATION THAT
14	MAY HAVE A LITTLE THING HERE AND SOMETHING, AND EVEN
15	A CALL CENTER, SEAN, DOESN'T GET IT. IT IS BOOTS ON
16	THE GROUND. IT IS PEOPLE TO PEOPLE. IT IS
17	MICROAPPROACHES WHERE PEOPLE ARE EMBRACED AND HEARD
18	AND SEEN AND SUPPORTED THAT IS GOING TO BE THE BIG
19	PAYOFF. IT IS ALSO THE BIGGEST LIFT. AND TO ME IT
20	IS ALSO THE MOST LABOR INTENSIVE, BUT IT BECOMES
21	SUCH AN IMPORTANT KEY POINT TO THIS PARTNERSHIP IN
22	WHICH WE LIFT ALL BOATS.
23	AND SO I THINK THAT WE NEED AND WE
24	START WITH OUTREACH. WE START WITH ENGAGING
25	COMMUNITIES AND EDUCATING THEM AROUND WHAT THIS

1	LOOKS LIKE, WHAT IT MEANS, HOW IT'S NOT MEANT TO DO
2	HARM. AND, IN FACT, AND A LITTLE PIECE OF EDUCATION
3	BECAUSE I'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THIS EVEN AT THE ALL
4	OF US PROGRAM, WATCH WHEN YOU USE THE WORD "TARGET."
5	WE'RE GOING TO TARGET YOUR COMMUNITY. PROFILE YOUR
6	COMMUNITY. WE HAVE TO BE SUPER SENSITIVE. AND
7	WHO'S GOING TO CLEAR THAT ROAD FOR YOU? THOSE
8	COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS WHO HAVE THAT
9	UNDERSTANDING AND SENSITIVITY OR EVEN COULD USE THAT
LO	WORD, BUT IN A CONTEXT, IN A LANGUAGE AND A
L1	HEART-TO-HEART WAY THAT COMMUNITY LISTENS.
L2	SO YOU'RE REALLY BUILDING THIS PIECE OF
L3	THIS WHOLE PROGRAM FROM THE GROUND UP TO SHOW HOW IT
L4	IS KEY TO SUCCESSFUL INCLUSION IN CLINICAL TRIALS.
L5	IT HAS NOT BEEN DONE IN A HOLISTIC, INTENTIONAL,
L6	WELL-FUNDED MANNER, AND THAT IS WHY I THINK TO THIS
L7	DAY PHARMA KEEPS COMING BACK AND SAYS HOW DO WE
L8	COMMUNICATE? HOW DO WE ENGAGE COMMUNITY? COVID
L9	SHOWED A LITTLE BIT OF THE WAY, DAN POINTED AT IT,
20	BUT IT STILL INCLUDED THE BOOTS ON THE GROUND THAT
21	WERE COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS. SOMETIMES THE
22	LITTLEST ONES ARE THE MIGHTIEST ONES, MARIA, HAVE
23	THIS INFRASTRUCTURE HUGELY. YOU ARE GOING HELP THEM
24	MAYBE BUILD THAT OUT A LITTLE, BUT TEAMING, AND I
25	MEAN T-E-A-M-I-N-G, WITH THE LARGER GROUP AND

1	WORKING TOGETHER TO PRODUCE THAT IS GOING TO MAKE
2	THE DIFFERENCE. I CANNOT SAY THIS OFTEN ENOUGH. SO
3	I HOPE YOU RECORDED IT, PUT IT IN A LITTLE THING,
4	AND JUST REPORTED IT OVER AND OVER BECAUSE OTHERWISE
5	I'LL COME BACK AND SAY IT AGAIN.
6	DR. MILLAN: IF I MAY RESPOND TO THAT, MR.
7	CHAIRMAN. I WANTED TO, FIRST OF ALL, SAY, YSABEL,
8	WE HAVE RECORDED IT, AND THAT IS ACTUALLY SOMETHING
9	THAT WE EMBRACE AND IS EMBEDDED IN OUR MIND AS AN
10	IMPORTANT COMPONENT OF THIS.
11	I GUESS MAYBE WHAT I'M TRYING TO SAY IS
12	THIS PARTICULAR INITIATIVE OR THIS PARTICULAR PIECE
13	OF THE PUZZLE IS NOT MAYBE THE RIGHT ORGANIZATION OR
14	THE RIGHT INITIATIVE TO DO THAT. WE DO THINK THAT
15	THAT NEEDS A DEDICATED SOURCE OF FUNDING ON ITS OWN
16	THAT INTEGRATES WITH THE SYSTEM. SO WE HAVE TO
17	DEVELOP THAT SO THAT IT'S NOT JUST, BY THE WAY,
18	HERE'S A LITTLE FOR YOU AND MAYBE WE'LL REACH OUT TO
19	YOU. IT'S GOT TO BE A REAL PROGRAM IN TERMS OF THE
20	COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATION COMPONENT OF THIS.
21	I THINK MAYBE I'LL JUST STEP BACK A LITTLE
22	BIT AND JUST SAY THAT, EVEN BEFORE WE TALK ABOUT THE
23	BARRIERS TO INCLUSION, THERE ARE THE BARRIERS TO THE
24	CONDUCT OF THESE TRIALS. SO THERE ARE PATIENTS WHO
25	ALREADY REACHED THE CENTER AND ARE TRYING TO GET
	100

1	INTO THE TRIALS, AND THEN THERE ARE ALL SORTS OF
2	COSTS AND THE INSURMOUNTABLE OBSTACLES WITH BEING
3	ABLE TO GET THEIR CAREGIVERS BECAUSE THEY'VE NOW
4	LOST TIME, THEY HAVE TO TRAVEL FROM AFAR, THE
5	HUNDRED DAYS OF APPOINTMENTS ARE INSURMOUNTABLE FOR
6	THEM. SO NOW THOSE SUBJECTS, WHETHER THEY COME FROM
7	REMOTE AREAS, UNDERSERVED AREAS, OR WHETHER THEY'RE
8	NOT, THEY'RE NOT ACCESSING THE TRIALS. SO WE KNOW,
9	IN GENERAL, THAT THERE'S ALREADY A BARRIER TO BEING
10	ABLE TO ACCESS THESE STEM CELL AND REGENERATIVE
11	MEDICINE TRIALS BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE TRIALS.
12	THEY'RE COMPLEX. THEY REQUIRE, THE CAR-T'S, FOR
13	INSTANCE, EXTENSIVE, IT'S GETTING BETTER, BUT
14	EXTENSIVE OBSERVATION PERIODS BECAUSE OF THE
15	SECONDARY EFFECTS OF THE TREATMENTS THEMSELVES, THE
16	CYTOKINE STORM AND EVERYTHING ELSE, SO THEY REQUIRE
17	THAT.
18	FIRST, WE NEED TO GET THE INFRASTRUCTURE
19	IN PLACE TO ADDRESS THOSE WHO WE ALREADY KNOW COULD
20	ALREADY BE PARTICIPATING IN THE TRIAL AND COULD
21	ALREADY BENEFIT. AND THEN WE BUILD ALL THESE OTHER
22	COMPONENTS TO MAKE SURE THAT ONCE WE HAVE BUILT KIND
23	OF THESE FOUNDATIONS FOR BEING ABLE TO SERVE
24	PATIENTS, THEN WE IN PARALLEL ARE BUILDING THE
25	OUTREACH, THE EDUCATION, THE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT,

THEN BE ABLE TO UTILIZE THE SYSTEMS THAT ARE PUT IN PLACE TO ADDRESS THE INITIAL NEED THAT WE ALREADY
PLACE TO ADDRESS THE INITIAL NEED THAT WE ALREADY
SEE.
AND SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE
PUT IN CONTEXT WHAT'S BEING BROUGHT FOR BOARD
CONSIDERATION TODAY AS A STARTING POINT. THIS
DOESN'T CURRENTLY EXIST FOR OUR CIRM PROGRAMS. IT'S
BEING ADDRESSED BY THE DIFFERENT INVESTIGATORS, BY
THE DIFFERENT ACADEMIC CENTERS IN THEIR OWN WAY, BUT
THERE'S NO INTEGRATED APPROACH FOR THE CIRM PROGRAMS
YET. AND THE IDEA IS IF WE ARE ABLE TO DESIGN THIS,
THEN IT SHOULD BRING AN EFFICIENCY SO THAT IT LIFTS
ALL BOATS IN TERMS OF ALL THE EFFORTS OF THE VARIOUS
INVESTIGATORS AND THE SITES, AND AS A STARTING
POINT, THEN TO BE ABLE TO ROLL THAT OUT AND ACHIEVE
ALL OF THOSE OTHER IMPORTANT OBJECTIVES THAT WERE
DISCUSSED TODAY.
I WANTED TO JUST BRING THAT TO JUST
BRING IT DOWN TO WHAT IS BEING ASKED FOR TODAY IS A
CONCEPT APPROVAL TO BE ABLE TO PUT OUT A REQUEST FOR
PROPOSAL TO ASK ALL THE FORPROFIT, NONPROFIT
COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS, WHOEVER THINKS THAT
THEY ARE ABLE TO BRING THESE TYPES OF SERVICES TO
THE PATIENTS CURRENTLY, THOSE WOULD THEN BE

1	EVALUATED. AND THEN THE AAWG, ALONG WITH THE BOARD,
2	WOULD THEN LOOK AT IT AND SAY, OKAY, IS THIS A GOOD
3	ENOUGH STARTING POINT? IS THIS GOING TO BE
4	SOMETHING THAT'S VALUABLE FOR CIRM TO PUT IN PLACE
5	IN ORDER FOR US TO BUILD ALL THESE OTHER COMPONENTS
6	THAT WE WANT TO PUT INTO IT SO THAT WE REALLY HAVE
7	THE ABILITY TO HAVE STRATEGIC AND WELL-DEFINED AND
8	WELL-DESIGNED CONNECTIVITY TO COMMUNITY-BASED
9	ORGANIZATIONS SO THAT WHEN WE PUT OUR CLINICAL
10	INFRASTRUCTURE IN PLACE, IT'S EMBEDDED INTO HOW THE
11	SYSTEMS ARE DESIGNED SO THAT THEY CAN TAKE FULL
12	ADVANTAGE OF THE ACADEMIC MEDICAL CENTERS OR
13	COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OR THE NETWORKS SO THAT
14	CIRM-FUNDED PROGRAMS, THEREFORE, ARE THE SUBSTRATE
15	THAT ARE PUT INTO THESE SYSTEMS AND WE CAN EXTRACT
16	THE VALUE FROM PUTTING THESE THINGS IN PLACE.
17	I HOPE THAT I REALLY HOPE THAT I'M
18	BEING CLEAR ENOUGH. AND IF NOT, I'M HAPPY TO
19	DISCUSS IT FURTHER. THERE'S SO MANY OTHER PROGRAMS
20	THAT ARE GOING TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE AAWG AS WELL
21	AS OTHER CIRM PROGRAMS IN TERMS OF HOW KIND OF THE
22	CLINICAL TRIAL HEALTHCARE DELIVERY COMPONENTS ALL
23	PLAY TOGETHER. AND WE ARE IN THE BUILDING PHASE
24	WITH THIS STRATEGIC PLAN, AND THIS IS JUST A VERY
25	THE FIRST STEP FORWARD IN TERMS OF PUTTING RESOURCES

1	IN PLACE SO THAT WE CAN BUILD ON THOSE TO ACHIEVE
2	KIND OF THE FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC GOALS AND THEN, OF
3	COURSE, HOPEFULLY, BUILD ON FROM THERE.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED.
5	DR. FISHER: DR. MILLAN, THANKS VERY MUCH
6	FOR THAT CONTEXT. AND, FRANKLY, I FIND WHAT YOU ARE
7	SAYING ALARMING. AND YOU SAID A LOT, AND I'M NOT
8	EXACTLY SURE WHERE TO START WITH IT, AND I WON'T
9	BELABOR THE POINT. IF CIRM IS GOING TO BUILD
10	SOMETHING FROM THE GROUND UP, MY SUGGESTION IS THEY
11	BUILD IT RIGHT FROM THE START AND NOT SHOEHORN AN
12	IDEA TO CREATE ONE THING THAT REALLY DOWN THE ROAD
13	IS GOING TO BECOME SOMETHING ELSE. AND IF THERE
14	ISN'T ENOUGH MONEY TO BUILD IT RIGHT, THEN COME TO
15	THE BOARD AND ASK FOR A DIFFERENT FUNDING SOURCE TO
16	MAKE IT WHAT IT NEEDS TO BE.
17	I'M TRYING TO IMAGINE THE ONE ENTITY OTHER
18	THAN A TELEMARKETING FIRM THAT HAS THE CAPACITY TO
19	REACH ALL DISEASE POPULATIONS AND ALL PATIENT
20	POPULATIONS FOR ANY INDICATION FOR EVERY CLINICAL
21	TRIAL THAT IS GOING TO BE THE RIGHT WAY TO ENGAGE
22	THE COMMUNITIES WE ARE TRYING TO ENGAGE. A WITH ALL
23	RESPECT TO THE WORK THAT HAS BEEN DONE BY SEAN AND
24	THE GROUP THAT PUT THIS TOGETHER, THAT JUST SEEMS
25	WRONG.

1	IT WOULD BE LIKE CIRM SAYING WE WANT TO
2	FUND ONE SCIENTIFIC ENTITY TO ADDRESS ALL
3	NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE RESEARCH DRUG DEVELOPMENT.
4	AND WE'RE GOING TO PUT OUT AN RFP TO FIND THE ONE
5	WHO CAN DO IT ALL. IT'S JUST NOT PRACTICAL. IT'S
6	NOT REALISTIC. AND WHILE I'M ONE WHO DOESN'T WANT
7	PERFECT TO BE THE OBSTACLE OF GOOD ENOUGH, I DON'T
8	THINK THIS IS GOOD ENOUGH BECAUSE YOU'RE STARTING ON
9	THE WRONG FOOT. AND IF MONEY IS THE ISSUE, I THINK
10	WE'VE GOT MONEY THAT COULD BE DEPLOYED IN SERVICE TO
11	THIS SO THAT YOU'RE WORKING FROM THE GRASS ROOTS UP
12	AS OPPOSED TO THE GRASS TOPS DOWN.
13	AND I WENT FROM REALLY WANTING TO SUPPORT
14	THIS, AND I REALLY DON'T WANT TO GET IN THE WAY OF
15	IT, BUT I THINK THE WAY YOU'RE GOING AT IT IS
16	BACKWARDS. AND I FIND IT REALLY TROUBLING BECAUSE
17	IT'S KIND OF CONTRARY TO EVERYTHING WE SPENT ALL OUR
18	TIME TALKING ABOUT. I'LL STOP THERE.
19	DR. MILLAN: MAY I ASK SEAN TO RESTATE THE
20	OBJECTIVE OF THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM JUST TO
21	MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE LOOKING AT WHAT IS BEING
22	BROUGHT FOR CONSIDERATION TODAY BECAUSE I
23	REALLY DON'T WANT TO MAKE YOU KNOW, IN MY ATTEMPT
24	TO EXPLAIN THAT THIS IS ONE COMPONENT OF THE OVERALL
25	CIRM STRATEGY, I DON'T WANT TO TAKE AWAY FROM WHAT

1	IS ACTUALLY BEING PROPOSED FOR CONSIDERATION. AND
2	IF THE BOARD DECIDES THAT THE PATIENT SUPPORT
3	PROGRAM THAT HAS A SCOPE OF ACTIVITIES THAT ARE
4	BEING PROPOSED TODAY DOESN'T BRING VALUE TO THE
5	STRATEGY, THEN WE WOULD HAVE TO TAKE THAT BACK TO
6	THE AAWG. BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT I DON'T GET
7	IN THE WAY OF WHAT IS ACTUALLY BEING ASKED FOR TODAY
8	IN MY ATTEMPT TO GIVE THE BIGGER VISION.
9	FRED, I APOLOGIZE IF I BROUGHT IT TO A
10	TOTALLY DIFFERENT DIRECTION. SO WITH YOUR
11	PERMISSION, I'D LIKE FOR SEAN TO REMIND US OF WHAT
12	THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES ARE FOR THIS PATIENT SUPPORT
13	PROGRAM SO THAT THAT CAN BE SOMETHING THAT THE BOARD
14	LOOKS AT.
15	DR. TURBEVILLE: CERTAINLY. FRED,
16	CERTAINLY LISTENING TO YOU, I'M HEARING SOME GREAT
17	COMMENTS. YSABEL AS WELL. THANK YOU FOR THE
18	GUIDANCE. I THINK ALL OF THAT IS INSIGHTFUL.
19	I THINK WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO ARTICULATE
20	HERE IS THAT, ONE, WE ARE TRYING TO BUILD THE
21	FOUNDATIONAL. WE ARE NOT OPPOSED TO JUST N OF 1
22	FOUNDATION. IF THERE ARE OTHER ORGANIZATIONS THAT
23	CAN PROVIDE VALUE TO ADDRESS YSABEL'S COMMENTS,
24	ADDRESS FRED'S COMMENTS, WE CAN DO THAT. SO WE ARE
25	NOT JUST SETTING OUT THIS RFP FOR ONE CENTRALIZED

1	PLACE. THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR MANY
2	ORGANIZATIONS TO WORK.
3	WHAT WE DO WANT IS WE WANT SOME
4	INFRASTRUCTURE AT LEAST SO THAT WE'RE SYSTEMATIC IN
5	REPORTING, SYSTEMATIC IN RESPONSE TO THE PATIENTS,
6	AND ALL OF THAT HAS TO BE CENTRALIZED. OTHERWISE
7	WHAT HAPPENS IS WHAT WE ARE OBSERVING NOW. THERE'S
8	A LOT OF FRAGMENTATION OUT THERE. THERE'S A LOT OF
9	GREAT SERVICES, BUT WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S REALLY
10	GOING ON FROM A METRIC STANDPOINT. THAT'S THE
11	SPECIFIC AIM OF THIS IS TO BUILD THAT FOUNDATION.
12	WE HAVE SOME GREAT INSIGHT FROM THE AAWG, EVERYBODY
13	ON THIS CALL ON WHERE WE CAN BUILD THOSE SERVICES TO
14	MEET OUR CIRM INITIATIVES.
15	THE MAIN SPECIFIC GOAL OF THIS IS REALLY
16	TO TARGET THOSE PATIENTS THAT JUST DON'T HAVE A
17	CHANCE TO GET INTO A CLINICAL TRIAL EITHER SIMPLY
18	BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE THE FINANCIAL MEANS OR THEY
19	DON'T HAVE THE FAMILY SUPPORT. THAT'S ONE OF THE
20	SPECIFIC THINGS OF THIS IS GOING AFTER THE
21	UNDERSERVED PATIENT POPULATION. I CAN'T TELL YOU,
22	AND YOU GUYS ARE CLINICIANS YOURSELVES, HOW MANY
23	TIMES WE SPOKE WITH CLINICIANS WHO SAID IF WE JUST
24	HAD X, Y, AND Z, WE COULD HAVE HAD PATIENTS
25	PARTICIPATE IN THIS TRIAL. SO THERE'S CERTAINLY A
	115

1	SELECTION FACTOR FOR TRIAL PARTICIPATION. AND THE
2	GOAL OF THIS HOPEFULLY TO MAKE THIS EQUITABLE IS TO
3	GO AFTER THAT PATIENT POPULATION THAT JUST DIDN'T
4	HAVE A CHANCE TO BEGIN WITH.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL.
6	MS. DURON: THANK YOU, MARIA. AND
7	THEREFORE, SEAN, WHAT I'M HEARING, WHICH IS WHAT I
8	LIKE BECAUSE WHAT I HEARD WAS ON SOME LEVEL THIS IS
9	MAINTENANCE OF PEOPLE ALREADY IN THERE WHO ARE
10	FACING BARRIERS; THAT IS, IN TRIALS. AND I
11	RECOGNIZE THAT THERE'S A LOT OF ISSUES THAT WE
12	RECOGNIZED AND NOTED WITH COMMUNITIES OF COLOR, THE
13	BARRIERS THAT EXIST EVEN JUST TO KEEP INSIDE TRIALS.
14	BUT I'M THINKING THAT WHAT THIS IS MISSING THEN, AND
15	I WOULD LOVE YOU TO TAKE IT BACK, IS TO ADD THAT
16	COMMUNITY OUTREACH COMPONENT BECAUSE YOU'RE TALKING
17	ABOUT REACHING OUT TO THESE COMMUNITIES. YOU'RE NOT
18	TALKING ABOUT, OR AT LEAST THAT'S ONLY A PIECE, AND
19	THEN TALKING ABOUT MAINTAINING THEM INSIDE THE
20	TRIALS AND GETTING THEM TO ADHERE AND REMOVING THOSE
21	BARRIERS AND WORKING IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE
22	ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS OR RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS OR
23	WHOEVER IS PERFORMING THE TRIALS THEMSELVES.
24	SO YOU NEED WHAT'S MISSING HERE IS THAT
25	COMMUNITY OUTREACH COMPONENT. AND I THINK THE WAY

1	IT'S WRITTEN OR PROPOSED, IT'S NOT CLEAR. AND SO
2	YOU NEED REAL CLARITY. AND THEREIN YOU MIGHT EVEN
3	SEPARATE THOSE LIKE DEPARTMENTS, IF YOU WILL, SO
4	THAT HERE COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS WHO ARE
5	SMALL CAN'T NECESSARILY DO THE BIG INFRASTRUCTURE
6	LIFT, BUT WHO COULD BE CRITICAL TO BRINGING IN
7	EDUCATING AND BRINGING IN THOSE PEOPLE THAT YOU'RE
8	TALKING ABOUT AND WOULD NOT BE LEFT OUT OF THE
9	PROCESS. WHERE IT SITS RIGHT NOW, IT SOUNDS LIKE
10	EVEN A BIG LIFT FOR SOME OF THESE VERY ORGANIZATIONS
11	THAT YOU REALLY WANT TO ENGAGE TO BE PART OF THE
12	PROCESS. SO CAN YOU ADD, AND I DON'T EVEN KNOW
13	NO, BECAUSE THAT'S ALREADY ON THE TABLE. JUST A
14	RECOMMENDATION. SCREW UP THE PROCESS. BUT IT
15	REALLY NEEDS A COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROCESS OR,
16	YEAH, A PROCESS, WHATEVER YOU CALL THAT. AN
17	ADDITION SO THAT IT'S VERY CLEAR THAT THE COMMUNITY
18	IS IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THIS WHOLE THING. SO FROM A
19	TO Z, FRUIT TO NUTS, OR HOWEVER, TO FRED'S POINT.
20	THANKS, SEAN.
21	DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL.
23	MR. ROWLETT: SO WHAT I WOULD HAVE LIKED
24	TO HAVE SEEN IN THE CONCEPT PLAN IS REFLECTED, A
25	SOLICITATION OF THE EXPERTISE OF THE MEMBERS OF THE

1	GOVERNING BOARD, ESPECIALLY THOSE OF US WHO ARE
2	INVOLVED IN THE GWG PROCESS WHO ARE PATIENT
3	ADVOCATES, WHO ARE ADVOCATES FOR UNDERSERVED AND
4	UNSERVED COMMUNITIES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF A CONCEPT
5	PLAN AND ULTIMATELY WOULD INFORM STAFF AS THEY
6	DEVELOP A PROPOSAL. I WOULD HAVE LIKED TO HAVE SEEN
7	THAT, AND THAT'S NOT CLEARLY DELINEATED IN THE
8	PRESENTATION THAT I'VE SEEN HERE TODAY.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED.
10	DR. FISHER: NOW I'M JUST CONFUSED BECAUSE
11	SOME OF US ARE TALKING ABOUT AN OUTREACH PLAN, AND
12	WHAT I THOUGHT I HEARD SEAN AND MAYBE DR. MILLAN
13	TALKING ABOUT IS WE ARE NOT REACHING OUT TO ANYBODY.
14	WE ARE TAKING PEOPLE THAT ARE ALREADY KNOWN TO THE
15	CLINICS WHO ARE TRYING TO ENROLL PEOPLE IN CLINICAL
16	TRIALS, AND THE PEOPLE THAT THEY KNOW THAT THEY'RE
17	ALREADY TRYING TO ENROLL HAVE OBSTACLES TO OVERCOME,
18	AND THERE AREN'T THE RESOURCES TO HELP THOSE KNOWN
19	PEOPLE OVERCOME THOSE OBSTACLES. SO WE WANT TO HAVE
20	A RESOURCE TO HELP THE PEOPLE THAT ARE ALREADY KNOWN
21	OVERCOME THOSE OBSTACLES.
22	I GUESS I NEED TO ASK WHICH IS IT BECAUSE
23	IF IT'S THE LATTER, THEN I TOTALLY GET IT. THE
24	NURSE COORDINATOR OR CLINICAL TRIAL HAS A POTENTIAL
25	PARTICIPANT, BUT THEY'VE GOT FINANCIAL ISSUES, AND

1	THEY SAY CALL THIS NUMBER. IT'S A CLINICAL TRIAL
2	RESOURCE HOTLINE, AND THOSE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO HOOK
3	YOU UP WITH THE MONEY YOU NEED OR THE WHATEVER YOU
4	NEED TO OVERCOME THE OBSTACLE. THEY'RE NOT LOOKING
5	FOR NEW PARTICIPANTS; THEY'RE NOT LOOKING TO REACH
6	UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES. THEY'RE NOT LOOKING TO ADD
7	PEOPLE TO THE TRIAL. THEY'RE JUST LOOKING TO DEAL
8	WITH THE PEOPLE THAT ARE IN FRONT OF THEM NOW,
9	HOWEVER THEY GOT THERE. THAT'S AN ENTIRELY
10	DIFFERENT CONCEPT THAN THE ONE THAT I AND YSABEL AND
11	AL AND OTHERS HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT.
12	SO IF WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT INCLUSION
13	AND WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT REACHING OUT, THEN JUST
14	SAY THAT. AND WE CAN UNDERSTAND WHAT IT IS THAT WE
15	ARE REALLY VOTING FOR IS A WAY TO GET SUPPORT TO
16	PEOPLE WHO'VE SOMEHOW ALREADY MANAGED TO FIND
17	THEMSELF IN FRONT OF A NURSE COORDINATOR BEING
18	EVALUATED FOR PARTICIPATION IN A CLINICAL TRIAL. OR
19	MAYBE I HAVE IT WRONG AGAIN. LET ME KNOW.
20	DR. MILLAN: SEAN, IS IT OKAY IF I JUST
21	ATTEMPT ONE MORE TIME? I THINK THAT THERE ARE TWO
22	SEPARATE THINGS, AS YOU CORRECTLY POINTED OUT, FRED.
23	THERE ARE BY THE WAY, A LOT OF THE PATIENTS WHO
24	ARE ALREADY IN FRONT OF THESE TRIALS, WHO ARE
25	ALREADY IDENTIFIED AND TRYING TO GET IN, MANY OF

1	THEM WHO CAN'T ACTUALLY BE PARTICIPATING IN THE
2	TRIAL ARE FROM UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES. THEY CAN
3	GET THERE, BUT THE REASON WHY MORE RESOURCED
4	BACKGROUNDS ARE ABLE TO ENROLL INTO THE TRIAL AND
5	OTHERS AREN'T IS BECAUSE WHERE THEY COME FROM
6	REGARDLESS OF THE FACT THAT THEY EVENTUALLY WERE
7	ABLE TO MAKE IT THERE. SO THAT IS BEING ABLE TO
8	PROVIDE RESOURCES TO ADDRESS THE KNOWNS, WE DO
9	BELIEVE THAT THAT STILL SERVES UNDERSERVED
10	COMMUNITIES.
11	NOW, IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO AUGMENT IT,
12	THERE'S GOT TO BE THIS SECOND AND RELATED COMPONENT,
13	WHICH IS THIS COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATION AND MORE
14	IN THE COMMUNITIES THEMSELVES. THAT'S WHY WE
15	MENTIONED THAT COMING TO YOU NEXT YEAR WILL BE A
16	COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE CONCEPT
17	PROPOSAL BECAUSE THAT IS A MAJOR INFRASTRUCTURE
18	ALONG WITH ALPHA CLINICS INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WOULD
19	ENABLE IN THE COMMUNITY TYPE OF ENGAGEMENT. FOR
20	TODAY WHAT WE ARE BRINGING TO YOU IS A CONCEPT
21	PROPOSAL THAT WOULD ALLOW US TO DEPLOY THE PATIENT
22	ASSISTANCE FUND THAT'S ALREADY BEEN ALLOCATED WHERE
23	PATIENTS ALREADY WHO COULD BENEFIT FROM IT IN
24	PARTICIPATION IN CIRM CLINICAL TRIALS COULD BENEFIT
25	FROM THE PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND IS ONE OF THE

1	COMPONENTS THAT A PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM WOULD BE
2	ABLE TO DEPLOY. BUT IT WOULDN'T BE THAT WE WOULD
3	JUST FUND SOMEBODY TO JUST WRITE A CHECK FOR A
4	PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND, BUT HAVE TO BE AN
5	INTEGRATED APPROACH TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY HAVE THE
6	CAPABILITIES TO DO THIS IN A COMPLIANT, REGULATORY
7	COMPLIANT MANNER, THAT THE SYSTEMS ARE IN PLACE THAT
8	THEY ARE ABLE TO DO TO HAVE A LOOK AT WHAT THE
9	COVERAGE LOOKS LIKE BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME PENALTIES
10	FOR DOUBLE COVERAGE FROM VARIOUS INSURANCE. SO IT
11	HAS TO BE INTEGRATED WITH THE HOSPITAL SYSTEMS IN
12	TERMS OF DETERMINING WHAT, THEN, CAN BE SUPPORTED.
13	IT IS THOSE TYPE OF OPERATIONAL TYPE
14	CAPABILITIES THAT CIRM, WE KNOW WE CAN RELY ON OUR
15	PROGRAMS THEMSELVES ACROSS CALIFORNIA BECAUSE THEY
16	DO THAT, THEY RUN CLINICAL TRIALS, THEY TAKE CARE OF
17	PATIENTS. BUT WHAT WE ARE BEING TOLD IS THAT
18	THERE'S A NEED TO DO THAT BETTER IN ORDER TO BE ABLE
19	TO RETAIN THOSE PATIENTS WHO COULD BENEFIT FROM
20	PARTICIPATING IN THE TRIALS; BUT BECAUSE OF THE
21	BARRIERS, LOGISTICS, AND COSTS AND INFORMATION,
22	THEY'RE NOT. AND SO THAT IS WHAT WE'RE BRINGING TO
23	YOU TODAY, A PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM CONCEPT THAT
24	WILL ALLOW US TO PUT THAT IN PLACE. AND WHAT I WENT
25	DOWN THE ROAD WAS TRYING TO EXPLAIN THAT THAT WILL

1	ENABLE THE OTHER OBJECTIVES AS WELL. BUT FOR TODAY
2	THE PROPOSAL IN FRONT OF YOU IS FOR A PATIENT
3	SUPPORT PROGRAM THAT WILL ENABLE US TO ADDRESS, NOT
4	FULLY ADDRESS EVERYTHING, BUT ADDRESS COMPONENTS OF
5	THE THREE MAJOR AREAS, INFORMATIONAL, THE
6	LOGISTICAL, AND THE FINANCIAL BARRIERS, THAT ALREADY
7	WE'RE OBSERVING ARE BARRIERS TO PATIENTS FROM
8	UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES AS WELL AS FROM JUST THE
9	GENERAL COMMUNITY FROM BEING ABLE TO COME IN FOR
LO	CLINICAL TRIALS.
L1	DR. FISHER: I'M ASSUMING YOU'RE NOT
L2	EXPECTING A MEANS TEST FOR HOW THOSE FUNDS ARE
L3	DISTRIBUTED. I'M NOT NECESSARILY ENCOURAGING ONE.
L4	BUT WHAT YOU'RE DOING IS YOU'RE TAKING KIND OF A
L5	FILTER FEEDER APPROACH, WHICH WHOEVER HAPPENS TO
L6	FIND THEIR WAY INTO A TRIAL, WHICH BY DEFINITION
L7	MEANS THAT THE UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES WILL CONTINUE
L8	TO BE UNDERSERVED AND UNDERREPRESENTED BECAUSE
L9	THEY'RE UNDERSERVED AND UNDERREPRESENTED NOW. SO TO
20	ME \$16 MILLION MIGHT BE PLENTY BASED ON THE FACT
21	THAT WHAT WE ARE BASICALLY GOING TO BE DOING IS
22	SERVING THOSE WHO FIND THEIR WAY THERE, AND WE KNOW
23	AT LEAST AT THIS STAGE NOT ENOUGH PEOPLE FROM
24	TRADITIONALLY UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES FIND THEIR WAY
25	INTO CLINICAL TRIALS, THIS WON'T HELP THEM FIND

1	THEIR WAY IN. IT WILL HELP SERVE THOSE THAT DO.
2	DR. MILLAN: I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT
3	THAT'S NOT THE MESSAGE I'M GETTING ACROSS. I REALLY
4	DON'T WANT TO GET THAT MESSAGE ACROSS BECAUSE THAT
5	IS NOT THE INTENT OF WHAT I'M SAYING. THERE ARE
6	PATIENTS WHO FALL OUT AND ARE TWO COMPONENTS. IN
7	ADDITION TO SERVING THOSE WHO WE ALREADY KNOW HAVE
8	BARRIERS TO COMING IN, SOME OF THE ACTIVITIES THAT
9	ARE LAID OUT IN THE SCOPE OF ACTIVITIES ARE THAT.
10	THERE ARE ACTUALLY SYSTEMS IN PLACE SO WHEN THERE
11	ARE INQUIRIES OR REFERRING DOCTORS FROM THE
12	COMMUNITY OR PATIENTS WHO ARE SEEKING INFORMATION,
13	THAT'S NOT CURRENTLY HERE. SO THEY'RE ALREADY
14	FALLING OUT IN TERMS OF HAVING THE PLACE TO CALL.
15	EVEN IF WE SAY THAT THE CALL CENTER IS NOT GOING TO
16	BE THE WHOLE SOLUTION, IT IS AN IMPORTANT PART OF
17	THE SOLUTION BECAUSE WE DO, JUST IN OUR EXPERIENCE,
18	VARIOUS MEMBERS OF OUR TEAM, THERE'S A LOT OF VERY
19	NONSYSTEMATIC WAYS THAT PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO SEEK
20	INFORMATION FOR HOW THEY CAN GET INFORMATION ON
21	POTENTIAL CLINICAL TRIALS OR HOW TO GET INTO THESE
22	TRIALS FROM ALL COMMUNITIES, AND ESPECIALLY FROM
23	UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES.
24	THERE ARE COMMUNITY THERE WILL BE
25	OUTREACH PROGRAMS THAT ARE ONGOING, NOT JUST FROM

1	THIS PROGRAM, BUT FROM CIRM WHERE MEMBERS OF THE
2	COMMUNITY, THE HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS, PEOPLE IN THE
3	COMMUNITY WILL HEAR ABOUT CIRM FROM OTHER TYPES OF
4	INITIATIVES THAT CIRM IS PUTTING OUT, INCLUDING OUR
5	COMMUNICATIONS AND PUBLIC OUTREACH. BUT WHERE DO
6	THEY GO TO WHEN THEY SAY, WELL, I MAY HAVE A PATIENT
7	WHO I'D WANT TO REFER, AND HOW DO WE WORK THROUGH
8	THE SYSTEM SO THAT WE CAN FIGURE OUT THE BEST WAY TO
9	ACTUALLY BE ABLE TO GET THEM THERE EVEN FOR
10	SCREENING OR ALL THAT? WE DON'T CURRENTLY HAVE AN
11	INTEGRATED SYSTEM IN ORDER TO GET THAT INTAKE.
12	WHAT WE HAVE IS THEY MAY BE ABLE TO CALL
13	ONE HOSPITAL, ONE MEDICAL CENTER. THEY DON'T HAVE A
14	TRIAL. MAYBE THEY'RE A PART OF THE ALPHA CLINICS
15	NETWORK. THAT HELPS. BUT IN TERMS OF BEING ABLE TO
16	HAVE A GO-TO PLACE TO GET THE INFORMATION AS A VERY
17	FIRST STEP, WE DON'T YET HAVE THAT. SO IT'S NOT
18	THAT WE'RE JUST TAKING CARE OF THINGS THAT ALREADY
19	EXIST. WE ARE PUTTING THINGS ALSO IN PLACE THAT
20	DON'T EXIST THAT WILL START TO ADDRESS THE ABILITY,
21	THE PATHWAYS FOR PATIENTS FROM COMMUNITY DOCTORS,
22	FROM UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES TO EVEN GET INTO THE
23	SYSTEM, TO EVEN UNDERSTAND THAT THESE TRIALS EXIST.
24	AND THEN THE OTHER TYPES OF PROGRAMS THAT
25	CIRM ALREADY HAS IN PLACE WOULD BE DEPLOYED, LIKE

1	OUR ALPHA CLINICS OR EVENTUALLY THE COMMUNITY CARE
2	CENTERS TO HELP THEM THROUGH THAT WHOLE PROCESS. SO
3	IT'S NOT YOU HAD SAID SOMETHING ABOUT THIS IS
4	JUST A PIECEMEAL THING, AND YOU'RE NOT BUILDING IT
5	RIGHT FROM THE START. DON'T DO IT. COMPLETELY
6	AGREE WITH THAT. AND THAT'S WHY WE HAVE A STRATEGIC
7	PLAN. THE STRATEGIC PLAN LAYS OUT WHAT THE FULL
8	THING IS. THE STRATEGIC PLAN LAYS OUT THE BIG
9	INVESTMENT THAT THE CIRM BOARD AND CONCEPT AGREES
10	TO. YES, BRING US THE COMPONENTS AS YOU DEVELOP
11	THEM BECAUSE THEY ARE INTEGRATED PIECES OF THE
12	ENTIRE STRATEGIC PLAN.
13	SO THE STRATEGIC PLAN DOES ACCOUNT FOR THE
14	GOALS THAT WE ARE DISCUSSING TODAY. IT'S JUST THAT
15	THE FIRST COMPONENT IS THIS PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM,
16	BOTH TO DEPLOY THE PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND THAT'S AT
17	HAND TO ADDRESS THE GAPS WE ALREADY KNOW AND TO
18	BUILD AS WELL AS GAIN MORE INFORMATION, AS YOU SAY.
19	WE DON'T WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND WHERE THE BARRIERS
20	ARE, THE GAPS ARE. THAT WILL HAPPEN AS MORE INTAKE,
21	AS MORE INFORMATION COMES IN TO US WHERE IT CAN BE
22	ANALYZED. SO THAT'S WHY THE TECHNOLOGY AND THE DATA
23	CAPABILITIES ARE IMPORTANT SO THAT THAT TYPE OF
24	ANALYSIS CAN BE DONE IN ORDER TO DESIGN THE
25	SOLUTIONS FOR THIS PROGRAM TO KEEP EVOLVING.

1	IT'S NOT THE CONCEPT PROPOSAL THAT'S
2	BEING BROUGHT TO YOU IS JUST A STARTING POINT WITH A
3	FIVE-YEAR TIMELINE, AND THEN IT WILL DOESN'T MEAN
4	THAT IT'S NOT IT'S DESIGNED TO BE PART OF THE
5	BIGGER PICTURE. IN FACT, IT'S ESSENTIAL. WHAT
6	WE'RE TRYING TO SAY IS IT'S ESSENTIAL TO HAVE THESE
7	INITIAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND CAPABILITIES IN PLACE. I
8	DON'T KNOW IF THAT ADDRESSES YOUR CONCERNS BECAUSE
9	MY INTENT WAS NOT TO MAKE IT SEEM LIKE THIS PROGRAM
10	IS BEING DOWNSIZED OR THIS IS ALL WE CAN AFFORD.
11	NO, NOT AT ALL. IN FACT, IT'S A NEEDS-BASED DESIGN.
12	ANYWAY, I HOPE THAT THAT ANSWERS THE QUESTION; BUT
13	IF NOT, I'LL TRY AGAIN IN A DIFFERENT WAY I GUESS.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA.
14 15	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA. DR. ABDULHAQ: I JUST WANTED TO MENTION
15	DR. ABDULHAQ: I JUST WANTED TO MENTION
15 16	DR. ABDULHAQ: I JUST WANTED TO MENTION VERY BRIEFLY, FROM MY STANDPOINT AS A PHYSICIAN WHO
15 16 17	DR. ABDULHAQ: I JUST WANTED TO MENTION VERY BRIEFLY, FROM MY STANDPOINT AS A PHYSICIAN WHO DEALS WITH AND WHO TREATS A HUGE PATIENT POPULATION
15 16 17 18	DR. ABDULHAQ: I JUST WANTED TO MENTION VERY BRIEFLY, FROM MY STANDPOINT AS A PHYSICIAN WHO DEALS WITH AND WHO TREATS A HUGE PATIENT POPULATION OF UNDERSERVED PATIENTS, I DO SEE THE EFFORT THAT
15 16 17 18 19	DR. ABDULHAQ: I JUST WANTED TO MENTION VERY BRIEFLY, FROM MY STANDPOINT AS A PHYSICIAN WHO DEALS WITH AND WHO TREATS A HUGE PATIENT POPULATION OF UNDERSERVED PATIENTS, I DO SEE THE EFFORT THAT SEAN PROPOSED AS A GREAT EFFORT. I DON'T SEE THIS
15 16 17 18 19	DR. ABDULHAQ: I JUST WANTED TO MENTION VERY BRIEFLY, FROM MY STANDPOINT AS A PHYSICIAN WHO DEALS WITH AND WHO TREATS A HUGE PATIENT POPULATION OF UNDERSERVED PATIENTS, I DO SEE THE EFFORT THAT SEAN PROPOSED AS A GREAT EFFORT. I DON'T SEE THIS AS A WAY OF JUST RETAINING PATIENTS ON CLINICAL
15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. ABDULHAQ: I JUST WANTED TO MENTION VERY BRIEFLY, FROM MY STANDPOINT AS A PHYSICIAN WHO DEALS WITH AND WHO TREATS A HUGE PATIENT POPULATION OF UNDERSERVED PATIENTS, I DO SEE THE EFFORT THAT SEAN PROPOSED AS A GREAT EFFORT. I DON'T SEE THIS AS A WAY OF JUST RETAINING PATIENTS ON CLINICAL TRIAL, BUT REALLY GIVING THE ABILITY TO GO TO THESE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. ABDULHAQ: I JUST WANTED TO MENTION VERY BRIEFLY, FROM MY STANDPOINT AS A PHYSICIAN WHO DEALS WITH AND WHO TREATS A HUGE PATIENT POPULATION OF UNDERSERVED PATIENTS, I DO SEE THE EFFORT THAT SEAN PROPOSED AS A GREAT EFFORT. I DON'T SEE THIS AS A WAY OF JUST RETAINING PATIENTS ON CLINICAL TRIAL, BUT REALLY GIVING THE ABILITY TO GO TO THESE CLINICAL TRIALS FOR UNDERSERVED PATIENTS. I CAN
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. ABDULHAQ: I JUST WANTED TO MENTION VERY BRIEFLY, FROM MY STANDPOINT AS A PHYSICIAN WHO DEALS WITH AND WHO TREATS A HUGE PATIENT POPULATION OF UNDERSERVED PATIENTS, I DO SEE THE EFFORT THAT SEAN PROPOSED AS A GREAT EFFORT. I DON'T SEE THIS AS A WAY OF JUST RETAINING PATIENTS ON CLINICAL TRIAL, BUT REALLY GIVING THE ABILITY TO GO TO THESE CLINICAL TRIALS FOR UNDERSERVED PATIENTS. I CAN SPEAK TO THAT FROM A PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE OVER SO

1	BECAUSE SO MANY OF THE PHYSICIANS INVOLVED IN
2	TREATMENT ARE INTERESTED IN HAVING THIS OPPORTUNITY.
3	AND I CANNOT TELL YOU HOW MANY TIMES OUR PATIENTS
4	GET THAT INITIAL EVALUATION, BUT THEN THEY ARE NOT
5	ABLE TO PURSUE IT BECAUSE OF THE LACK OF THE MEANS.
6	SO I DO SEE THIS EFFORT AS VERY VALUABLE
7	TO BE BROUGHT TO UNDERSERVED PATIENT POPULATION. I
8	JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT BECAUSE THIS IS SOMETHING
9	I'VE DEALT WITH. I'VE TREATED THESE PATIENTS. I
10	CAN SPEAK TO PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, WHICH I THINK MANY
11	ONCOLOGISTS AND ANY PHYSICIANS IN OTHER FIELDS CAN
12	PROBABLY ATTEST TO.
13	DR. TURBEVILLE: REAL QUICKLY. IT IS A
14	GREAT STARTING POINT, IN MY OPINION. WE HAVE THE
15	INFRASTRUCTURE, AND THAT'S REALLY WHAT WE'RE
16	PROPOSING, THE INFRASTRUCTURE SO THAT WE CAN SCALE.
17	THE COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS THAT WE RECEIVED FROM
18	EVERYBODY, WE CAN PIVOT. WE CAN DO THAT, BUT WE
19	FIRST NEED TO GET THAT INFRASTRUCTURE IN PLACE SO
20	THAT WE CAN PROVIDE THE SERVICES RIGHT OUT OF THE
21	GATE. AND IT GIVES US OPPORTUNITIES TO DO OTHER
22	THINGS IN THE FUTURE.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA.
24	DR. VUORI: I REALLY APPRECIATE, I THINK,
25	ALL THE EFFORT THAT HAS GONE INTO THIS BY SEAN AND

1	HIS TEAM AND MARIA. AND THANK YOU FOR THE
2	EXPLANATION, THAT THIS IS REALLY SORT OF A COMPONENT
3	OF SOMETHING WHERE YOU EXPECT MORE PIECES TO BE
4	INTEGRATED DOWN THE ROAD. I ALSO APPRECIATE
5	COMMENTS FROM THE FELLOW ICOC COLLEAGUES WHO CLEARLY
6	HAVE THOUGHT THESE THINGS THROUGH AND BRING A LOT OF
7	WEALTH OF EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE TO THIS. REALLY
8	TAKE TO HEART ESPECIALLY FRED'S COMMENTS.
9	SO I THINK THE ISSUE AT HAND, HOW I SEE IT
10	HERE, IS THAT IT IS THE APPROPRIATE STARTING POINT
11	FOR THIS VERY, VERY WORTHY AND IMPORTANT ACTIVITY,
12	ESSENTIALLY A CALL CENTER, WHICH I ABSOLUTELY AGREE
13	WITH FRED, IS REALLY A TARGET TOWARDS THOSE WHO ARE
14	ALREADY SOMEHOW IN THE MIX, HAIFAA'S COMMENTS NOTED,
15	POTENTIALLY SEEING A DOCTOR AND NOT KNOWING HOW TO
16	TAKE THE NEXT STEPS OR NOT ABLE TO TAKE THOSE NEXT
17	STEPS. WE ARE JUST TRYING TO MAYBE HONE IN A LITTLE
18	BIT MORE IN CONCEPT HOW TO TRULY REACH THE
19	UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
20	BUT A LITTLE BIT MORE THINKING WHAT THE WHOLE PUZZLE
21	SHOULD LOOK LIKE BEYOND THIS ONE PIECE.
22	SO I'M PERSONALLY SWAYED IN THINKING ABOUT
23	THIS A LITTLE BIT MORE AND MAYBE REVISITING THE
24	TOPIC WHEN WE SEE A BIT MORE WHAT THE OTHER PIECES
25	MIGHT LOOK LIKE. AT LEAST I HAVE NOT SEEN THE

1	BIGGER PICTURE HOW THIS ONE ACTIVITY FITS IN. AND
2	I'M NOT PERSONALLY, THEREFORE, ABLE TO ASSES WHETHER
3	THIS IS THE BEST POSSIBLE STARTING POINT. JUST MY
4	COMMENTS. THANKS.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL.
6	MS. DURON: THANK YOU, KRISTINA.
7	APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS AS WELL. AND I HEARD
8	HAIFAA, AND I THOUGHT ABOUT THE NUMBERS OF CANCER
9	PATIENTS, LATINOS, THAT WE HAVE WORKED WITH OVER
10	TIME ON THE GROUND AND SEEN HOW MANY TIMES THEY HAVE
11	CONSIDERED SOMETHING AND THEN FALL OUT BECAUSE THEY
12	CALL A CENTER AND TRY TO ADDRESS PRELIMINARY STEPS
13	AND ARE SOMEHOW PUT OFF OR THEY ARE LOST. THE CALL
14	CENTERS DO NOT FOLLOW THROUGH WELL, OR THEY GIVE
15	THEM ANSWERS THAT THEY DON'T QUITE UNDERSTAND.
16	WE'RE PARTICULARLY TALKING ABOUT PERHAPS SPANISH
17	SPEAKING AND LOW LITERATE SO THAT THE INFORMATION
18	ISN'T INTELLIGIBLE IN TERMS OF HOW THEY HEAR IT.
19	MAYBE THEY DIDN'T GET TO ASK THE RIGHT QUESTIONS
20	WHICH CONCERNED THEM LIKE IS THIS GOING TO COST ME?
21	WHAT DO I HAVE TO DO? WHERE DO I HAVE TO GO TO DO
22	THIS? HOW ARE WE GOING TO PAY FOR ME TO GO THERE?
23	THERE'S SO MUCH FINANCIAL TOXICITY FOR
24	LOW-INCOME PATIENTS. EVEN MIDDLE INCOME PATIENTS
25	HAVE PROBLEMS. SO WITHOUT THINKING ABOUT THIS, THIS

1	ISN'T EVEN MAINTAINING THE ONES WHO ARE CURRENTLY
2	MOVING INTO TRIALS. EVEN THEY HAVE THESE ISSUES
3	NOW. AND SO, YES, THAT'S THE GREAT PLUG IN THAT
4	CALL CENTER AND MORE EFFORTS AROUND THE CURRENT
5	PATIENT, BUT IT'S NOT THE GREATEST MODEL IF WE
6	REALLY WANT TO START FROM THE GROUND UP AND MAKE
7	SURE THAT IT'S STREAMLINED FROM THE TIME THEY'RE
8	DIAGNOSED AT AN ADVANCED STAGE, MIGHT NEED A
9	CLINICAL TRIAL, AND STREAMLINED INTO THE PROCESS SO
10	IT'S SMOOTH AND NOT BUMPY AND NOT INSECURE.
11	I HAVE A COLLEAGUE RIGHT NOW WHO WAS A
12	PATIENT NAVIGATOR WHO IS NOW THE FOURTH STAGE,
13	SECOND ROUND OF INVASIVE BREAST CANCER TRYING TO
14	FIND A TRIAL AND NOT FINDING ANY OF THE HELP THAT
15	SHE REALLY NEEDS. THIS IS A WOMAN WHO IS BILINGUAL.
16	THIS IS A WOMAN WHO IS EDUCATED. THIS IS A WOMAN
17	WHO KNOWS HOW TO NAVIGATE, AND SHE IS HAVING ISSUES.
18	IF SHE HAS ISSUES, REMEMBER AND THINK ABOUT THOSE
19	WHO ARE LEAST PREPARED. SO I APPRECIATE WHAT HAIFAA
20	IS SAYING, BUT STILL I'D SAY TO HER, DO YOU HAVE A
21	COMMUNITY-BASED HEALTH WORKER IN YOUR OFFICE WHO CAN
22	HOLD THE HANDS FOR THESE PEOPLE THROUGH ALL OF THIS
23	PROCESS SO THEY DON'T GET LOST IN THE SYSTEMS OR
24	DON'T FALL OUT BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T GET THE KIND OF
25	RESPONSES THEY NEEDED FROM THE GET-GO? THEY DIDN'T
	120

1	FEEL LIKE THEY COULD GET THE HELP THEY NEED, AND
2	THEY DIDN'T GET THE ANSWERS THEY NEEDED TO STAY.
3	SO I THINK THERE ARE LITTLE TO MAKE IT
4	SEAMLESS, IT REALLY DOES, SO BACK TO WHERE FRED
5	STARTED, WE NEED TO START TO DEVELOP THIS PROCESS AT
6	A, NOT AT E. TO ME THIS IS E. I GET YOU, MARIA.
7	DR. MILLAN: I WANTED TO, FIRST OF ALL,
8	JUST THANK ALL OF YOU REALLY FOR THIS INCREDIBLE
9	FEEDBACK. I THINK THIS IS WHY I BRING IT TO THE
10	BOARD. SO WHAT FRED AS I WAS RESPONDING TO FRED,
11	I WAS THINKING THAT IF WE HAD THE COMMUNITY CARE
12	CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE PROGRAMS IN PLACE AND WE KNOW
13	WHAT THAT WOULD LOOK LIKE, FOR INSTANCE, THEN
14	MORE YOU MAY HAVE MORE OF THE PUZZLE TO REALLY
15	FIGURE OUT HOW THIS ALL INTEGRATES. SO IT'S NOT SO
16	MUCH THAT IT'S NOT A NEEDED INFRASTRUCTURE. IT'S
17	JUST THAT DO WE HAVE THE CRITICAL MASS OF THE OTHER
18	COMPONENTS TO MAKE IT GO IS MAYBE WHAT I'M HEARING
19	FROM THE BOARD. AND I THINK THIS IS A VERY FAIR AND
20	WELL-CONSIDERED FEEDBACK FROM THE BOARD. IT'S NOT
21	SO MUCH THAT IT'S NOT NEEDED OR THAT IT'S NOT
22	WORTHWHILE DOING AT SOME POINT, BUT IT'S A TINY
23	ISSUE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE OTHER COMPONENTS.
24	SO I WANT TO THANK YOU ALL FOR THAT
25	FEEDBACK BECAUSE WE GET THE MESSAGE, THAT THE TYPE

1	OF RESOURCES THAT ARE BEING PROPOSED FOR THE PATIENT
2	SUPPORT PROGRAM ARE NEEDED, BUT THEN THERE MAY BE
3	THESE OTHER COMPONENTS THAT WE ALSO WANT TO BRING
4	TOGETHER WITH IT. SO THAT'S A VERY GOOD FEEDBACK,
5	AND WE APPRECIATE THAT.
6	DR. BARRETT: I WANT TO APPRECIATE THE
7	WORK OF THE MANY COLLEAGUES WHO HAVE SPOKEN ON THIS
8	TOPIC. I THINK THE POINTS ARE ALL EXTREMELY WELL
9	TAKEN FROM PEOPLE WHO HAVE A LOT OF EXPERTISE IN
10	THIS AREA AND CERTAINLY MORE EXPERTISE THAN I HAVE
11	MYSELF. BUT THE WAY I'M SEEING THIS IS THESE THINGS
12	ARE NOT EITHER/OR. AND I DON'T SEE THAT THESE
13	ISSUES REALLY PREVENT US FROM MOVING FORWARD WITH
14	WHAT'S BEING PROPOSED HERE. I THINK SEAN HAS DONE A
15	GREAT AMOUNT OF WORK. I KIND OF ANALOGIZE THIS TO
16	OUR EFFORTS TO DIVERSIFY OUR FACULTY IN ACADEMIC
17	INSTITUTIONS. YES, WE NEED TO DO MORE OUTREACH.
18	YES, WE NEED TO BE LOOKING AT THE PIPELINE. YES, WE
19	NEED TO BE GOING TO PLACES TO RECRUIT PEOPLE. BUT
20	WE ALSO NEED TO RETAIN THE PEOPLE WE HAVE. IF WE
21	DON'T RETAIN THE PEOPLE WE HAVE AND MAKE THEM FEEL
22	THAT THEY ARE IN A SUPPORTIVE ENVIRONMENT, THEN THAT
23	HAS A KNOCK-ON EFFECT, NOT ONLY IN TERMS OF
24	RETENTION, BUT THEM TELLING THEIR FRIENDS AND THE
25	PEOPLE COMING BEHIND THEM THIS IS NOT A FRIENDLY

1	SPACE FOR YOU.
2	SO I PERSONALLY AM SUPPORTIVE OF THIS. IT
3	IS A PIECE OF THE PUZZLE, BUT SEAN'S TEAM HAS
4	OBVIOUSLY GONE OUT AND HEARD FROM PROVIDERS IN MUCH
5	THE SAME WAY THAT HAIFAA MADE THAT VERY ELOQUENT
6	STATEMENT ABOUT THE PATIENTS THAT SHE'S WORKED WITH,
7	THAT THESE SERVICES ARE NEEDED BY THE PATIENTS AND
8	WOULD ADDRESS SOME OF THESE ISSUES. SO THANK YOU.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN.
10	DR. SOUTHARD: I ALSO WANT TO AGREE THAT
11	MY BIAS IS TOWARDS ACTION. AND SO I BELIEVE WE
12	SHOULD TAKE THIS FIRST STEP EVEN THOUGH WE RECOGNIZE
13	THAT OTHER STEPS NEED ALSO TO BE TAKEN, BUT I THINK
14	WE NEED TO MOVE FORWARD.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANKS, MARVIN.
16	ANNE-MARIE.
17	DR. DULIEGE: JUST IN FOLLOW-UP OF WHAT
18	YOU SAID, MARVIN, I THINK WE ALL UNDERSTAND TO A
19	LARGE DEGREE THE COMPLEXITY OF WHAT IS PROPOSED
20	HERE. MYSELF, HAVING BEEN RESPONSIBLE FOR PATIENT
21	CARE SERVICE, PANCREATIC CANCER, I GOT IT FIRSTHAND.
22	PERSONALLY I DO NOT NEED TO SEE ALL THE PILLARS
23	ALIGNED BEFORE I CAN SUPPORT AND VOTE FOR GETTING
24	STARTED FOR THE FIRST ONE. SO I WILL VOTE YES FOR
25	THIS PROPOSAL.
	122

1	I WAS WONDERING, SEAN AND MARIA, IF YOU
2	HAD THOUGHT, MAYBE YOU HAVE ALREADY THOUGHT ABOUT
3	WHETHER THE BOARD COULD HAVE COULD YOU PRESENT TO
4	THE BOARD AT SOME POINT A DRAFT RFP BEFORE IT'S
5	FINALIZED, NOT IN GREAT DETAILS? WE DON'T NEED TO
6	GET INTO THE NITTY-GRITTY DETAILS AND BECOME
7	OPERATIONAL, BUT JUST TO SEE HOW THE DRAFT PREFINAL
8	RFP WILL ANSWER SOME OF THE CONCERNS THAT HAVE BEEN
9	EXPRESSED?
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, ANNE-MARIE.
11	DR. TURBEVILLE: LET MY BOSS RESPOND TO
12	THAT. IS MARIA STILL ON?
13	DR. MILLAN: I THINK THAT IF THE BOARD
14	THE BOARD WE, OF COURSE, WILL BE IT'S A MATTER
15	OF PROCESS. SO IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO FIRST SEE THE
16	RFP BEFORE YOU APPROVE THE CONCEPT, THAT COULD BE
17	DONE. IF YOU APPROVE THE CONCEPT SO THAT THE RFP
18	CAN BE DEVELOPED AND THE AAWG HAS AN OPPORTUNITY TO
19	THEN ALSO WEIGH IN ON THE RFP, AND THEN IT CAN COME
20	BACK TO THE BOARD PRIOR TO APPROVING ANY CONTRACT
21	RELATED TO THIS RFP, THAT CAN BE DONE AS WELL. I
22	THINK IT'S REALLY WHAT THE BOARD WOULD LIKE TO SEE
23	BEFORE THEY APPROVE THE CONCEPT PROPOSAL.
24	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU, MARIA. JUST TO
25	CLARIFY MY RECOMMENDATION, I THINK WE SHOULD VOTE ON

1	THE MOTION TODAY IF WE WANT TO APPROVE IT. HOWEVER,
2	GIVEN THE NUMBER OF CONCERNS OR SUGGESTIONS THAT
3	HAVE BEEN MADE, I THINK IT WOULD BE GOOD TO GET BACK
4	TO THE BOARD PREFINALIZATION IN ONE WAY, SHAPE, OR
5	FORM TO CLARIFY HOW THE RFP AS FINALLY PROPOSED
6	ADDRESSES SOME OF THE CONCERNS EXPRESSED AT A HIGH
7	LEVEL WITHOUT NITTY-GRITTY DETAILS. THAT'S MY
8	SUGGESTION.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, ANNE-MARIE.
10	LINDA.
11	DR. MALKAS: YES, I WANTED TO SAY THAT I
12	WOULD SUPPORT TODAY'S PROPOSAL BECAUSE IT IS JUST
13	THE FIRST STEP. AND SEAN AND HIS TEAM HAVE PUT A
14	LOT OF THOUGHT INTO THIS. I KNOW, IN FACT, FROM
15	HAVING DISCUSSIONS WITH DIFFERENT PARTIES, DIFFERENT
16	CIRM LEADERSHIP THAT YOU ALL HAVE BEEN THINKING
17	ABOUT THIS FOR SOME TIME. THAT'S HOW IT WOUND UP IN
18	THE INITIATIVE. SO I RECOGNIZE THAT THIS IS JUST
19	THE BEGINNING, AND I REALLY CAREFULLY LISTENED TO
20	EVERYONE'S THOUGHTS ON THIS, AND I DO HEAR THE
21	CONCERNS. I UNDERSTAND THE CONCERNS.
22	IN LISTENING TO EVERYONE TODAY, I HAVE
23	FOUND THAT THE BEST MANAGEMENT FOR PATIENTS THAT I
24	HAVE SEEN, AND THIS IS BOTH ON MY PROFESSIONAL SIDE
25	AS WELL AS MY PERSONAL SIDE, IS IF A FAMILY MEMBER

1	GOT INVOLVED AND REALLY HELPED THE PATIENT NAVIGATE,
2	THAT THOSE WERE THE MOST SUCCESSFUL. THOSE ARE THE
3	PATIENTS THAT HAVE VERY SUCCESSFUL OUTCOMES. SO IN
4	A WAY CIRM HAS TO SERVE AS A KNOWLEDGEABLE FAMILY
5	MEMBER. SOMEHOW THAT GETS INCORPORATED INTO YOUR
6	THINKING. AND THAT WOULD BE NEW FOR EVERYBODY.
7	IT'S JUST BUT I RECOGNIZE THAT THIS IS JUST THE
8	VERY BEGINNING, AND I'M SURE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE
9	MANY, MANY, MANY DISCUSSIONS OVER THE NEXT FIVE
10	YEARS WITH THIS. SO I APPRECIATE EVERYONE'S
11	COMMENTS TODAY, AND I THINK THIS HAS BEEN A GREAT
12	DISCUSSION. THANK YOU.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE.
14	DR. MIASKOWSKI: THANK YOU. I WOULD LIKE
15	TO SPEAK IN SUPPORT OF THIS MOTION. I'M
16	PARTICULARLY MOVED BY HAIFAA'S COMMENTS AND BY THE
17	TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF WORK THAT SEAN HAS DONE. AND I
18	WANT TO GIVE ANOTHER DIMENSION TO THIS. I'VE SERVED
19	ON THE GWG, I GUESS, A YEAR NOW, MAYBE A YEAR AND A
20	HALF, AND HAVE WATCHED THE PROGRESS IN TERMS OF DEI
21	BEING INCLUDED IN OUR CLINICAL TRIALS. AND I WAS
22	PLANNING TO COMMENT ABOUT THE LAST ROUND OF ALPHA
23	CLINIC GRANT REVIEWS BECAUSE I SAW TREMENDOUS
24	PROGRESS IN THOSE GRANTS IN TERMS OF THE PROPOSALS
25	RELATED TO REALLY ACTUALIZING DEI. AND IN A NUMBER

1	OF THOSE PROPOSALS, APROPOS TO OUR DISCUSSION, THE
2	SITES WERE PROPOSING USING PATIENT NAVIGATORS AND
3	GOING OUT AND DOING COMMUNITY OUTREACH.
4	AND I TRULY BELIEVE AS WHAT HAIFAA SAID,
5	PATIENTS FROM UNDERSERVED GROUPS ARE BEING SCREENED
6	AND THEY DON'T HAVE THE RESOURCES TO PARTICIPATE IN
7	A CLINICAL TRIAL THAT'S POTENTIALLY LIFESAVING. I
8	THINK WE HAVE TO START SOMEWHERE. I REALLY
9	APPRECIATED THE OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAM, AND I THINK
10	THIS IS A CRITICAL NEED. AND WE ACTUALLY HAVE IN
11	SOME WAYS A WAY TO CAPTURE A METRIC FROM SOME OF OUR
12	SITES THAT WE HAVE FUNDED IN CLINICAL TRIALS OR IN
13	THE ALPHA CLINICS TO GET A SENSE FROM THEM HOW MANY
14	PEOPLE FROM AN UNDERSERVED GROUP HAVE BEEN TURNED
15	AWAY BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE THE RESOURCES TO
16	PARTICIPATE IN THE TRIAL. MAYBE THAT'S PART OF SOME
17	OF WHAT SEAN DID IN HIS OUTREACH. I THINK THIS IS A
18	REALLY CRITICAL ISSUE. WE'VE FUNDED TRIALS THAT
19	HAVE POTENTIAL THERAPIES THAT COULD TREAT PATIENTS,
20	AND WE HAVE PARTICIPANTS WHO CAN'T PARTICIPATE
21	BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE THESE RESOURCES. SO I'M
22	REALLY IN SUPPORT OF THIS IN A PHASED PROGRAM TO
23	MOVE FORWARD. THANK YOU.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH.
25	DR. DEAS: YES. THANKS TO ALL WHO
	127

1	PARTICIPATED IN THE DISCUSSION. I REALLY APPRECIATE
2	ALL OF THE COMMENTS.
3	THE PROPOSAL THAT WE HAVE ON THE TABLE FOR
4	ACTION TODAY IS THE FIRST STEP. AND IN MANY WAYS WE
5	RECOGNIZE THAT IT DOESN'T GET US ALL THE WAY TO
6	WHERE WE WANT TO BE. AND AS A FIRST STEP, I ALSO
7	HEARD MENTION THAT WE'LL HAVE THESE DISCUSSIONS OVER
8	THE NEXT FIVE YEARS. I WOULD CERTAINLY LIKE TO ALSO
9	PROPOSE THAT, AS WE MAKE THIS FIRST STEP, WE DEVELOP
10	OUR STRATEGIES ALIGNED WITH TIMELINES OF GETTING US
11	TO WHERE WE ARE TRYING TO BE OVER THE NEXT FIVE
12	YEARS AND NOT WAIT TO DO IT AS WE GET CLOSER TO FIVE
13	YEARS. AND WHAT I MEAN BY THAT IS THAT WE SHOULD
14	HAVE A STRATEGY TO INCREASE UNDERREPRESENTED
15	ENROLLMENT IN THESE TRIALS AND TO SUPPORT FUNDING TO
16	GET THEM IN THE TRIALS, BUT HAVE A TIMELINE FOR THAT
17	STRATEGY OVER THE NEXT YEAR AND THE FOLLOWING YEAR
18	AND NOT STRETCH THIS OUT, THAT IT WILL COME. WE
19	REALLY NEED TO HAVE SOMETHING DEFINITIVE ON THE
20	TABLE, AND PERHAPS THAT'S SOMETHING THAT CAN BE
21	BROUGHT BACK TO THE BOARD AND WE COULD ASSESS ALONG
22	THE WAY.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL.
24	MR. ROWLETT: I CERTAINLY AM WILLING TO
25	SHIFT MY VOTE, I'LL JUST SAY, FROM A NO TO A YES
	138

1	PROVIDED ANNE-MARIE'S COMMENTS. AND JUST TO BE VERY
2	CLEAR, NOT TO MICROMANAGE THE ORGANIZATION, BUT TO
3	GET AN APPRECIATION AS I SAID IN EACH OF MY
4	COMMENTS, THAT AS A MEMBER OF THE GWG, IT IS THE
5	PROPOSAL THAT GARNERS THE BEST APPLICATION. AND IT
6	IS THAT PART OF THE PROCESS THAT I WANT TO MAKE SURE
7	THAT THE COLLECTIVE EXPERTISE OF THE BOARD IS
8	ACTIVELY SOLICITED TO PROVIDE YOU WITH FEEDBACK.
9	AGAIN, NOT TO MICROMANAGE, BUT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE
10	GET APPLICATIONS THAT REFLECT THE BEST OF DIVERSITY,
11	EQUITY, AND INCLUSION.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. I THINK, MARIA,
13	DO YOU SEE ANY OTHER HANDS RAISED THERE?
14	MR. TORRES: CALL FOR THE VOTE.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I WOULD JUST LIKE TO
16	MAKE A COMMENT, ART, BEFORE WE DO THAT. OBVIOUSLY
17	THE WORK THE AAWG AND THE BOARD ARE DOING IN THIS
18	AREA IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT. I PERSONALLY WOULD NOT
19	LIKE TO SEE US GO INTO A FIRST STEP WHERE THERE WAS
20	MATERIAL DISAGREEMENT WITH THE BOARD ON WHETHER
21	THAT'S APPROPRIATE OR NOT. AND I AM HEARING THAT
22	THERE ARE DISSENTING VIEWS ON THIS.
23	NOW, ONE OF THEM WHICH I WAS MOST
24	CONCERNED ABOUT WAS AL'S WHICH HE JUST CLARIFIED IN
25	THAT THE MEMBERS OF THE GWG PATIENT ADVOCATES ON

1	THAT BODY WERE NOT SUFFICIENTLY CONSULTED AS PART OF
2	THE PROCESS OF PUTTING TOGETHER THIS CONCEPT PLAN,
3	AND THAT WE'VE SORT OF GOTTEN AN INKLING OF THAT
4	FROM THE VERY ROBUST COMMENTARY FROM THE PATIENT
5	ADVOCATES ON THIS PARTICULAR MOTION.
6	I CAN'T RECALL DISCUSSIONS HAD MORE INPUT
7	FROM A NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAN THIS ONE IN RECENT
8	TIMES. AND I THINK THAT THE WAY TO DEAL WITH THAT
9	IS THERE ARE TWO WAYS. ONE IS, WHICH I'M NOT
10	HEARING A LOT OF SUPPORT FROM THE BOARD, IS TO DEFER
11	THIS TO A LATER DATE WHEN THERE'S MORE THOUGHT THAT
12	GOES INTO IT. THE OTHER WAY TO DO IT IS TO MAKE
13	SURE THAT THERE IS ACTIVE PARTICIPATION, AS
14	ANNE-MARIE AND AL SUGGESTED, IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF
15	THE RFP BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD WHO HAVE HAD THE
16	MANY EXCELLENT COMMENTS THAT WE'VE HEARD TODAY AND
17	HAVE THOSE COMMENTS INFORM THE ACTUAL CONSTRUCTION
18	OF THE RFP SUCH THAT IT MEETS ALL OF THE CONCERNS
19	THAT WERE EXPRESSED.
20	NOW, THE ONE CONCERN IT DOESN'T MEET IS
21	THAT WE HAVE NOT HEARD THE FULL CONTEXT, IF THIS IS
22	STEP ONE, WHAT ARE ALL THE OTHER STEPS? SO IT MAKES
23	FOR A BIT OF DISCOMFORT IN VOTING FOR SOMETHING LIKE
24	THIS WITHOUT KNOWING HOW IT FITS INTO THE GRAND
25	PICTURE, UNDERSTANDING THAT THE GRAND PICTURE
	140

1	DEVELOPMENT IS A WORK IN PROGRESS, BUT I THINK THAT
2	HAVING HEARD ALL THIS, AND PARTICULARLY AL'S COMMENT
3	AT THE END THERE, I THINK AS LONG AS WE HAVE
4	EXTENSIVE INVOLVEMENT BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD WHO
5	WISH TO INFORM THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE RFP, THAT
6	THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD GET A MAJORITY
7	SUPPORT OF THE BOARD. BUT I ALSO WOULD HOPE THAT
8	HAVING THAT AS A NEXT STEP WOULD ASSUAGE THE
9	CONCERNS, VERY GOOD CONCERNS AND REAL CONCERNS, THAT
10	WERE EXPRESSED IN OPPOSITION TO PROCEEDING AT THIS
11	POINT. SO I JUST WANT TO THROW THAT OPEN FOR
12	FURTHER COMMENT TO THE EXTENT ANYBODY HAS A COMMENT
13	ON THAT.
	MS. BONNEVILLE: J.T., I FIRST WANT TO
14	MS. BONNEVILLE. J.I., I FIRST WANT TO
14 15	MAKE SURE BOARD MEMBERS UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WOULD
	, '
15	MAKE SURE BOARD MEMBERS UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WOULD
15 16	MAKE SURE BOARD MEMBERS UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A CONFLICT SCREEN FOR THAT BECAUSE THEY
15 16 17	MAKE SURE BOARD MEMBERS UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A CONFLICT SCREEN FOR THAT BECAUSE THEY OBVIOUSLY COULD NOT WEIGH IN IF THEY HAD TIES TO OR
15 16 17 18	MAKE SURE BOARD MEMBERS UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A CONFLICT SCREEN FOR THAT BECAUSE THEY OBVIOUSLY COULD NOT WEIGH IN IF THEY HAD TIES TO OR OTHER THINGS THAT INVOLVE A CONFLICT WITH ANY SORT
15 16 17 18 19	MAKE SURE BOARD MEMBERS UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A CONFLICT SCREEN FOR THAT BECAUSE THEY OBVIOUSLY COULD NOT WEIGH IN IF THEY HAD TIES TO OR OTHER THINGS THAT INVOLVE A CONFLICT WITH ANY SORT OF ORGANIZATION THAT COULD COME IN FOR THIS FUNDING.
15 16 17 18 19	MAKE SURE BOARD MEMBERS UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A CONFLICT SCREEN FOR THAT BECAUSE THEY OBVIOUSLY COULD NOT WEIGH IN IF THEY HAD TIES TO OR OTHER THINGS THAT INVOLVE A CONFLICT WITH ANY SORT OF ORGANIZATION THAT COULD COME IN FOR THIS FUNDING. SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT'S CLEAR. SO NOT
15 16 17 18 19 20	MAKE SURE BOARD MEMBERS UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A CONFLICT SCREEN FOR THAT BECAUSE THEY OBVIOUSLY COULD NOT WEIGH IN IF THEY HAD TIES TO OR OTHER THINGS THAT INVOLVE A CONFLICT WITH ANY SORT OF ORGANIZATION THAT COULD COME IN FOR THIS FUNDING. SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT'S CLEAR. SO NOT EVERY BOARD MEMBER WOULD BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAKE SURE BOARD MEMBERS UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A CONFLICT SCREEN FOR THAT BECAUSE THEY OBVIOUSLY COULD NOT WEIGH IN IF THEY HAD TIES TO OR OTHER THINGS THAT INVOLVE A CONFLICT WITH ANY SORT OF ORGANIZATION THAT COULD COME IN FOR THIS FUNDING. SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT'S CLEAR. SO NOT EVERY BOARD MEMBER WOULD BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE PERHAPS. AND THIS IS ALSO NOT SOMETHING WE'VE DONE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAKE SURE BOARD MEMBERS UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A CONFLICT SCREEN FOR THAT BECAUSE THEY OBVIOUSLY COULD NOT WEIGH IN IF THEY HAD TIES TO OR OTHER THINGS THAT INVOLVE A CONFLICT WITH ANY SORT OF ORGANIZATION THAT COULD COME IN FOR THIS FUNDING. SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT'S CLEAR. SO NOT EVERY BOARD MEMBER WOULD BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE PERHAPS. AND THIS IS ALSO NOT SOMETHING WE'VE DONE IN THE PAST. SO I WOULD LOOK TO BEN TO MAKE SURE

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.
2	MR. TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
4	MR. TORRES: I'M SORRY. I KEPT QUIET
5	THROUGH ALL THIS. WE MADE SURE THAT WE PROVIDED
6	INPUT ON THE INITIAL PLAN TO THE MEMBERS OF THE
7	WORKING GROUP OF WHICH WE HAVE DAN BERNAL, AL
8	ROWLETT, ADRIANA PADILLA, DAVID HIGGINS AS PATIENT
9	ADVOCATES, AND MANY OTHER 13 MEMBERS THAT HAVE
10	PARTICIPATED IN PROVIDING THEIR INPUT. SO I JUST
11	WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE ARE ON NOTICE THAT WE
12	DID PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR INPUT, AND MANY OF YOU
13	DID PROVIDE THAT VALUABLE INPUT.
14	WHAT I DO BELIEVE, AND THAT GOES BACK TO
15	WHAT AL WAS SAYING EARLIER, BEST PRACTICES, ET
16	CETERA, IN TERMS OF GETTING INVOLVED IN THE
17	
17	MICROMANAGEMENT OF OUR STAFF, ET CETERA, IS A VERY
18	MICROMANAGEMENT OF OUR STAFF, ET CETERA, IS A VERY CAREFUL STEP THAT WE NEED TO CONSIDER. SO I WOULD
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
18	CAREFUL STEP THAT WE NEED TO CONSIDER. SO I WOULD
18 19	CAREFUL STEP THAT WE NEED TO CONSIDER. SO I WOULD SUPPORT WHAT ANNE-MARIE HAS SAID WAS LET'S VOTE FOR
18 19 20	CAREFUL STEP THAT WE NEED TO CONSIDER. SO I WOULD SUPPORT WHAT ANNE-MARIE HAS SAID WAS LET'S VOTE FOR THIS CONCEPT, GET IT MOVING, AND THEN COME BACK TO
18 19 20 21	CAREFUL STEP THAT WE NEED TO CONSIDER. SO I WOULD SUPPORT WHAT ANNE-MARIE HAS SAID WAS LET'S VOTE FOR THIS CONCEPT, GET IT MOVING, AND THEN COME BACK TO THE BOARD, NOT FOR A VOTE, BUT FOR AT LEAST A REVIEW
18 19 20 21 22	CAREFUL STEP THAT WE NEED TO CONSIDER. SO I WOULD SUPPORT WHAT ANNE-MARIE HAS SAID WAS LET'S VOTE FOR THIS CONCEPT, GET IT MOVING, AND THEN COME BACK TO THE BOARD, NOT FOR A VOTE, BUT FOR AT LEAST A REVIEW FOR THEIR INPUT ON THE RFP, AND THEN WE CAN MOVE
18 19 20 21 22 23	CAREFUL STEP THAT WE NEED TO CONSIDER. SO I WOULD SUPPORT WHAT ANNE-MARIE HAS SAID WAS LET'S VOTE FOR THIS CONCEPT, GET IT MOVING, AND THEN COME BACK TO THE BOARD, NOT FOR A VOTE, BUT FOR AT LEAST A REVIEW FOR THEIR INPUT ON THE RFP, AND THEN WE CAN MOVE FORWARD TO ISSUING THE RFP AND SEE WHAT COMES IN

	,
1	LET'S SEE WHAT COMES IN BASED ON THE RFP THAT HAS
2	THE INPUT OF THE WORKING GROUP AND THE INPUT OF THE
3	BOARD AND THE INPUT OF STAFF SO THAT WE HAVE A
4	CROSS-SECTION OF OPINIONS AND INPUTS NOT VOTED UPON,
5	BUT PROVIDED FOR BEFORE WE REACH OUT THE RFP TO THE
6	WORLD IN CALIFORNIA.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED HAS HIS HAND RAISED,
8	J.T.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: FRED, PLEASE.
10	DR. FISHER: I'M JUST WONDERING SORRY.
11	I APPRECIATE THE COMMENTS OF THE CHAIR AND THE
12	PROPOSED SOLUTION, SECOND PART OF WHAT YOU'RE
13	PROPOSING IN TERMS OF HOW PEOPLE MIGHT BE ENGAGED
14	NOTWITHSTANDING THE CONFLICTS THAT WOULD PREVENT
15	THAT. I'M WONDERING IF THAT REQUIRES AN AMENDMENT
16	TO THE MOTION SO THAT IT IS SOLIDIFIED IN THE ACTION
17	OF THIS BOARD OR NOT.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YEAH. I DON'T BELIEVE
19	IT DOES. I THINK WE'RE VERY CLEAR. TO THE EXTENT,
20	WHATEVER IS DOABLE, ACCORDING TO BEN AND THE LEGAL
21	TEAM, I THINK, UNLESS SOMEBODY DISAGREES WITH THAT,
22	IT'S UNDERSTOOD IT'S SORT OF PART OF THIS WHOLE
23	DISCUSSION.
24	MR. HUANG: YES. I THINK, BASED ON WHAT
25	AL AND ART JUST SAID, I THINK GENERALLY WE WOULD
	142

1	VOTE FOR THE MOTION THAT'S OUTLINED ON PAGE 15 OF
2	SEAN'S PROPOSAL. OBVIOUSLY CIRM HAS RECEIVED
3	FEEDBACK IN THIS PUBLIC MEETING AS TO INPUT INTO THE
4	RFP AND VISIBILITY INTO THAT. UNLESS BOARD MEMBERS
5	REQUEST IT, I PERSONALLY DON'T THINK WE NEED TO
6	AMEND THE MOTION BECAUSE CIRM WILL BASICALLY WE
7	WOULD FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE BOARD UNLESS,
8	FRED, YOU THINK WE NEED TO MAKE IT OFFICIAL, IN
9	WHICH CASE WE WOULD NEED A FRIENDLY MOTION WE
10	WOULD NEED TO AMEND THE MOTION AS I THINK KIM
11	MADE THE MOTION AND MIKE STAMOS WAS THE SECOND. SO
12	WE WOULD ASK THEM IF THEY WISH TO AMEND IT, OR WE
13	COULD JUST PROCEED ON THE VOTE RECOGNIZING THAT CIRM
14	WOULD REACH OUT TO THE BOARD MEMBERS FOR INPUT
15	DEPENDING ON OBVIOUSLY A CONFLICTS CHECK.
16	MR. TORRES: YES. WHAT PREVAILS IS OUR
17	CONFLICT OF INTEREST GUIDELINES, AND THOSE ARE
18	INTACT. THAT'S WHY I DON'T THINK IT NEEDS TO BE IN
19	THE MOTION.
20	DR. FISHER: JUST TO BE CLEAR, MY MOTION
21	WAS NOT ON THE CONFLICTS PART. IT WAS ON WHETHER OR
22	NOT THE MOTION NEEDS TO INCLUDE INSTRUCTIONS ABOUT
23	HOW THE BOARD WILL BE FURTHER ENGAGED AS THE
24	PROJECT, IF IT'S APPROVED, IS DEVELOPED.
25	DR. STAMOS: I'M COMFORTABLE AS IS. I

	,
1	THINK IT'S BEEN MADE CLEAR WHAT HAPPENS.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OTHER COMMENTS? I'M
3	PARTICULARLY INTERESTED IN HEARING FROM MEMBERS OF
4	THE BOARD WHO HAVE VERY VALID POINTS AND REASONS WHY
5	THIS MAY BE A PREMATURE VOTE.
6	MR. TORRES: OH, COME ON.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ART, I HEARD THAT.
8	MR. TORRES: I WAS TALKING TO MY DOG,
9	QUITE FRANKLY.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: RIGHT. ANY OTHER
11	COMMENTS?
12	MS. DURON: MR. CHAIR.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HOLD ON. SHLOMO AND
14	THEN YSABEL.
15	DR. MELMED: AFTER HEARING ALL OF THIS, I
16	WANT TO COMMEND SEAN AND THE STAFF FOR A TERRIFIC
17	BEGINNING, TERRIFIC PROPOSAL, AND HAS MY
18	ENTHUSIASTIC SUPPORT.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. YSABEL.
20	MS. DURON: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. I JUST
21	WANT TO SAY I AM NOT CONFUSED ABOUT WHAT IS SUPPOSED
22	TO BE GOING ON WITH THIS PARTICULAR PROPOSAL. I CAN
23	SAY THAT I'M DISAPPOINTED THAT IT WASN'T FURTHER
24	EXPANDED TO START AT A INSTEAD OF E. MY WAY OF
25	INTERPRETATION. I'M NOT OPPOSED TO VOTING FOR IT.
	145

145

1	I WOULD LIKE TO SEE WHETHER IT'S A MOTION OR JUST A
2	CLEAR, CONCRETE UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WILL I
3	THINK MARIA WAS TRYING TO EXPLAIN IT THAT WE WILL
4	LOOK AT THESE OTHER CONCERNS WE HAVE IN ORDER TO
5	MAKE SURE THAT, AND I THINK TO SOME EXTENT DEBORAH
6	DEAS EXPLAINED IT, LET'S PUT SOME THINGS IN MOTION
7	THAT EVENTUALLY CAN CONCRETIZE AND TURN INTO A FULL
8	BLOWN PLAN, ADDRESSING A TO E. I'M NOT OPPOSED TO
9	VOTING ON THIS OR RECOGNIZING WHAT IT MEANS RIGHT
10	NOW. AND SO THOSE ARE MY STATEMENTS. SO I DON'T
11	NEED AT THIS POINT IN TIME AN ADDITIONAL AMENDMENT
12	OR ANYTHING ELSE.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.
14	MS. DURON: WE ARE ON THE RECORD.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. ANNE-MARIE.
16	DR. DULIEGE: VERY BRIEFLY, I FULLY AGREE
17	WITH YOU, YSABEL. I THINK WE ARE BELABORING TOO
18	MUCH HERE. THE CIRM HAS A TRACK RECORD OF ALWAYS
19	ACTING ON BOARD'S RECOMMENDATION AND GETTING BACK TO
20	US. IN FACT, I CAN TESTIFY TO THAT. WHEN I HAD
21	QUESTION ABOUT FINANCES, I RECEIVED A VERY CLEAR
22	EXPLANATION AND WAS INVOLVED, NOT JUST EVEN MYSELF,
23	BUT EVERYONE. SO LET'S TRUST THE CIRM AS WE'VE
24	ALWAYS HAD A CHANCE AND THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT.
25	LET'S MOVE ON. NO NEED FOR AN AMENDMENT OF THE

1	MOTION, AND WE REALLY NEED TO MOVE ON NOW.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. I SEE NO
3	MORE HANDS RAISED.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS HAS HIS
5	HAND RAISED.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I DON'T SEE THAT FOR
7	SOME REASON. SORRY, DAVID.
8	DR. HIGGINS: THANK YOU, MARIA, AND THANK
9	YOU, J.T. I JUST WANT TO THROW IN A FINAL COMMENT.
10	I'VE BEEN AROUND FOR SIX OR SEVEN YEARS. AND WHAT I
11	HAVE COME TO DO IS, AND THIS ISN'T MEANT TO SLIGHT
12	ANYBODY. THIS IS MEANT TO EMPHASIZE THE CREDIBILITY
13	AND THE NEGOTIABILITY THAT THE STAFF HAS HAD OVER
14	ANY ISSUE THAT EVER WAS RAISED. AND I THINK THAT MY
15	ADVICE TO THOSE WHO ARE NEW TO THIS GROUP IS GIVE
16	THE STAFF A CHANCE AND LET THEM MOVE FORWARD. AND
17	IF YOU HAVE MISGIVINGS, THEY WILL NEVER IGNORE THEM.
18	THEY WOULD NEVER TRY TO PUSH SOMETHING THROUGH THAT
19	THE BOARD DOESN'T SUPPORT. BUT GIVE THEM SOME
20	SPACE. GIVE THEM SOME BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT. AND I
21	WOULD GO AS FAR AS TO SAY A HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE
22	TIME YOU'LL BE HAPPY IN THE END. SO JUST A PLUG FOR
23	THE STAFF. THE STAFF ARE EXCEPTIONAL.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, DAVID. OKAY.
25	MARIA, DO YOU SEE ANY OTHER HANDS UP FROM MEMBERS OF

1	THE BOARD?
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: I DO NOT.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. DO WE HAVE ANY
4	COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: WE DO. I HAVE A COMMENT
6	FROM DAVID JENSEN. "BASED ON THE PRESENTATION THIS
7	MORNING, IT SEEMS THAT THERE MAY BE A STRONG SHIFT
8	TO FUNDING INFORMATIONAL AND LOGISTICAL EXPENSES OF
9	CLINICAL TRIALS AS OPPOSED TO PUTTING MONEY IN
10	PATIENTS' POCKETS TO COVER THEIR EXPENSES. WHAT
11	PERCENT OF 15.6 MILLION IS SLATED TO GO DIRECTLY TO
12	PATIENTS?"
13	DR. TURBEVILLE: WE ALREADY AGREED THAT
14	THE ENTIRE 15.6 WOULD BE ALLOCATED TO THE PATIENTS.
15	THE ADMINISTRATION FUNDS WOULD COME OUT OF THE
16	ADMINISTRATION'S FUNDS FOR OUR DEPARTMENT TO RUN THE
17	OPERATIONS.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: I SEE ANOTHER HAND RAISED
19	FROM 310-429-9774. IF YOU CAN UNMUTE YOURSELF, AND
20	YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.
21	MS. GREEN: THANK YOU. SO DOES THE UP TO
22	2.5 MILLION CONCEPT PLAN FUND GO INTO THE POCKETS OF
23	PATIENTS WHO PROVIDE CONSULTATION, OR DO PEOPLE WITH
24	A CONFLICT OF INTEREST, SUCH AS PEOPLE WHO DEMAND
25	THAT PATIENTS PROVIDE ANSWERS WITHOUT PAYING FOR
	148

1	SUCH CONSULTATION OR WHO OFFER AMBIGUITIES THAT
2	POSTPONE THE DECISION MAKING PROCESS AND THEREBY
3	POSTPONE THE TREATMENT FOR SOME PATIENTS?
4	SO AS A HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEER, I'VE FOUND
5	THAT THE AVERAGE PAYMENT TO PATIENTS FOR A USER FOR
6	THEIR INPUT, SURVEY, QUESTIONNAIRE, INTERVIEW, ET
7	CETERA IS \$70. SO CAN YOU PLEASE ADDRESS HOW MUCH
8	OF THAT 2.5 MILLION CONCEPT PLAN FUND WILL ACTUALLY
9	GO INTO THE POCKETS OF THE PATIENTS TO THOSE
10	CONSULTATIONS?
11	DR. TURBEVILLE: SO THE 2.5 MILLION IS FOR
12	THE OPERATIONAL COST TO RUN THE PROGRAM OVER A
13	FIVE-YEAR PERIOD. THAT'S THE PROJECTED OPERATION
14	COST. THE ENTIRE 15.6 IS WHAT WILL BE PROVIDED TO
15	THE PATIENTS. SO WE NEED TO BIFURCATE THOSE TWO.
16	ONE IS OPERATIONAL AND THE OTHER IS PURELY FOR THE
17	SUPPORT OF THE PATIENTS.
18	THERE IS A COMPONENT OF THAT OPERATIONAL
19	PROGRAM THAT PROVIDES, OF COURSE, AS WE DISCUSSED
20	EARLIER, NOT ONLY THE INFORMATIONAL, BUT ALSO THE
21	LOGISTICAL. SO PART OF THAT 2.5 WILL ALSO GO INTO
22	THOSE SERVICES AS WELL.
23	THE REPORTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN WE GET A
24	NAME ON THE PREVIOUS SPEAKER?
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES. WHOMEVER JUST

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	SPOKE, PLEASE GIVE YOUR NAME FOR PURPOSES OF OUR
2	TRANSCRIPT.
3	MARIA, DO WE STILL HAVE THAT PERSON ON THE
4	PHONE OR DID THEY HANG UP?
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: THEY'RE STILL ON THE
6	PHONE, BUT THEY'VE NOT PROVIDED THEIR NAME.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY.
8	MS. DURON: MR. CHAIR, MAY I MAKE A
9	COMMENT?
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HOLD ON ONE SECOND,
11	YSABEL. WE STILL NEED TO GET THE NAME OF THE
12	PREVIOUS SPEAKER.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: I THINK IT'S OKAY IF WE
14	MOVE ON.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. YSABEL.
16	MS. DURON: I WAS SIMPLY TRYING TO
17	INTERPRET, I GUESS, WHAT THE CALLER WAS TRYING TO
18	SAY. AND I THINK FROM HER PERSPECTIVE PATIENTS ARE
19	OFTEN CALLED UPON, AND I THINK, SEAN, YOU DID THAT
20	IN THE SURVEYS YOU DID IN ORDER TO COME TO ALL OF
21	THIS DATA THAT INFORMS WHAT THE BARRIERS ARE, WHAT
22	COSTS ARE FOR PATIENTS, ET CETERA, ET CETERA. AND I
23	KNOW THAT IT IS VERY OFTEN DONE IN RESEARCH WHEN YOU
24	GO BACK TO COMMUNITY, ASK FOR THEIR OPINION, AND
25	THERE'S A BIG FIGHT AT THE IRB ABOUT HOW MUCH MONEY
	150
	150

1	IS TOO MUCH MONEY TO PAY THEM AS A STIPEND OR AN
2	HONORARIUM TO PARTICIPATE IN THESE SURVEYS. IT IS
3	VERY CRITICAL INFORMATION THAT INFORMS THESE SURVEYS
4	AND INFORMS, THEREFORE, THE WORK THAT ACADEMICS AND
5	RESEARCHERS AND EVERYBODY ELSE DOES.
6	AND SO I THINK SHE WAS I THINK SHE WAS
7	BASICALLY TRYING TO FIND OUT WHAT IS THE VALUE ADD
8	THAT YOU PUT ON A PATIENT'S OR A FAMILY MEMBER'S OR
9	A COMMUNITY MEMBER'S PARTICIPATION. AND SO I THINK,
10	SEAN, THAT'S TO ME WHAT I HEARD. I HOPE I'M NOT
11	MISINTERPRETING HER, BUT IT IS TRUE. I THINK THAT
12	WE UNDERVALUE THE EXPERTISE OF THE PATIENT AND THEIR
13	FAMILY MEMBERS FOR GIVING US THE BASELINE
14	INFORMATION WE NEED TO MOVE FORWARD.
15	SO IF THERE IS SOME NEW THOUGHT TO PERHAPS
16	RAISING THE RATE OF A STIPEND WITHOUT IT BEING SEEN
17	AS COERCIVE OR A BRIBE OR ANYTHING ELSE LIKE THAT.
18	I APOLOGIZE TO THE CALLER IF I WAS WRONG IN WHAT SHE
19	MIGHT HAVE BEEN SAYING.
20	MS. GREEN: YSABEL, YOU ARE STRAIGHT ON.
21	YOU'RE ACCURATE BECAUSE IT IS ONLY THE PATIENTS WHO
22	CAN COME UP WITH THAT INFORMATION THAT YOU ARE
23	TALKING ABOUT. AND THOSE WHO ARE ACTUALLY DOING THE
24	SURVEYING PROBABLY ALREADY HAVE JOBS AND, WHEREAS,
25	THE PATIENTS ARE PROBABLY DESTITUTE BECAUSE THEY'RE

	,
1	DISABLED. AND SO IF YOU PUT ANY OF THAT MONEY INTO
2	THE POCKET OF ANYONE BUT THE PATIENT, THEN THAT
3	WOULD BE A CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH THE PATIENT WHO
4	NEEDS AND HAS PROVIDED INFORMATION CONSULTATION.
5	I'M ELIZABETH GREEN. THANK YOU.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ELIZABETH.
7	OKAY. SO BEFORE WE VOTE, I WOULD LIKE,
8	SEAN, I THINK YOU'VE GOT THE MESSAGE THAT, IN
9	ADDITION TO FURTHER INPUT INTO THE RFP, THAT THE
10	SOONER YOU CAN GET BACK TO THE BOARD WITH SORT OF
11	FULL CONTEXT AS TO WHAT THE VARIOUS STEPS WILL BE AS
12	TO THE EXTENT YOU CAN IDENTIFY THEM AT THIS POINT,
13	DEVELOP THE PLAN TO TAKE TO THE AAWG FOR THE
14	COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM AND TO BRING THAT TO THE
15	BOARD, THAT WILL BE VERY MUCH APPRECIATED. OKAY.
16	SO HEARING NO MORE COMMENT, MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE
17	CALL THE ROLL.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
19	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED ABOUSALEM.
21	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
23	DR. BARRETT: AYE.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
25	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
	152

152

-	,
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
2	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
4	DR. BOXER: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
6	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.
8	DR. DEAS: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
10	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
12	MS. DURON: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
14	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
16	DR. FISHER: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS.
18	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
20	DR. GASSON: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
22	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
24	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
	153
	133

	·
1	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
3	MR. LAJARA: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
5	DR. MALKAS: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
7	DR. MELMED: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
9	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
11	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
13	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
15	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
17	DR. STAMOS: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
21	MR. TORRES: AYE.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
23	DR. VUORI: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU. THANK
	154
	·

1	YOU, EVERYBODY, FOR AN OUTSTANDING DISCUSSION.
2	THANK YOU, SEAN AND TEAM AND MARIA, FOR ALL THE
3	THOUGHT THAT HAS GONE INTO THIS. WE WILL PROCEED
4	ACCORDING TO THE DICTATES OF THIS DISCUSSION.
5	AND AT THIS POINT, GIVEN THAT IT'S THE
6	NOON HOUR, LET'S TAKE A 20-MINUTE BREAK BOTH TO GIVE
7	BETH A WELL-EARNED BREAK HER HANDS MUST BE
8	GROSSLY OVERWORKED AT THIS POINT AND TO ALLOW
9	EVERYBODY TO GET SOMETHING TO EAT, AND WE WILL THEN
10	RECONVENE AT LET'S SEE HERE.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: 12:50.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 12:50, 17 MINUTES.
13	THANK YOU, EVERYBODY.
14	(A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY, EVERYBODY. IF WE
16	COULD RECONVENE HERE PLEASE. OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO
17	GO A BIT OUT OF ORDER HERE AS WE ARE PRONE TO DO ON
18	OCCASION. GO NEXT TO ITEM 9, CONSIDERATION OF CIRM
19	SALARY STRUCTURE FOR LEVEL 9 AND 10.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: J.T., CAN WE WAIT A
21	COUPLE MINUTES? I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY
22	IS BACK ON. YOU'RE SO PROMPT, THAT PERHAPS WE JUST
23	NEED TO GIVE EVERYONE JUST A MINUTE OR SO.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I SEE. YOU LET ME KNOW
25	WHEN WE SHOULD START UP.

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: I'D BE HAPPY TO. IF
2	YOU'RE BACK, CAN YOU JUST TURN ON YOUR VIDEO CAMERA
3	SO I CAN MAKE SURE YOU GUYS ARE BACK, THAT WOULD BE
4	GREAT. THANK YOU. I GUESS WE CAN START AND SEE
5	WHERE WE END UP, J.T.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE'LL TRY IT ONE MORE
7	TIME FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO JUST HOPPED ON. WE ARE
8	SKIPPING OVER FOR THE MOMENT TO ITEM 9,
9	CONSIDERATION OF CIRM SALARY STRUCTURE FOR LEVELS 9
10	AND 10. PRESENTATION BY KEVIN MARKS. KEVIN, NICE
11	TO HAVE YOU BACK.
12	MR. MARKS: THANKS, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS
13	OF THE BOARD. SO AS A REMINDER TO THE BOARD, THE
14	BOARD APPROVED THE SALARY STRUCTURE IN THE CIRM
15	RANGES FOR LEVELS 1 THROUGH 8 OF THE ORGANIZATION IN
16	THE JULY TIME FRAME. AT THAT TIME WE PULLED THE
17	LEVELS 9 I'M SORRY LEVELS 1 THROUGH 8. WE
18	PULLED THE LEVELS 9 AND 10 TO PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT
19	MORE DATA OR TO DIG A LITTLE DEEPER INTO DATA AND
20	GET MORE CONCRETE COMPARABLES TO BE ABLE TO BRING IT
21	BACK AT THIS MEETING.
22	THIS PRESENTATION IS VERY SIMILAR TO THE
23	ONE THAT WAS GIVEN TO THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON
24	SEPTEMBER 12TH. AND THE RESULT OF THAT WAS A
25	RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD THAT IT MOVE FORWARD AND
	150

1	ADOPT THESE SALARY LEVELS THAT WILL BE PROPOSED IN
2	THIS PRESENTATION.
3	MARIANNE OR DOUG, THANK YOU FOR PUTTING UP
4	THE PRESENTATION. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. AND NEXT
5	SLIDE PLEASE.
6	SO AS A REMINDER, THE SCOPE OF THE
7	COMPENSATION PROJECT WAS TO GIVE THE DETAILED
8	SUMMARY OF THE RELATIVE WORTH OF THE JOBS, TO
9	IDENTIFY THE COMPARATIVE DATA SOURCES, AND RECOMMEND
10	THE COMPENSATION STRUCTURE THAT REFLECTS THE CURRENT
11	HR STRATEGY. AND ULTIMATELY WE CREATED NOW A
12	DOCUMENTED PROCESS THAT GOES THROUGH A JOB ANALYSIS,
13	GIVES THE EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL EVALUATIONS, AND
14	CREATES THE ASSIGNMENT TO A GRADE, PAY LEVEL, AND
15	ROLE WITHIN THAT JOB STRUCTURE. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
16	SO IN EXECUTIVE SUMMARY FOR THIS
17	PRESENTATION, SO ULTIMATELY WE REVIEWED THE BOARD'S
18	RECOMMENDATIONS RELATED TO THE SCOPE OF
19	RESPONSIBILITIES FOR BOTH THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR
20	POSITIONS. ORIGINALLY THEY WERE NOT INCLUDED IN
21	EITHER OF THE LEVELS, AND WE RECOMMEND THAT THEY
22	MAINTAIN THEIR LEVELS IN LEVEL 10 FOR THE CHAIR AND
23	LEVEL 9 FOR THE VICE CHAIR RESPECTFULLY.
24	AS A REMINDER OF THE RELEVELING PROCESS,
25	WE DID REALIGN ALL OF THE VP LEVELS OF THE

1	ORGANIZATION THAT WERE PREVIOUSLY SPREAD BETWEEN TWO
2	COMPENSATION LEVELS TO ALL BE CAPTURED IN LEVEL 9.
3	AND AT THE REQUEST OF THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE
4	CO-CHAIRS, WE REEVALUATED, AS I MENTIONED IN THE
5	BEGINNING, THE SALARY DATA WITH RESPECT TO THOSE TWO
6	LEVELS AND WENT BACK AND DID A DEEPER DIVE INTO THE
7	UC MEDICAL SCHOOLS COMPARABLES AS WELL AS THE
8	PRIVATE RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS WITHIN CALIFORNIA. AS
9	A REMINDER, THOSE ARE THE BENCHMARKS THAT ARE
10	REQUIRED BY STATUTE FOR CIRM.
11	WHEN NEEDED, WE INCORPORATED INDUSTRY
12	BENCHMARKS EITHER AS A REFERENCE POINT OR TO
13	INCORPORATE THAT DATA WHEN THERE WAS VERY SPARSE
14	DATA WITHIN THE REQUIRED TWO COMPARATORS. NEXT
15	SLIDE PLEASE.
16	SO THIS IS JUST SIMPLY A REMINDER OF THE
17	METHODOLOGY THAT WAS USED. THE ERI DATA REFLECTS
18	THE MEDIAN OF THE ORGANIZATIONS, AND WE USE THAT FOR
19	THE COMPARABLES IN RELATION TO THE PRIVATE RESEARCH
20	INSTITUTIONS AND ALSO REFLECTS THE GEOGRAPHIC
21	LOCATION OF OAKLAND AT THE TIME BECAUSE THAT'S WHEN
22	WE STARTED THE SURVEY. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
23	SO IN LOOKING AT LEVEL 9 SPECIFICALLY, IT
24	ENCOMPASSES THOSE FOLLOWING LISTED POSITIONS. SO
25	FOR THESE ROLES, WE DID NOT INCLUDE DATA FOR THE VP

1	MEDICAL AFFAIRS DATA. IN LOOKING AT THOSE
2	BENCHMARKS AND IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CHAIR AND
3	THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS, THEY DID NOT
4	SEEM TO BE ADEQUATE MATCHES FOR THE SCOPE OF DUTIES
5	AND RESPONSIBILITIES EITHER FROM AN ACADEMIC
6	PERSPECTIVE OR AN INDUSTRY PERSPECTIVE. SO THE
7	RECOMMENDATION IS ON A GO-FORWARD BASIS THAT CIRM
8	GOES BACK TO A COMPENSATION EXPERT AND TRY TO LOOK
9	FOR MORE ADEQUATE COMPARABLES FOR THAT ON A
10	GO-FORWARD BASIS.
11	WHAT WE ALSO TOOK A LOOK AT IS, AND IN
12	PRIOR CONVERSATIONS, IF THE BOARD REMEMBERS, THE
13	DIFFICULTY IN FINDING ACTUALLY COMPARABLES FOR THE
14	VICE CHAIR POSITION, SO WHAT WE DID IS TAKE A LOOK
15	AT THE ENCOMPASSED DUTIES THAT'S EXPECTED OF THE NEW
16	VICE CHAIR MOVING INTO IT AS WELL AS THE EXISTING
17	DUTIES OF THE EXISTING CO-CHAIR, VICE CHAIR, SENATOR
18	TORRES. AND WE ARE COMING FORWARD WITH A
19	RECOMMENDATION THAT WE UTILIZE THE SAME MARKET DATA
20	THAT WAS ACQUIRED FOR THE VP OF BOARD GOVERNANCE
21	POSITION, WHICH IS A MIXTURE OF OR A BLEND OF
22	COMMUNICATIONS ROLES, EXTERNAL RELATIONS, AS WELL AS
23	GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS ROLES, AS WELL AS A REGENTS CHIEF
24	OF STAFF ROLE THAT'S ALL ENCOMPASSED IN THIS BLENDED
25	RATE. WE DO BELIEVE THAT WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE

1	MATCH FOR THE VICE CHAIR POSITION, AND ULTIMATELY
2	THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE AGREED WITH THAT
3	RECOMMENDATION. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
4	SO LOOKING AT THE RELEVANT MARKET RANGES
5	FOR THE POSITIONS, AND THEY'RE OUTLINED IN A
6	MINIMUM, MEDIUM, AND MAXIMUM ROLE, YOU SEE THE ONES
7	THAT WE USED TO ACTUALLY BENCHMARK AND CREATE THE
8	CIRM LEVELS. AS A REMINDER, THE CIRM LEVEL IS GOING
9	TO BE THE SAME METHODOLOGY THAT WE USED FOR LEVELS 1
10	THROUGH 8. THAT WOULD BE THE MINIMUM OF THE MINIMUM
11	AND THE MAXIMUM OF THE MAXIMUM. IN THIS CASE THE
12	CIRM RANGE AND THE RECOMMENDED RANGE WOULD BE A
13	RANGE OF 238,000 TO 435,000. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
14	THEN IN LOOKING AT LEVEL 10, LEVEL 10
15	CERTAINLY ENCOMPASSES THE CHAIR AND PRESIDENT
16	POSITION. HISTORICALLY THE MARKET RANGES THAT WERE
17	USED FOR BOTH OF THOSE POSITIONS WERE THE SAME, AND
18	
	WE RECOMMEND THAT WE CONTINUE WITH THAT SAME
19	WE RECOMMEND THAT WE CONTINUE WITH THAT SAME PHILOSOPHY. WHAT WE DID HERE IS PROVIDE A LITTLE
20	PHILOSOPHY. WHAT WE DID HERE IS PROVIDE A LITTLE
20 21	PHILOSOPHY. WHAT WE DID HERE IS PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT MORE GRANULARITY WHEN IT CAME TO THE VARIOUS
20 21 22	PHILOSOPHY. WHAT WE DID HERE IS PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT MORE GRANULARITY WHEN IT CAME TO THE VARIOUS PERCENTILES THAT WERE ARTICULATED FOR THE POSITIONS.
20212223	PHILOSOPHY. WHAT WE DID HERE IS PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT MORE GRANULARITY WHEN IT CAME TO THE VARIOUS PERCENTILES THAT WERE ARTICULATED FOR THE POSITIONS. AND THIS WENT THROUGH THE 25TH PERCENTILE, THE
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	PHILOSOPHY. WHAT WE DID HERE IS PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT MORE GRANULARITY WHEN IT CAME TO THE VARIOUS PERCENTILES THAT WERE ARTICULATED FOR THE POSITIONS. AND THIS WENT THROUGH THE 25TH PERCENTILE, THE MEDIAN, AND THEN YOU SEE A BREAKDOWN BY THE FIFTHS

1	65TH PERCENTILES FOR THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM RANGE
2	FOR THE LEVEL 9 EMPLOYEES. SO IT WILL ALLOW
3	CONSISTENCY ACROSS THE TWO LEVELS. WE DO RECOMMEND
4	THAT WE USE THOSE SAME PERCENTILES FOR BOTH OF THESE
5	LEVELS.
6	HOW THAT APPLIES TO LEVEL 10, YOU CAN SEE
7	ON THE SLIDE. IT TAKES US FROM A RANGE OF 427,000
8	TO A MAXIMUM OF 632,000 WITH A MEDIAN OF 569. AND
9	AS TALKED ABOUT BEFORE, YOU CAN SEE AGAIN THE
10	RECOMMENDED RANGES FOR THE LEVEL 9, WHICH IS 238,000
11	AND 435,000. AND, AGAIN, AS A REMINDER, THESE
12	RECOMMENDATIONS WERE ADOPTED BY THE GOVERNANCE
13	SUBCOMMITTEE ON SEPTEMBER 12TH.
14	AS ANOTHER PIECE OF INFORMATION, I REALIZE
15	I GLANCED OVER IT, AND I APOLOGIZE. IN LOOKING AT
16	THE COMPARATORS FOR THE LEVEL 10 POSITIONS, WHAT WE
17	DID IS WE MESHED POSITIONS IN LOOKING AT THE MEDICAL
18	SCHOOL ROLES. SO WE DID A COMBINATION OF THE DEANS
19	OF MEDICAL SCHOOLS AS WELL AS THE VICE DEANS OF
20	RESEARCH, AND WE CREATED A BLENDED RATE FOR THAT.
21	AND THAT'S WHAT CAME TO THE NUMBERS THAT YOU SAW ON
22	THE PREVIOUS SLIDE.
23	SO WITH THAT, THAT'S THE REMAINDER OF THE
24	PRESENTATION. AT THIS POINT I WILL TAKE ANY
25	QUESTIONS.

1	DR. GASSON: MARIA, DO YOU SEE ANY HANDS
2	RAISED? I DON'T SEE ANY HANDS RAISED. SO I WOULD
3	LIKE TO THANK KEVIN, TAMMI BUETTNER, AND MEMBERS OF
4	THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE FOR THE YEAR-LONG
5	PROCESS THAT IS CULMINATING AT THIS POINT IN TIME.
6	SO I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST A MOTION, THAT
7	THESE RECOMMENDATIONS BE APPROVED BY THE FULL BOARD.
8	DR. SOUTHARD: SO MOVED.
9	DR. GASSON: OKAY. THAT WAS MOVED BY
10	MARVIN. AND, ANNE-MARIE, DID YOU SECOND IT?
11	DR. DULIEGE: I'M SORRY. I HAD A PROBLEM
12	WITH MY MOUSE SO I COULDN'T UNMUTE MYSELF. JUST A
13	BRIEF CLARIFICATION. THESE ARE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR
14	FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS; BUT IF THE CHAIR OR THE VICE
15	CHAIR IS ASSIGNED TO 80 PERCENT, WOULD THAT BE
16	ADJUSTED TO THE PERCENT TIME THAT THEY'RE DOING?
17	DR. GASSON: YES.
18	DR. DULIEGE: SO THIS IS FOR FULL-TIME
19	EQUIVALENT. OKAY. GREAT. THANK YOU.
20	DR. GASSON: MARVIN MADE A MOTION AND
21	SOMEBODY SECONDED. I DIDN'T CATCH WHO THAT WAS.
22	DR. HIGGINS: I DID, BUT I DON'T KNOW IF I
23	WAS IN LINE.
24	DR. GASSON: GREAT. IS THERE ANY
25	DISCUSSION BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD ON THIS TOPIC OF
	162

162

1	THE SALARIES FOR LEVELS 9 AND 10? SEEING NO HANDS
2	RAISED, ARE THERE OH, FRED.
3	DR. FISHER: WHEN DOES IT GO INTO EFFECT
4	IF IT'S APPROVED BY THE BOARD TODAY?
5	MR. MARKS: SO THE IDEA IS IT GOES INTO
6	EFFECT IMMEDIATELY. SO THIS WILL THEN BE THE
7	PUBLISHED RATES FOR THE CIRM RANGES. AS YOU ARE
8	AWARE, CIRM PUBLISHES THE COMPENSATION RANGES FOR
9	THE VARIOUS LEVELS ON ITS WEBSITE. SO IT WOULD BE
10	SORT OF MY EXPECTATION AS SOON AS IT CAN GET UP ON
11	THE WEBSITE, IT WOULD BE EFFECTIVE.
12	DR. GASSON: THANK YOU, KEVIN. YSABEL.
13	MS. DURON: THANK YOU, JUDY.
14	THIS IS JUST FOR ME A COMMENT. BUT I'M
15	HAUNTED BY THE VOICE OF ELIZABETH AND THE KNOWLEDGE
16	OF THE VALUE-ADDED PATIENTS AND PATIENT INFORMATION
17	AND PATIENT ENGAGEMENT AND PATIENT RESPONSE TO
18	SURVEYS AND THE LOW RECOMPENSE THEY GET AS A RESULT
19	OF THE PARTICIPATION, AND THEN I COMPARE IT TO THE
20	SALARY RANGES. NO DISRESPECT TO ANY PERSON WHO
21	WORKS IN THESE POSITIONS WHO DESERVES THIS. I JUST
22	HOPE THAT IN THE FUTURE WE REALLY RECONSIDER, WHEN
23	WE ENGAGE PATIENTS, THAT WE SHOW THEM THAT THEY ARE
24	A REAL VALUE, AND WE BALANCE OUR BUDGETS AND OUR
25	BOOKS ACCORDINGLY TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY TOO

1	RECOGNIZE THEY HAVE GREAT VALUE TO THE WORK WE DO.
2	THANK YOU.
3	DR. GASSON: THANK YOU, YSABEL. SEEING NO
4	OTHER HANDS.
5	DR. DULIEGE: ACTUALLY AGAIN, JUDY, I'M
6	SORRY. I'M TRYING TO MANAGE WITHOUT A MOUSE. AGAIN
7	CLARIFICATION. WHILE THIS GOES IN EFFECT NOW,
8	SHOULD I UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS A PARTICULAR
9	READJUSTMENT FOR THE SALARIES OF ONGOING EMPLOYEES
10	OR SPECIFICALLY MARIA, ART, AND J.T., OR IS THAT THE
11	RANGE TO CONSIDER AS WE ARE LOOKING TO HIRE NEW
12	EMPLOYEES IN THESE POSITIONS, AT LEAST NOT FOR
13	MARIA, BUT FOR J.T. AND ART AT THE END OF THE YEAR?
14	DR. GASSON: KEVIN?
15	MR. MARKS: I WAS HOPING YOU WOULD HANDLE
16	THAT ONE.
17	DR. GASSON: I'LL HANDLE IT. WE ARE NOT
18	ANTICIPATING A CHANGE OR A RANGE ADJUSTMENT FOR THE
19	CURRENT CHAIR AND CURRENT VICE CHAIR. THE TOPIC OF
20	COMPENSATION FOR THE PRESIDENT AND THE CEO HAS NOT
21	YET COME UP, BUT WILL BE COMING UP RELATIVELY SOON.
22	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU.
23	MR. MARKS: IF I COULD JUST ADD ONE QUICK
24	THING. WITH RESPECT TO THE LEVEL 9 EMPLOYEES ABSENT
25	THE VICE CHAIR, THE ADOPTION OF THESE RANGES WILL

	DETH G. DIAMIN, CA CON NO. 7 132
1	HAVE NO IMPACT EITHER UP OR DOWN FOR ANY OF THE
2	EXISTING EMPLOYEES IN THOSE CATEGORIES.
3	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU.
4	DR. GASSON: THANK YOU, KEVIN.
5	OTHER HANDS, QUESTIONS, COMMENTS BY
6	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? SEEING NONE, MARIANNE, DO YOU
7	HAVE ANY MARIA, DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR
8	COMMENTS FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?
9	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: I SEE NONE,
10	JUDY.
11	DR. GASSON: AT THIS POINT, THEN, I WOULD
12	ASK MARIANNE TO PLEASE CALL THE ROLL TO VOTE ON THE
13	MOTION THAT IS ON THE TABLE.
14	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
15	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
16	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: MOHAMMED
17	ABOUSALEM.
18	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
19	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: KIM BARRETT.
20	DR. BARRETT: AYE.
21	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DAN BERNAL.
22	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
23	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: GEORGE
24	BLUMENTHAL.
25	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
	165
	100

133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864 208-255-5453 208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM

1	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: LINDA BOXER.
2	DR. BOXER: YES.
3	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: LEONDRA
4	CLARK-HARVEY.
5	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
6	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DEBORAH DEAS.
7	DR. DEAS: YES.
8	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: ANNE-MARIE
9	DULIEGE.
10	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
11	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: YSABEL DURON.
12	MS. DURON: YES.
13	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: MARK
14	FISCHER-COLBRIE. I'LL COME BACK TO HIM. FRED
15	FISHER.
16	DR. FISHER: YES.
17	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: FRED FISHER.
18	DR. FISHER: YES.
19	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: ELENA FLOWERS.
20	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
21	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: JUDY GASSON.
22	DR. GASSON: YES.
23	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
24	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
25	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DAVID HIGGINS.
	166

	·
1	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
2	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: STEPHEN
3	JUELSGAARD.
4	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
5	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: RICH LAJARA.
6	MR. LAJARA: YES.
7	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: LINDA MALKAS.
8	DR. MALKAS: YES.
9	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: SHLOMO MELMED.
10	DR. MELMED: YES.
11	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: CHRISTINE
12	MIASKOWSKI.
13	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
14	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: LAUREN
15	MILLER-ROGEN.
16	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
17	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: AL ROWLETT.
18	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
19	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
20	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
21	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: MICHAEL STAMOS.
22	DR. STAMOS: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
24	DR. VUORI: YES.
25	MR. TORRES: DID YOU CALL MY NAME?
	167
	107

1	MR. HUANG: WE'RE NOT GOING TO CALL THE
2	CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR BECAUSE THIS IS FOR
3	COMPENSATION.
4	MR. TORRES: OH, GOT IT.
5	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THE MOTION
6	CARRIES.
7	DR. GASSON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
8	MARIANNE, AND I'LL TURN IT BACK OVER TO CHAIRMAN
9	THOMAS.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I WAS JUST TALKING TO
11	MYSELF. THANK YOU, MOHAMMED.
12	WE HAVE FOUR REMAINING ACTION ITEMS, EACH
13	OF WHICH SHOULD BE QUITE SHORT. SO STARTING WITH
14	NO. 6, CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE
15	ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP
16	BYLAWS. BEN.
17	MR. HUANG: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD MEMBERS.
18	THE ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP
19	BYLAWS WERE APPROVED BY THE BOARD IN JANUARY OF THIS
20	YEAR. TODAY'S AMENDMENT HAS ONE EDIT REPEATED
21	THROUGHOUT, WHICH IS THE DELETION OF A REFERENCE TO
22	THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE SO THAT GRANT
23	RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AAWG GO DIRECTLY TO THE
24	ICOC BOARD. THIS PUTS THE AAWG BYLAWS IN LINE WITH
25	THE FACILITIES WORKING GROUP BYLAWS AND ALLOWS A
	160

168

	DETH G. DIAMIN, CA CON NO. 7 132
1	BROADER MEMBERSHIP OF THE BOARD TO PARTICIPATE IN
2	DECISION MAKING. AND THAT'S IT.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, BEN. DO WE
4	HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE?
5	MR. TORRES: MOVE TO APPROVE.
6	DR. ABDULHAQ: SECOND.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY ART, SECONDED
8	BY HAIFAA. QUESTIONS, COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE
9	BOARD? COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC? MARIA,
10	WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
12	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED ABOUSALEM.
14	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
16	DR. BARRETT: AYE.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
18	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
20	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
22	DR. BOXER: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
24	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.
	169
	103

133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864 208-255-5453 208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM

	DETH G. DIAMIN, CA CON NO. 7 132
1	DR. DEAS: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
3	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
5	MS. DURON: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
7	DR. FISHER: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS.
9	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
11	DR. GASSON: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
13	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
15	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
17	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
19	MR. LAJARA: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
21	DR. MALKAS: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
23	DR. MELMED: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
25	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
	170
	±: V

133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864 208-255-5453 208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM

	,
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
2	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
4	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
6	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
8	DR. STAMOS: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
12	MR. TORRES: AYE.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
14	DR. VUORI: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. ITEM 7,
17	CONSIDERATION OF STANDARDS WORKING GROUP CO-CHAIRS.
18	GEOFF LOMAX.
19	DR. LOMAX: MARIANNE, ARE YOU GOING TO BE
20	ABLE TO RUN THE SLIDES, JUST TO CONFIRM?
21	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: HOLD ON ONE
22	SECOND.
23	DR. LOMAX: THANKS VERY MUCH.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AND
25	PUBLIC, GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS GEOFF LOMAX.
	171
	11.1

1	I'LL BE PROVIDING AN UPDATE ON CIRM'S MEDICAL AND
2	ETHICAL STANDARDS WORKING GROUP AND THEN BRINGING
3	FORWARD CANDIDATES FOR CONSIDERATION TO BE APPOINTED
4	TO THE WORKING GROUP. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
5	OUR MISSION IS TO ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS
6	SCIENCE TO DELIVER TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE
7	MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A
8	DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND THE WORLD. THE STANDARDS
9	WORKING GROUP SUPPORTS THIS MISSION BY CONSIDERING A
10	RANGE OF POLICIES TO ENSURE THAT OUR RESEARCH IS
11	CONDUCTED ETHICALLY AND RESPONSIBLY. NEXT SLIDE
12	PLEASE.
13	SO MY AIM TODAY IS TO FIRST PROVIDE AN
14	OVERVIEW OF THE WORKING GROUP AND ITS HISTORY, AND
15	IT WILL BE BRIEF. SECOND IS TO IDENTIFY ETHICS
16	POLICY ISSUES THAT MAY REQUIRE FUTURE CONSIDERATION
17	BY THE WORKING GROUP, SO A LOOKING FORWARD LOOK.
18	THEN I'LL DESCRIBE THE PROCESS WE'VE BEEN FOLLOWING
19	TO RECRUIT NEW MEMBERS TO THE WORKING GROUP. AND,
20	FINALLY, REQUEST YOUR CONSIDERATION OF THE
21	CANDIDATES WE BRING FORWARD TODAY. NEXT SLIDE
22	PLEASE.
23	SO PURSUANT TO PROPOSITION 14, THE
24	STANDARDS WORKING GROUP IS CHARGED WITH RECOMMENDING
25	STANDARDS FOR THE MEDICAL, SOCIOECONOMIC, AND

1	FINANCIAL ASPECTS OF CLINICAL, HUMAN SUBJECTS, AND
2	RELATED RESEARCH SUPPORTED BY CIRM. NEXT SLIDE
3	PLEASE.
4	PERHAPS THE BEST WAY TO SORT OF DESCRIBE
5	THE WORK OF THE WORKING GROUP IS TO PROVIDE A VERY
6	BRIEF REVIEW ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT IT'S
7	BROUGHT TO THE BOARD SINCE 2005, AND THIS IS AN
8	ABBREVIATED VERSION, BUT I WILL CONTINUE.
9	SO BETWEEN 2005 AND 2006, THE WORKING
10	GROUP CONVENED SIX MEETINGS TO DEVELOP CIRM'S
11	FOUNDATIONAL STANDARDS FOR RESEARCH. THESE
12	STANDARDS WERE NECESSARY SO CIRM COULD ISSUE
13	RESEARCH AWARDS. IT WAS PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT FOR
14	CIRM TO DEVELOP POLICIES FOR THE REVIEW AND
15	OVERSIGHT OF AWARDS INVOLVING THE CREATION OF NEW
16	EMBRYONIC STEM CELL LIENS AS SUCH DERIVATION WAS
17	PROHIBITED BY THE NIH AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES.
18	THE WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDED A SET OF STANDARDS FOR
19	PROTOCOL REVIEW AND OVERSIGHT CONSISTENT WITH THE
20	NATIONAL ACADEMIES' GUIDELINES FOR EMBRYONIC STEM
21	CELL RESEARCH. AND IN 2006 THE ICOC ADOPTED THESE
22	RECOMMENDATIONS, REPRESENTING THE FIRST FORMAL SET
23	OF POLICIES GOVERNING THE CONDUCT OF EMBRYONIC STEM
24	CELL RESEARCH. NEXT PLEASE.
25	AFTER IMPLEMENTING THESE STANDARDS, CIRM
	177

1	EMBARKED ON AN EVALUATION PROCESS TO UNDERSTAND HOW
2	THIS NEW BODY OF REGULATION WAS WORKING IN PRACTICE.
3	THE CIRM TEAM TRAVELED TO AWARDEE SITES. WE
4	SELECTED SPECIFIC AWARDS FOR COMPLIANCE EVALUATION,
5	AND THE EVALUATION PROCESS WAS MODELED AFTER THE
6	PROCESSES USED TO EVALUATE IRB'S WHERE THE CIRM TEAM
7	REVIEWED OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE QUALIFICATIONS, LOOKED
8	AT MEETING MINUTES, AND OTHER INTERNAL
9	COMMUNICATIONS TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT OUR AWARDEES
10	WERE IN ADHERENCE WITH THE REQUIRED POLICIES.
11	WE ALSO HELD A SERIES OF WORKSHOPS TO
12	ALLOW ORGANIZATIONS TO SHARE THEIR EXPERIENCE AMONG
13	THEIR PEERS AND PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO CIRM ON
14	HOW OUR POLICIES COULD BE IMPROVED. DURING THIS
15	PERIOD THE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP TOOK MANY OF
16	THESE RECOMMENDATIONS UNDER CONSIDERATION, AND A
17	SERIES OF TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS WERE MADE TO CIRM'S
18	POLICIES REALLY FROM AN OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY
19	STANDPOINT.
20	AND THEN I ALSO HAD THE OPPORTUNITY
21	ALONGSIDE THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES' COMMITTEE ON
22	EMBRYONIC STEM CELL RESEARCH. AS YOU MAY RECALL,
23	INDUCED PLURIPOTENT STEM CELL RESEARCH EMERGED
24	DURING THIS TIME, AND THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE
25	DISCUSSION ABOUT HOW THE HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL

1	POLICY FRAMEWORK SHOULD BE APPLIED IN IPSC CONTEXT.
2	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
3	THIS CONNECTIVITY TO THE NATIONAL
4	ACADEMIES WAS TIMELY AS CIRM WAS IN THE PROCESS OF
5	DEVELOPING AN INITIATIVE TO DERIVE AND BANK INDUCED
6	PLURIPOTENT STEM CELL LINES. THE STANDARDS WORKING
7	GROUP DELIBERATED OVER A TWO-YEAR PERIOD TO
8	RECOMMEND A MODEL INFORMED CONSENT TEMPLATE, AND
9	THIS MODEL TEMPLATE INCORPORATED THE LATEST
10	RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING A HOST OF ISSUES IN STEM
11	CELL RESEARCH, SUCH AS THE USE OF CELLS IN ANIMALS,
12	GENETIC DATA SHARING, AND THE SHARING OF DONOR CELLS
13	INTERNATIONALLY.
14	I WILL JUST PAUSE THERE FOR A MOMENT.
15	I'VE ENCAPSULATED ABOUT SIX YEARS OF HISTORY INTO
16	NINE BULLETS. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S ANY QUESTIONS
17	OR CLARIFICATIONS. OTHERWISE, I'LL CONTINUE. OKAY.
18	I WILL MOVE TO THE NEXT SLIDE PLEASE THEN.
19	SO, AGAIN, WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF
20	RECONSTITUTING THE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP. SO I
21	WANT TO GIVE YOU A SENSE OF ISSUES BOTH IN OUR
22	STRATEGIC PLANNING PROCESS AND WITHIN THE FIELD
23	GENERALLY THAT WE CONTINUE TO TRACK. FIRST, WE HAVE
24	GENOME EDITING, THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES GENOME
25	EDITING INITIATIVE BEING A PROGRAM IN THIS AREA.

1	AND THIS RELATES TO ONGOING EFFORTS TO CONSIDER
2	ETHICS AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS IN THE APPLICATION
3	OF THIS TECHNOLOGY IN THE BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH SPACE.
4	THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES' RECOMMENDATIONS
5	ARE NOTABLE FOR ITS ENDORSEMENT OF SOMATIC CELL
6	EDITING, WHICH CIRM IS SUPPORTING IN A NUMBER OF
7	AWARDS, WHILE MAINTAINING A MORATORIUM ON GERM LINE
8	GENETIC EDITING FOR REPRODUCTIVE PURPOSES.
9	EMBRYO MODEL SYSTEMS, WE HEARD ABOUT THE
10	UTILITY OF THESE MODELS DURING CIRM'S SCIENTIFIC
11	ADVISORY MEETING, AND THE NATIONAL ACADEMY ENDORSES
12	THE DEVELOPMENT OF THESE MODEL SYSTEMS, PARTICULARLY
13	FOR UNDERSTANDING EARLY HUMAN DEVELOPMENT AND THE
14	ETIOLOGY OF DISEASES OCCURRING EARLY IN THE LIFE
15	COURSE.
16	HUMAN NEURAL ORGANOIDS, THESE ARE IN VITRO
17	SYSTEMS DESIGNED TO MODEL BRAIN FUNCTION. THEY ARE
18	VIEWED AS PARTICULARLY VALUABLE TOOLS FOR
19	ELUCIDATING THE CAUSE AND POTENTIAL TREATMENTS FOR
20	DISEASES OF THE BRAIN AND SHOULD PLAY A PROMINENT
21	ROLE IN CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCH.
22	BLASTOCYST COMPLEMENTATION, THIS PROCEDURE
23	HAS BEEN APPLIED IN EFFORTS SUITED FOR HUMAN
24	TRANSPLANTATION IN ANIMALS SUCH AS PIGS, AND THERE
25	CONTINUES TO BE CONSIDERATION OF POLICY ISSUES IN

1	THIS SPACE.
2	AND, FINALLY, THE MORE PATIENT-FACING
3	ISSUES CONTINUE TO EMERGE AROUND THE UNAUTHORIZED
4	TREATMENTS AND HOW POTENTIAL HEALTH AND FINANCIAL
5	RISKS TO PATIENTS COULD BE ADDRESSED THROUGH SOME
6	SORT OF POLICY INTERVENTION.
7	I'LL JUST PAUSE FOR A MOMENT BECAUSE,
8	AGAIN, I'M COVERING A WIDE AREA OF OUR ISSUES THAT
9	CAME UP DURING PLANNING. IF ANYONE HAS ANYTHING TO
10	ADD OR QUESTIONS, I'D BE HAPPY TO TAKE THEM. OKAY.
11	WE'LL CONTINUE THEN. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
12	SO I'LL NOW DESCRIBE THE WORKING GROUP
13	MEMBERS AND THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS. THE WORKING
14	GROUP CONSISTS OF 19 MEMBERS. FIVE OF THE MEMBERS
15	ARE PATIENT ADVOCATE OR NURSE MEMBERS FROM THE
16	BOARD. THERE ARE NINE SCIENTISTS AND FOUR MEDICAL
17	ETHICISTS. I'LL TOUCH A LITTLE BIT ON THE ETHICIST
18	ROLE AND WHAT WE LOOK FOR IN TERMS OF IDENTIFYING
19	CANDIDATES.
20	FIRST OF ALL, APPLIED ETHICS IN BIOMEDICAL
21	RESEARCH IS VERY IMPORTANT PARTICULARLY BECAUSE
22	THERE'S AN EXTENSIVE BODY OF FEDERAL REGULATION
23	COVERING AREAS SUCH AS HUMAN SUBJECTS RESEARCH,
24	ANIMAL WELFARE, GENETIC DATA SHARING, ET CETERA.
25	AND IT'S CRITICAL TO UNDERSTAND AND APPRECIATE HOW

1	THESE FEDERAL POLICY FRAMEWORKS CAN BE LEVERAGED TO
2	SUPPORT THE HIGHEST STANDARDS OF RESEARCH. SO, FOR
3	EXAMPLE, IN THE INFORMED CONSENT TEMPLATE WE
4	DEVELOPED FOR OUR IPS BANK, IT'S GROUNDED IN THE
5	FEDERAL COMMON RULE AND HUMAN SUBJECTS PROTECTIONS,
6	BUT WE ALSO INCLUDED ADDITIONAL DONOR PROTECTIONS
7	SPECIFIC TO INDUCED PLURIPOTENT STEM CELL RESEARCH.
8	SO WE ACTIVELY SEEK OUT MEMBERS WHO CAN
9	PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT ARE CONSISTENT AND
10	COMPATIBLE WITH THESE FEDERAL POLICY FRAMEWORKS, BUT
11	ALSO ENABLE TO US ADVANCE THE HIGHEST RESEARCH
12	STANDARDS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
13	FOR THE M.D. AND SCIENTIST MEMBERS, WE
14	ACTIVELY SEEK OUT A RANGE OF EXPERIENCE THAT CUTS
15	ACROSS THE ISSUES WE ENCOUNTER INCLUDING THE
16	CONTEMPORARY TOPICS IDENTIFIED PREVIOUSLY. WE HAVE
17	APPROACHED A NUMBER OF MEMBERS FROM THE GRANTS
18	WORKING GROUP BECAUSE WE BELIEVE IT'S IMPORTANT THAT
19	THE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP APPRECIATES THE RIGOR
20	THAT CIRM APPLIES WHEN EVALUATING THE SCIENTIFIC
21	WORTHINESS AND POTENTIAL OF PROTOCOLS WHICH WE FUND.
22	IT IS TYPICAL IN ETHICS POLICY DISCUSSIONS TO WANT
23	ASSURANCES THAT THE NOVEL SCIENTIFIC APPROACHES ARE
24	WELL JUSTIFIED AND WELL GROUNDED IN THE SCIENCE.
25	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.

1	SO IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF SLIDES, I'D JUST
2	LIKE TO DESCRIBE OUR RECRUITMENT PROCESS WHICH IS
3	MODELED AFTER THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP PROCESS THAT
4	DR. SAMBRANO PRESENTED TO YOU IN 2021. WE BEGIN BY
5	WORKING WITH BOARD MEMBERS AND THOUGHT LEADERS TO
6	IDENTIFY A RANGE OF ISSUES SUCH AS THE ISSUES I'VE
7	SUMMARIZED TODAY, AND THEN SEEK TO IDENTIFY CONTENT
8	AREA EXPERTS BY RECEIVING RECOMMENDATIONS FROM A
9	VARIETY OF SOURCES, INCLUDING BOARD MEMBERS, CIRM
LO	TEAM MEMBERS, AND EXPERTS IN THE FIELD. WE SEEK
L1	FURTHER GUIDANCE FROM CIRM AND ICOC LEADERSHIP ONCE
L2	WE'VE COMPILED POTENTIAL CANDIDATES. AND, FOR
L3	EXAMPLE, TODAY'S NOMINEE FOR CO-CHAIR SPOKE AT
L4	LENGTH WITH CHAIRMAN THOMAS, DR. MILLAN, BOARDMEMBER
L5	FISHER, AND MYSELF ABOUT THE NEEDS AND EXPECTATIONS
L6	OF THE WORKING GROUP. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
L7	YOU HAVE THE NOMINEE FOR CO-CHAIR'S
L8	BIOGRAPHY. IN THE CASE OF TODAY'S NOMINEE, AS THE
L9	ETHICS MEMBER CO-CHAIR, DR. KAHN BRINGS APPLIED
20	ETHICS POLICY EXPERIENCE IN AREAS SUCH AS GENOME
21	EDITING, GENETICS, AND BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES
22	COMBINED WITH NATIONAL ACADEMIES' MEMBERSHIP AND
23	LEADERSHIP ROLES IN ACADEMIES' COMMITTEES. THE
24	NOMINEE WAS IDENTIFIED THROUGH HIS WORK ON THE
25	NATIONAL ACADEMY COMMITTEE ON EMERGING SCIENCE,
	170

1	TECHNOLOGY, INNOVATION IN HEALTH AND MEDICINE. AND
2	HE HAS NO FORMER HISTORY OF SERVICE TO CIRM. NEXT
3	SLIDE PLEASE.
4	IN ADDITION TO THE OUTSIDE ETHICS POLICY
5	CO-CHAIR, WE ARE RECOMMENDING FIVE BOARD MEMBERS FOR
6	CONSIDERATION TODAY FOR CO-CHAIR AND WORKING GROUP
7	MEMBERSHIP. AND WITH THAT, I THANK YOU FOR YOUR
8	ATTENTION AND TURN IT BACK TO YOU, CHAIRMAN THOMAS.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
10	GEOFF.
11	AND JUST FOR CONTEXT FOR THOSE WHO ARE
12	NEWER MEMBERS TO THE BOARD, WE HAVE THE GOOD FORTUNE
13	OF GEOFF HAVING BEEN PRETTY CLOSE TO ONE OF THE
14	ORIGINAL CIRM HIRES AND HAS WORKED ON ALL OF THESE
15	ISSUES SINCE INCEPTION. SO WE HAVE GREAT
16	INSTITUTIONAL MEMORY INFORMING THE PROCESS AND WHAT
17	WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING GOING FORWARD. SO THANK
18	YOU, GEOFF, FOR ALL YOUR HARD WORK OVER THE MANY
19	YEARS IN THIS AND OTHER AREAS.
20	SO WE NEED TO HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE
21	CO-CHAIRS AND ICOC BOARD MEMBERS. COULD YOU PUT
22	THAT LAST SLIDE BACK UP AGAIN PLEASE.
23	MR. TORRES: SO MOVED.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY SENATOR TORRES.
25	DO WE HAVE A SECOND?

180

DR. SOUTHARD: MARV SECONDS.
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARV.
QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD? I WOULD MERELY LIKE TO COMMENT, HAVING HAD,
AS GEOFF REFERENCED, EXTENSIVE DISCUSSION WITH DR.
KAHN, WITH HIM AND WITH FRED, I THINK HE WILL MAKE
AN OUTSTANDING CHAIR FOR THIS WORKING GROUP AND WILL
REALLY DRIVE THIS IN A VERY, VERY POSITIVE AND
BENEFICIAL DIRECTION.
ANY COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?
MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED HAS HIS HAND RAISED,
J.T.
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, FRED.
DR. FISHER: I AGREE WITH EVERYTHING YOU
JUST SAID. AND DO I NEED TO LEAVE OR RECUSE MYSELF
SINCE THE VOTE INVOLVES ME?
MS. BONNEVILLE: YES. I WILL NOT CALL
YOUR NAME DURING ROLL CALL.
DR. FISHER: THANKS.
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I, BEFORE WE VOTE, WANT
TO THANK FRED FOR TAKING ON THE ROLE OF CO-CHAIR
HERE. THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT ROLE; AND, FRED, WE
APPRECIATE YOUR WILLINGNESS TO SERVE IN ADDITION TO
EVERYTHING ELSE. OKAY.
NO PUBLIC COMMENT, I ASSUME.
191

181

	DETH G. DRAIN, GA GSR NO. 7 132
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO PUBLIC COMMENT.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE
3	CALL THE ROLL.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
5	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED ABOUSALEM.
7	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
9	DR. BARRETT: AYE.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
11	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
13	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
15	DR. BOXER: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
17	DEBORAH DEAS.
18	DR. DEAS: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
20	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
22	MS. DURON: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
24	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS.
	182

	,
1	DR. FLOWERS: YES. AM I NOT REQUIRED TO
2	ABSTAIN?
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: YOU ARE NOT UP FOR TODAY.
4	THAT'S A DIFFERENT VOTE.
5	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
7	DR. GASSON: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
9	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
11	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
13	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
15	MR. LAJARA: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
17	DR. MALKAS: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
19	DR. MELMED: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
21	DR. MIASKOWSKI: MARIA, CAN I VOTE SINCE
22	I'M ONE OF THE PEOPLE?
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: YES, TODAY YOU CAN.
24	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
	183
	103

	,
1	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
3	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
5	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
7	DR. STAMOS: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
11	MR. TORRES: AYE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
13	DR. VUORI: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.
16	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: THIS IS LEONDRA
17	CLARK-HARVEY. I DIDN'T GET MY YES IN LOUD ENOUGH.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: IT'S OKAY. THANK YOU,
19	LEONDRA.
20	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: NO PROBLEM.
21	CHAIRPERSON DURON: THANK YOU, EVERYBODY.
22	ITEM NO. 8, CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO
23	THE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP BYLAWS. BEN.
24	MR. HUANG: SO THE AS GEOFF NOTED, THE
25	STANDARDS WORKING GROUP BYLAWS WERE LAST APPROVED
	184

1	BACK IN 2005, AND WE ARE NOW UPDATING IT TO REFLECT
2	CHANGES MADE IN PROP 14. TODAY'S EDITS INCLUDE
3	MARRYING MEMBER ELIGIBILITY TO PROP 14. SO THAT'S
4	SECTION 2.
5	ON TO THE NEXT PAGE. CHANGING THE
6	COMPENSATION TO FOLLOW THE ICOC BYLAWS, ALLOWING
7	FLEXIBILITY IN THE NUMBER OF MEETINGS PER YEAR.
8	PAGE 5, AND THEN, FINALLY, PROVIDING FOR A
9	MINORITY RECOMMENDATION REPORT. SO ALL THESE
10	CHANGES WERE MADE TO REFLECT THE EDITS MADE IN
11	PROPOSITION 14. THAT'S IT.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. DO WE HEAR A
13	MOTION TO APPROVE?
14	DR. GASSON: SO MOVED.
15	DR. BLUMENTHAL: SECOND.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY JUDY. I DON'T
17	KNOW WHO THAT WAS CHIMING IN THERE.
18	DR. BLUMENTHAL: THIS IS GEORGE SECONDING.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS
20	FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF
21	THE PUBLIC? MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
23	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED ABOUSALEM.
25	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
	105
	185

	,
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
2	DR. BARRETT: AYE.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
4	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
6	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
8	DR. BOXER: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
10	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.
12	DR. DEAS: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
14	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
16	MS. DURON: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
18	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
20	DR. FISHER: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS.
22	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
24	DR. GASSON: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
	186

	,
1	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
3	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
5	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
7	MR. LAJARA: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
9	DR. MALKAS: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
11	DR. MELMED: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
13	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
15	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
17	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
19	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
21	DR. STAMOS: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
25	MR. TORRES: AYE.
	107
	187

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
2	DR. VUORI: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. OKAY. ITEM
5	10, A REALLY SUBSTANTIVE ITEM, CONSIDERATION OF
6	MINUTES FOR THE JULY 28, 2022, ICOC MEETING AND
7	AUGUST 30, 2022, APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
8	MEETING. I WILL MOVE APPROVAL. IS THERE A SECOND?
9	DR. SOUTHARD: SECOND.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY COMMENTS OR
11	QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? COMMENTS FROM
12	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC? MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL
13	THE ROLL.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
15	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED ABOUSALEM.
17	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
19	DR. BARRETT: AYE.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
21	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
23	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
25	DR. BOXER: YES.
	188
	100

1	MS.	BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
2	DEBORAH DEAS.	
3	DR.	DEAS: YES.
4	MS.	BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
5	DR.	DULIEGE: YES.
6	MS.	BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
7	MS.	DURON: YES.
8	MS.	BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
9	DR.	FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
10	MS.	BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
11	DR.	FISHER: YES.
12	MS.	BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS.
13	DR.	FLOWERS: YES.
14	MS.	BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
15	DR.	GASSON: YES.
16	MS.	BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
17	DR.	GOLDSTEIN: YES.
18	MS.	BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
19	DR.	HIGGINS: YES.
20	MS.	BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
21	MR.	JUELSGAARD: YES.
22	MS.	BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
23	MR.	LAJARA: YES.
24	MS.	BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
25	DR.	MALKAS: YES.
		189

_		BETH G. BIMIN, CA CON NO. 7 132
1		MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
2		DR. MELMED: YES.
3		MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
4		DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
5		MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
6		MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
7		MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
8		MR. ROWLETT: YES.
9		MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
10		DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
11		MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
12		DR. STAMOS: YES.
13		MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
14		CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
15		MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
16		MR. TORRES: AYE.
17		MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
18		DR. VUORI: YES.
19		MS. BONNEVILLE: I'M GOING TO GO BACK TO
20	LEONDRA.	LEONDRA, ARE YOU ON?
21		MS. CLARK-HARVEY: I AM. THANK YOU. YES.
22		MS. BONNEVILLE: I SPENT A LOT OF TIME
23	EARLIER.	SO I'M TRYING TO MAKE UP SOME TIME. THANK
24	YOU. THE	MOTION CARRIES.
25		CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA. THAT
		190
		

1	CONCLUDES OUR ACTION ITEMS FOR TODAY'S AGENDA. WE
2	ARE NOW READY TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION. AND,
3	KAREN, WILL YOU PLEASE READ US THE RELEVANT CODE
4	SECTION TO SEND US OFF.
5	MS. GETMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE
6	BOARD WILL BE MOVING INTO CLOSED SESSION TO CONSIDER
7	PERSONNEL AND IN PARTICULAR THE PERFORMANCE
8	EVALUATION OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT OF CIRM. THIS
9	IS AUTHORIZED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION
10	11126(A) AND HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION
11	125290.30(3)(D).
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: SO WE WILL BE PUTTING YOU
13	INTO A BREAKOUT ROOM. I'M NOT SURE WHO'S DOING
14	THAT, WHETHER IT'S MARIANNE OR DOUG. IF YOU HAVE
15	ANY PROBLEMS, JUST REACH OUT AND WE WILL GET YOU IN
16	THERE.
17	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DOUG IS DOING
18	THAT.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: GREAT. THANK YOU.
20	(THE BOARD THEN WENT INTO CLOSED
21	SESSION, NOT REPORTED NOR HEREIN TRANSCRIBED. THE
22	FOLLOWING WAS THEN HEARD IN OPEN SESSION.)
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. WANT TO REPORT
24	THAT THERE WERE NO ACTIONS TAKEN IN THE CLOSED
25	SESSION.

1	THAT LEAVES THE PUBLIC COMMENT AS THE SOLE
2	REMAINING ITEM ON THE AGENDA. ARE THERE ANY MEMBERS
3	OF THE PUBLIC WHO WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON ANYTHING
4	OF INTEREST TO THEM?
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE ARE NO HANDS
6	RAISED.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. I WANT TO THANK
8	THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. THIS HAS BEEN A LENGTHY,
9	BUT VERY IMPORTANT MEETING WITH EXTREMELY
10	SUBSTANTIVE DISCUSSION ON A VARIETY OF TOPICS. AND
11	I WANT TO WISH EVERYBODY A HAPPY REST OF SEPTEMBER.
12	OUR NEXT ALL-PERSON BOARD MEMBER MEETING IS THE 29TH
13	OF OCTOBER.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: 27TH.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 27TH. OKAY. WITH THAT,
16	WE STAND ADJOURNED.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, EVERYONE.
18	HAVE A LOVELY REST OF YOUR DAY.
19	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT
20	3:26 P.M.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	192

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON SEPTEMBER 29, 2022, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543

193